Check for updates

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Physiological responses to "AgeWell Europe" an 8-week online and on-demand multimodal exercise programme for middle-aged and older adults

[version 1; peer review: 2 approved with reservations]

Adam C. McDonnell[1,2], Jill Bartholmy[3], Ellen Bentlage[3], Michael Brach[3], Sara Ceron[4], Ruth Kavanagh[5], Marta Mattarucco[4], Siobhan O'Connor[6], Saša Repanšek[1], Kiera Ward[5], Anna Wittig[3], Diane Cooper[5]

[1]E1 Automatics, biocybernetics and robotics, Jozef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia
[2]Northumbria University School of Sport Exercise and Rehabilitation, Newcastle upon Tyne, England, UK
[3]Active Ageing Lab, Institute of Sport and Exercise Sciences, University of Münster, Münster, North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany
[4]Istituto per servizi di recovero ed assistenza agli anziani, Treviso, Italy
[5]EduFIt, Portarlington, Ireland
[6]School of Health and Human Performance, Dublin City University, Dublin, Leinster, Ireland

v1 **First published:** 02 May 2026, **6**:145
https://doi.org/10.12688/openreseurope.21422.1
Latest published: 02 May 2026, **6**:145
https://doi.org/10.12688/openreseurope.21422.1

Abstract

Introduction

Ageing, sedentary behaviour and/or poor nutrition contribute to increased vulnerability to chronic disease, frailty and loss of independence. An ageing population places greater demands on healthcare systems; thus highlighting the need for accessible, intrinsically motivating and scalable strategies to promote healthy ageing. This study investigated the efficacy of 'AgeWell Europe', an 8-week online and on-demand structured multimodal exercise programme designed specifically for middle-aged (MAA) and older-aged adults (OAA).

Methods

167 participants were recruited in four countries. Balance (45-second eyes open balance test), lower-body and upper-body muscular endurance (sit-to-stand test (STS) and press-up test, respectively), cardiorespiratory fitness (6-minute walk test (6MWT)), muscular strength (maximal voluntary contraction (MVC) for knee flexion and extension) and vertical jump were assessed pre and post intervention.

Open Peer Review

Approval Status ? ?

	1	2
version 1 02 May 2026	? view	? view

1. **Vanessa Santos**, Instituto Piaget, Almada, Portugal
2. **Jack Feron**, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Physical activity (PA) level (M1 question), wellbeing (WHO-5 Index) and physical self-efficacy (LIVAS) were examined using online questionnaires.

Results

A total of 121 participants completed the intervention. The age-group analysis (all MAA and OAA) identified significant improvements in STS, press-up and 6MWT performance ($p < 0.001$). A significant increase in M1 ($p < 0.001$) and LIVAS (MAA: $p = 0.02$; OAA: $p = 0.04$) was observed in both groups. MAA improved vertical jump ($p = 0.02$) and balance in the left leg ($p = 0.02$). No significant changes were found for right leg balance, knee strength, or WHO-5 scores ($p > 0.05$). The sex based analyses revealed significant group-by-time interactions for STS ($F = 5.62$, $p = 0.02$) and 6MWT ($F = 5.72$, $p = 0.02$), with greater improvements in males than females, while both sexes significantly improvement their press-up scores ($p < 0.001$). Females significantly increased M1 ($p < 0.001$), LIVAS ($p = 0.003$) and right-leg balance ($p = 0.03$), whereas vertical jump improved only in males ($p = 0.03$).

Conclusion

The 'AgeWell Europe' intervention significantly improved upper- and lower-body muscular endurance, cardiorespiratory fitness, weekly PA participation and physical self-efficacy in both MAA and OAA. These findings support the effectiveness of digital exercise in promoting functional health in MAA and OAA across Europe.

Plain Language summary

As one ages, we naturally tend to lose muscle strength, balance and fitness, increasing the risk of falls, chronic diseases and loss of independence. Exercise can help prevent these problems, but many middle-aged and older adults face barriers like lack of time, difficulty accessing exercise classes and not knowing where to start. Online, on demand and at-home exercise programmes could help overcome these obstacles.

This research programme called "AgeWell Europe", conducted across four European countries, is a free online exercise programme for adults aged 40–85. The programme featured exercise videos in four languages with options for the participant to take part at varying difficulty of exercise intensity levels. Participants could complete the online exercise or health education modules at a time that suited them.

121 adults completed two 25–34 minute exercise sessions per week for 8 weeks. Each session included a warm-up and cool down and a combination of strength, cardio, balance and flexibility exercises.

The participants' fitness was assessed before and after the

intervention to measure how far they could walk in 6 minutes, how many push-ups and sit-to-stands they could do along with their balance. After 8 weeks, the participants had meaningful improvements across multiple fitness measures. Lower-body muscular endurance improved by approximately 20%, while upper-body muscular endurance increased by 25%. Cardiorespiratory fitness also improved significantly, with participants able to walk considerably further during the 6-minute test. Beyond physical improvements, participants reported exercising more frequently throughout the week and felt greater confidence in their physical abilities. These improvements occurred consistently in both middle-aged and older adults, and in both men and women.

This study highlights that a short, home-based online on demand programme with just two 30-minute sessions per week can lead to real improvements in strength, endurance and confidence. All key indicators important for the maintenance of independence, to reduce fall risk and prevent the onset of chronic diseases. This online on-demand format makes healthy aging accessible to everyone.

Keywords
Healthy Ageing, Multimodal Exercise, Home Based Exercise, Exercise Intervention, Middle Aged, Older Aged

This article is included in the Erasmus+ gateway.

Corresponding author: Adam C. McDonnell (adam2.mcdonnell@northumbria.ac.uk)

Author roles: McDonnell AC: Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Bartholmy J**: Data Curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Bentlage E**: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Investigation, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; **Brach M**: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; **Ceron S**: Data Curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Kavanagh R**: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Mattarucco M**: Data Curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **O'Connor S**: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Repanšek S**: Data Curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Ward K**: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Writing – Review & Editing; **Wittig A**: Data Curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Cooper D**: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: Diane Cooper, Kiera Ward and Ruth Kavanagh are employed at EduFit

Grant information: This work was supported by an Erasmus+ grant titled "AgeWell - Multimodal physical activity and health education for healthy ageing" under the funding number of 2023-1-IE01-KA220-ADU-000159356.
The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2026 McDonnell AC *et al*. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: McDonnell AC, Bartholmy J, Bentlage E *et al.* **Physiological responses to "AgeWell Europe" an 8-week online and on-demand multimodal exercise programme for middle-aged and older adults [version 1; peer review: 2 approved with reservations]** Open Research Europe 2026, **6**:145 https://doi.org/10.12688/openreseurope.21422.1

First published: 02 May 2026, **6**:145 https://doi.org/10.12688/openreseurope.21422.1

Introduction

Ageing combined with unloading due to hospitalisation, physical inactivity, sedentary behaviour, and/or poor nutrition, is associated with loss of muscle mass, strength and function (i.e., sarcopenia), decreased bone mass and strength, increased adiposity, a higher prevalence of long-term conditions (such as prediabetes, type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, osteoporosis etc.), mobility disability and loss of independence (Rosenberg, 1989; Rosenberg, 1997). Muscular fitness (muscular endurance and strength) and cardiorespiratory fitness are two of the most important health-related components of fitness for middle-aged adults (MAA) and older-aged adults (OAA) (Hoeger et al., 2019). Sarcopenia is related to both the loss of muscle mass and a decline in the quality and function of the remaining muscle tissue. This progressive loss of muscle mass varies from 3 to 8% per decade (English & Paddon-Jones, 2010) after the age of 30 and is implicated in decreased muscular fitness, balance, increased risk and incidence of falls (Wolfson et al., 1995), decrements in cardiovascular (CV) and metabolic health, morbidity and ultimately mortality (Manini et al., 2007). Regardless of the aetiology, sarcopenia increases susceptibility to muscle injury (Faulkner et al., 1995), serious falls, physical frailty (Cruz-Jentoft et al., 2010; Cruz-Jentoft et al., 2014; Tinetti, 2001), obesity (Stenholm et al., 2008) and diabetes (Ghosh et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2010). Total muscle mass declines by approximately 20–30% from young adulthood to 80 years of age (Carmeli et al., 2002). This is particularly evident in untrained individuals and contributes significantly to frailty (Larsson et al., 2019).

The average life expectancy in Europe is currently 81.7 years of age, however, the average age of disease onset is 62 years, but may be as young as 54 years (Denmark). Further, the average perceived onset of old age, or the age at which Europeans believe they are elderly has been increasing over time and is now at 74 years (Wettstein et al., 2024). There is a clear mismatch between how active and healthy individuals are, when old age begins and therefore, when a decline in physical capability occurs. Physical activity interventions that target MAA to mitigate the effects of ageing may not be perceived as necessary by the average person, but the evidence supports that early intervention is beneficial. Physical activity has been consistently identified as a key modifying factor that can mitigate many of the adverse effects of ageing. More specifically, regular participation in multimodal exercise, incorporating aerobic, strength, balance, and flexibility exercise, is recommended for improving cardiovascular function, preserving musculoskeletal integrity, enhancing cognitive performance, and reducing the risk of metabolic and neurodegenerative diseases, as well as morbidity and mortality (Garber et al., 2011). Each of the four components of multimodal exercise are pivotal in achieving specific health benefits. For example, strength exercises can offset sarcopenia, improve bone mass and strength and balance, reduce the risk of falling and fall-related injuries, enhance mobility and functional independence and cardiometabolic health (Chodzko-Zajko et al., 2009). Despite this growing body of evidence, participation in multimodal exercise typically declines with age, and many MAA and OAA across Europe do not achieve the weekly recommendations for multimodal exercise (Cooper et al., 2020; Krug et al., 2013; OECD/WHO, 2023).

Several barriers may exist that prevent individuals from taking part in exercise in a public domain and therefore home-based exercise offers a solution to provide access. Home-based physical activity and exercise interventions, with and without health education, provided through digital technology are safe and effective in improving health-related outcomes including physical health and functional health in MAA and OAA (Raquel Costa-Brito et al., 2024). Digital physical activity, exercise, and health education interventions may overcome barriers like mobility limitations, transportation issues, community infrastructure barriers (e.g., community hall availability), exercise instructor availability, and time constraints (Raquel Costa-Brito et al., 2024). A motivating factor may be the convenience and privacy of a home-based physical activity or exercise intervention. Additionally, it appears that most MAA and OAA like to perform exercise in the morning and have the ability to self-select the frequency, intensity and duration of physical activity and exercise (Mehra et al., 2020). In the same regard, an online and on-demand structured programme would provide flexibility for people to watch or listen or perform specific practices at a time that is suitable for them, which may coincide with other activities of daily living (e.g., listening while ironing or cleaning, or commuting to work).

Two research studies conducted by the co-authors (Cooper et al., 2021; Kavanagh et al., 2022) found that 60 minutes of multimodal exercise performed two times per week for 12-weeks (Cooper et al., 2021) and 6-weeks (Kavanagh et al., 2022) significantly improved cardiovascular fitness, strength, power, flexibility and balance. Based on the positive outcomes of this work, the aim of this study was to investigate whether an online and on-demand version of a similar exercise regime of shorter duration would impart comparable benefits. Therefore, an 8-week online and on-demand structured multimodal exercise intervention with two exercise sessions to be conducted per week ranging from 25–34-minutes was developed.

Methods

The present study was part of a research program called ‘AgeWell Europe’ with a consortium comprising four countries (Ireland, Germany, Italy and Slovenia) and as such data collection took place in all four locations simultaneously.

AgeWell Europe is an 8-week online and on-demand structured programme for MAA and OAA in Europe, offering tailored multimodal exercise classes and health education workshops promoting healthy ageing. The multimodal exercise involved strength, fitness, balance, and flexibility exercises, and were supported by an on-demand library of resources. 'AgeWell Europe' is a free resource and may be accessed at the following site https://agewelleurope.eu. All voiceovers for the exercise videos and health education material were translated from English to Italian, German and Slovene, so that the participants registered in each individual country could take part in the study in their native language. Prior to being able to watch any exercise video, the participants were required to watch a mandatory video on exercise intensity to allow them to self-select the correct level of exertion. All exercise videos were designed with multiple intensity levels (level 1: beginner, level 2: intermediate and level 3: advanced) so that the participants could select the exercise variety that was most appropriate to their current physical ability. Therefore, the aim was that all participants would select a relative exercise intensity that was similar and thus overall exercise stimulus across participants would be similar. Each exercise video consisted of approximately a 10-minute warm up, 10-minutes of exercise and 10-minutes of cool down. Each exercise was firstly demonstrated by the instructor, after which the participants choose the appropriate level of difficulty (level 1–3) in order to complete that activity for 30 seconds.

Ethical considerations

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from each of the relevant local ethics committees in Ireland (Ethics Approval from Dublin City University, REC Reference: DCUREC/2024/212), Germany (Ethics Committee of the Institute of Psychology and Sport Sciences 7 at the University of Muenster, No. 2024–77-EB), Italy (Comitato Etico Territoriale CET Ara Nord Veneto, No 0024851/24) and Slovenia (National Medical Ethics Committee at the Ministry of Health Republic of Slovenia, approval no. 146/3/2025). All participants provided their written informed consent to participate in the study, which was performed according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Experimental design

A total of 167 participants were recruited through local advertisement, social media and word of mouth. Participants were male and female MAA (40–64 years) and OA (65–85 years). General physical and mental fitness was required for inclusion and only those with serious medical conditions were excluded (i.e., heart failure, unstable angina, heart attack, stroke within the last year, dyspnoea) or musculoskeletal injuries currently being treated that would prevent them from undertaking any exercise or physical activity. Participants attended an in-person information briefing session to explain the study in full detail and were afforded an opportunity to ask any questions or raise concerns.

The participants were requested to attend an in-person single testing session in the pre- and post-intervention period to conduct all criterium assessments. Following the pre-intervention testing, the participants were provided with their login details to the 'AgeWell Europe' website and instructed to follow the 8-week exercise intervention, consisting of 16 * 25–34-minute evidence-based multimodal exercise (each class contains aerobic, strength, flexibility and balance exercises) classes. These exercise classes were underpinned by clinical exercise physiology and were suitable for adults aged 40–85 years of all functional abilities (beginner, intermediate and advanced levels and chair-based options were also available) and for those living with one or more clinical conditions. The participants were requested to complete 2 of these exercise videos per week. To be included in the data analyses, participants were required to complete at least 10/16 multimodal exercise classes. Participants also had access to 10 health education workshops and 2 mindfulness practices throughout the 8-week intervention, however, viewing these workshops was not mandatory. The final number of participants included in the data analysis was 121 and their characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Experimental procedures

The 45-second single-leg balance test (right leg and left leg), 60-second sit-to-stand test (STS), 60-second press up test and 6-minute walk test (6MWT) were conducted in all four centres during the pre- and post-testing phase. Maximal voluntary contraction and vertical jump were performed as additional assessments in Slovenia, as this was the only facility with access to the necessary laboratory equipment.

45-Second balance assessment (single leg)

This test is a measure of static balance and was adapted from (Springer et al., 2007). Balance was assessed using a single leg stance, whereby the participants were asked to hold this position for up to 45 seconds. Participants were asked to fold their arms across their chest, stand tall and relaxed and when ready lift one leg from the floor in an extended position in front of their body. A researcher would then start the timer when the participant reported being stable, stopping it after 45 seconds or when the participants raised leg touched the floor again, or the arms were introduced to stabilise themselves. Additionally, excessive leg swaying was not permitted. Following a 1-minute rest period, the test was repeated with the opposite leg. This test was performed with eyes open in all countries.

Table 1. Participant characteristics per country and age group. MAA: middle aged adult, OAA: older aged adult.

Country	Number of participants	Male/Female	Age (yrs)
Combined	Total: 121	34/87	61.6 ± 10.3
MAA	71	20/51	55 ± 7.8
OAA	50	15/35	70.9 ± 4.8*
Ireland	Total: 24	3/21	62.7 ± 10.6
MAA	11	2/9	53.8 ± 8.2
OAA	13	1/12	70.2 ± 5.0*
Italy	Total: 21	5/16	64.2 ± 9.6
MAA	10	1/9	56.2 ± 6.9
OAA	11	4/7	71.5 ± 4.3*
German	Total 36	9/27	63.4 ± 6.9
MAA	20	4/16	58.3 ± 4.2
OAA	16	5/11	69.8 ± 3.2*
Slovenia	Total: 40	17/23	58.0 ± 12.3
MAA	30	12/18	52.9 ± 9.2
OAA	10	5/5	73.1 ± 6.6*

*Indicates a significant difference between MAA and OAA.

60-Second sit-to-stand test

This test is a measure of lower-body muscular endurance. An armless chair was placed against a wall for stability and the participants were asked to sit in the middle to front of the chair with their back straight and feet under their knees. They were offered the choice of 2 hand positions: arms folded across the chest, so that their hands rested on the opposite shoulders (harder version) or hands placed on their knees to aid their exertion (easier version). The participants were instructed to move to a full standing position and return to the initial starting position as fast and as safely as possible. This movement was recorded as one repetition. They would repeat this movement pattern as many times as possible in a 60s period. It was permissible to rest during the test. A note of the hand position was made during the pre-testing, so that the same position or a more difficult position could be used in the post-test. Participants were given 1 attempt at this test.

60-Second press up test

This test was used to evaluate upper-body muscular endurance. The participants were asked to start this test in their chosen position (4 possible positions; 1: Full press-up; 2: Modified press-up with extended knees on the floor; 3: Box-press up; and 4: Wall press-up) with their arms fully extended and hands shoulder width or slightly further apart (Cooper et al., 2023). The movement begins by flexing the arms to lower the body until the chest almost touches the target (floor or wall) and the arms are at 90°, at this point, the participants extend their arms, while maintaining a neutral torso, to return to the starting position. This is one repetition. The test requires the participants to perform as many repetitions as possible in 1-minute. A rest break may be taken, but only in the starting position. A note of the hand position was made during the pre-testing, so that the same position or a more difficult one could be used in the post-test.

6-Minute walk test

The 6-minute walk test (6MWT), a measure of cardiorespiratory fitness (Dourado et al., 2021), was performed on a 20-metre flat course with cones placed at either end to indicate the turning points. The participants were requested to walk a maximal distance along this course within a 6-minute period. Running and jogging were not permitted. The participants were informed of the time remaining after every minute and verbal encouragement was provided throughout. The total number of repetitions of the 20-metre course was recorded and then the total distance covered in metres (m) was calculated.

Isometric dynamometry

The participants' maximal voluntary contraction force (MVC) was assessed with an isometric dynamometer (System Pro 4; Biodex Medical Systems, Shirley, NY, USA). The MVC was assessed unilaterally in both extensors and flexors of the knee. The joint angle used for testing was 60 degrees. The dynamometer was calibrated before any testing on a daily basis. Each participant was secured tightly to the apparatus and the centre of rotation of the knee joint aligned with the axis of

rotation of the dynamometer. The participants were requested to conduct five warm up contractions of each muscle group (flexion and extension) beginning at 20% of perceived maximum, increasing in a stepwise manner to 90%. The maximal exertion protocol comprised a 5-second isometric contraction of the agonist followed by a 30-second recovery, then a 5-second isometric contraction of the antagonist followed by a 30-second recovery. This pattern was repeated four times for each muscle group. A researcher provided feedback and encouragement. The peak isometric torque was noted as the highest value of force generated during any one of the four contractions. Peak torque was calculated as the highest average during a 50-millisecond segment or epoch.

Vertical jump

Lower-body power assessed through vertical jump performance was measured using a Leonardo mechanograph platform (Novotec Medical, Pforzheim, Germany). Following a brief warm-up consisting of 8 parallel bodyweight squats, the participant was instructed to stand comfortably on the platform, feet shoulder width apart with their hands positioned on their hips. Upon hearing an audible beep emitted from the software, the participants performed a countermovement vertical jump as fast as possible and were directd to land safely back on the platform. Three maximal effort jumps were conducted with one minute rest between jumps. However, if the 3rd jump was the highest, a 4th and potentially a 5th repetition was conducted. However, the test was terminated at the 5th jump. The maximal height jumped in cm from any one jump was taken as the metric.

Questionnaires

Participants in Ireland, Italy and Germany completed an online questionnaire before and after the 8-week intervention. All questionnaires were available in respective languages. This questionnaire included (i) the Single-Item Measure (M1) of Physical Activity Participation, which assessed the frequency of physical activity engagement over the preceding seven days (Milton et al., 2011) (ii) the World Health Organization Five Well-Being Index (WHO-5), a five-item self-report instrument measuring current well-being (WHO, 1998) and (iii) the Modified Perceived Physical Activity Questionnaire (LIVAS: Lichamelijke Vaardigheden Schaal), a 10-item measure evaluating participants' perceptions of their physical abilities relative to peers of the same age (Ryckman et al., 1982).

M1-Single item questionnaire

The M1 questionnaire asks the respondents "in the past week, on how many days have you done a total of 30 minutes or more of physical activity, which was enough to raise your breathing rate. This may include sport, exercise and brisk walking or cycling for recreation or to get to and from places but should not include housework or physical activity that may be part of your job". Acceptable and valid responses range from 0 to 7 (Milton et al., 2011).

WHO-5

The World Health Organization-Five Well-Being Index (WHO-5) is a self-report instrument measuring mental well-being (WHO, 1998). It consists of five statements relating to the past two weeks. For example "Over the last two weeks, I have felt cheerful and in good spirits". Each statement is rated on a 6-point scale with anchors of 0 being "at no time" and 5 meaning "all of the time". Higher scores indicate better mental well-being. The questions cover only positive feelings of well-being.

LIVAS (Perceived physical ability subscale)

Physical self-efficacy was measured with the LIVAS-scale. Participants' physical abilities were evaluated through 10 items comparing their own self-perception with that of their age-matched peers. Each item was rated on a five-point Likert scale, starting with more negative to more positive responses regarding their perceived ability (Ryckman et al., 1982). For example "Compared to most people my age, I'm probably: 1) much less flexible, 2) less flexible, 3) just as flexible, 4) a little more flexible, and 5) much more flexible".

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted using R (version 4.4.2; R Core Team) with the *lme4*, *lmerTest*, and *effectsize* packages. A significance threshold of $p < 0.05$ was set for all tests. Descriptive statistics were first calculated for each outcome measure, summarised as mean $\pm$ standard deviation at pre (T1) and post-intervention (T2).

Linear mixed-effects models (LMMs) were fitted separately for each outcome variable (i.e., right and left leg balance, sit to stand test, press up test, 6MWT, MVC knee flexion, MVC knee extension, vertical jump, MI, WHO-5 and LIVAS) to evaluate changes over time and whether these changes differed across age and sex subgroups. The LMM framework was considered appropriate given the longitudinal design, where repeated measurements within individuals introduce correlation and variability across participants. Such models are widely recognised for their ability to accommodate incomplete or unbalanced data and to provide robust estimates of group-by-time effects (Twisk, 2013).

The models included fixed effects of group (i.e., age or sex), time (pre vs. post), and the group-by-time interaction, with participants specified as a random intercept to account for within-subject correlation due to repeated measures. The primary analysis evaluated the group-by-time interaction, reported with F-statistics and corresponding *p*-values. Within each subgroup, pre–post changes were further summarised using Cohen's *d* effect sizes, interpreted as small ($d = 0.20$), medium ($d = 0.50$), or large ($d = 0.80$).

Results

Participants who did not return for the post-intervention or those who completed less than 10 of the 16 prescribed exercise videos were removed from the data set. Therefore, a total of 121 participants were included in this analysis. In total, 87 participants (72%) completed all 16 multimodal exercise videos. A total of 12 participants (10%) completed 15 multimodal exercise videos, 13 participants (11%) completed 14 multimodal exercise videos, 3 participants (2%) completed 13 multimodal exercise videos, 1 participant (1%) completed 12 multimodal exercise videos, 4 participants (3%) completed 11 multimodal exercise videos, and 1 participant (1%) completed 10 multimodal exercise videos.

Information regarding the 34 male and 87 female participants who completed the 'AgeWell Europe' programme is provided in Table 1. A total of 85 participants provided data on whether they were living with one or more chronic conditions. In total, 21 participants out of 85 participants (25%) were living with one or more chronic conditions, whereas 64 participants (75%) reported no chronic conditions.

Comparison by age groups

No significant group-by-time interaction effects were observed for any of the outcomes between age groups (all $p > 0.05$, F score between 0.01–1.6) (Table 2), indicating that changes over time did not differ significantly between the MAA and OAA groups. However, several within-group effects were statistically significant, with small to moderate effect sizes depending on the outcome, indicating improvements following the intervention.

Both age groups (MAA and OAA) demonstrated improvements for STS and press up performance, which reached statistical significance, with large effect sizes ($d = 0.86$–1.20, $p < 0.001$). Similarly, the 6MWT and M1 in both groups achieved statistical significance with medium-to-large effects ($d = 0.51$–1.08, $p < 0.001$). LIVAS achieved a statistically significant outcome with small effect sizes in both age groups (MAA: $d = 0.39$, $p = 0.02$; OAA: $d = 0.34$, $p = 0.04$).

No significant improvements were observed for balance on the right leg ($p > 0.05$). However, on the left leg, only the MAA significantly improved ($d = 0.30$, $p = 0.02$). Similarly, for the vertical jump, only the MAA exhibited a significant improvement with a small effect ($d = 0.47$ and $p = 0.02$).

No significant changes in knee extension and flexion strength or WHO-5 scores were observed ($p > 0.05$).

Comparison by sex

In the sex analyses, statistically significant group-by-time interaction effects were observed for two outcomes: Sit-to-Stand (STS) ($F = 5.62$, $p = 0.02$) and the 6MWT ($F = 5.72$, $p = 0.02$), indicating that changes over time differed significantly between males and females for these measures (Table 3). Both males and females significantly improved their STS and 6MWT performance ($p < 0.001$), with large effect sizes observed in both groups but slightly larger in males (STS: $d = 1.17$; 6MWT: $d = 0.91$), compared to females (STS: $d = 1.01$; 6MWT: $d = 0.86$). No significant interaction effects were found for the remaining outcomes (all $p > 0.05$, F-score range: 0.01–2.42).

Within group analysis indicated that press up performance reached statistical significance in both groups ($p < 0.001$), with males demonstrating a medium effect size ($d = 0.70$) and females a large effect size ($d = 0.92$). For both the M1 ($d = 0.547$, $p < 0.001$) and LIVAS ($d = 0.38$, $p = 0.003$), statistically significant improvements were only found in females, but not in males ($p > 0.05$). Similarly, significant improvements in balance were only observed in females on solely the right leg ($p = 0.03$, $d = 0.23$). In contrast, vertical jump only statistically significantly improved in males ($d = 0.56$, $p = 0.03$).

Discussion

This study set out to investigate whether an 8-week online and on-demand structured multimodal exercise intervention consisting of two 25–34-minute sessions per week would have a positive benefit on several key physiological parameters. The key findings of 'AgeWell Europe' are that the intervention significantly improved upper- and lower-body muscular endurance and cardiorespiratory fitness in male and female MAA and OAA. Additionally, participation in the study led to significantly increased physical activity and physical self-efficacy in MAA and OAA, but only in females when analysed by sex. Single-leg static balance significantly improved for the left leg of MAA only and a significant improvement of balance in the right leg of females only was noted. For vertical jump height, which was solely examined in Slovenia, a

Table 2. Linear mixed model results for outcomes by age group and time.

Outcome	N	Group	T1 (Mean ± SD)	T2 (Mean ± SD)	Group-by-Time		Within Group	
					F-score	p-value	Cohen's d	p-value
Right Leg Balance (seconds)	70	MAA	39.29 ± 12.54	41.13 ± 9.95	0.60	0.44	0.206	0.09
	51	OAA	29.04 ± 17.28	32.39 ± 16.6			0.268	0.06
Left Leg Balance (seconds)	70	MAA	40.9 ± 9.97	43.1 ± 6.6	0.04	0.85	0.294	0.02
	51	OAA	32.06 ± 15.70	34.63 ± 14.84			0.193	0.17
Sit-to-Stand (repetitions)	69	MAA	34.64 ± 9.55	40.90 ± 11.55	1.15	0.29	1.20	<0.001*
	51	OAA	28.14 ± 6.59	33.29 ± 8.57			0.86	<0.001*
Press Up (repetitions)	70	MAA	30.21 ± 8.06	36.4 ± 9.85	0.88	0.35	0.746	<0.001*
	50	OAA	27.32 ± 7.20	34.88 ± 8.27			1.024	<0.001*
6MWT (meters)	69	MAA	641.46 ± 118.77	688.61 ± 118.77	0.26	0.61	0.757	<0.001*
	50	OAA	539.18 ± 101.24	581.24 ± 112.82			1.079	<0.001*
MVC Knee Flexion (Nm)	29	MAA	81.31 ± 27.41	78.96 ± 25.52	1.33	0.26	−0.285	0.13
	11	OAA	64.50 ± 23.66	65.56 ± 24.33			0.124	0.68
MVC Knee Extension (Nm)	29	MAA	188.24 ± 63.43	189.44 ± 56.25	0.07	0.80	0.047	0.80
	11	OAA	170.69 ± 52.32	174.20 ± 58.4			0.148	0.62
Vertical Jump (cm)	29	MAA	30.14 ± 6.97	31.07 ± 6.96	0.97	0.33	0.466	0.02*
	11	OAA	24.36 ± 7.34	24.55 ± 7.12			0.072	0.81
M1 (days per week)	41	MAA	3.05 ± 2.24	4.24 ± 1.65	0.88	0.35	0.548	0.001*
	40	OAA	4.18 ± 1.97	4.97 ± 1.61			0.514	0.002*
WHO-5	41	MAA	15.2 ± 4.34	14.49 ± 4.26	1.60	0.21	−0.171	0.27
	38	OAA	15.82 ± 4.16	16.21 ± 4.15			0.111	0.49
LIVAS	41	MAA	29.49 ± 4.85	31.44 ± 5.06	0.01	0.91	0.388	0.02*
	39	OAA	32.59 ± 5.89	34.69 ± 6.34			0.337	0.04*

T1; pre-testing. T2; post testing.
*denotes statistical significance. SD; standard deviation. 6MWT; 6-Minute Walk Test. Nm; Newton-meters. MVC; maximal voluntary contraction. M1; single-item measure physical activity participation. WHO-5; World Health Organization Five Wellbeing Index. LIVAS; Lichamelijke Vaardigheden Schaal or perceived physical activity.

Open Research Europe 2026, 6:145 Last updated: 10 JUL 2026

Table 3. Linear mixed model results for outcomes by sex group and time.

Outcome	Group	T1 (Mean ± SD)	T2 (Mean ± SD)	Group-by-Time		Within Group	
				F-score	p-value	Cohen's d	p-value
Right Leg Balance (seconds)	Male	33.88 ± 15.06	36.56 ± 14.02	0.02	0.90	0.24	0.16
	Female	35.39 ± 15.75	37.79 ± 13.79			0.23	0.03*
Left Leg Balance (seconds)	Male	36.50 ± 13.14	40.38 ± 10.94	1.04	0.31	0.34	0.05
	Female	37.44 ± 13.54	39.20 ± 11.88			0.18	0.10
Sit-to-Stand (repetitions)	Male	31.38 ± 9.20	39.06 ± 12.14	5.62	0.02*	1.17	<0.001*
	Female	32.07 ± 8.95	37.12 ± 10.57			1.01	<0.001*
Press Up (repetitions)	Male	28.44 ± 7.81	34.68 ± 9.04	0.21	0.65	0.70	<0.001*
	Female	29.23 ± 7.85	36.20 ± 9.31			0.92	<0.001*
6MWT (meters)	Male	624.18 ± 132.81	687.79 ± 148.34	5.72	0.02*	0.91	<0.001*
	Female	588.63 ± 117.33	626.50 ± 114.96			0.86	<0.001*
MVC Knee Flexion (Nm)	Male	88.30 ± 32.08	87.70 ± 30.77	0.27	0.60	−0.08	0.72
	Female	68.10 ± 19.58	66.09 ± 16.34			−0.22	0.30
MVC Knee Extension (Nm)	Male	207.48 ± 64.89	209.72 ± 63.17	0.01	0.93	0.08	0.75
	Female	165.63 ± 51.35	167.17 ± 44.21			0.07	0.72
Vertical Jump (cm)	Male	32.12 ± 8.07	33.29 ± 7.83	1.32	0.26	0.56	0.03*
	Female	25.91 ± 5.82	26.30 ± 5.82			0.18	0.39
M1 (days per week)	Male	3.53 ± 2.53	4.35 ± 1.93	0.18	0.67	0.44	0.08
	Female	3.62 ± 2.09	4.67 ± 1.59			0.55	<0.001*
WHO-5	Male	13.67 ± 4.84	14.33 ± 5.58	0.87	0.35	0.10	0.68
	Female	15.92 ± 4.01	15.55 ± 3.92			−0.12	0.33
LIVAS	Male	30.59 ± 4.43	33.18 ± 5.39	0.21	0.64	0.33	0.12
	Female	31.11 ± 5.87	32.98 ± 6.09			0.38	0.003*

T1; pre-testing. T2; post testing.
*denotes statistical significance. SD; standard deviation. 6MWT; 6-Minute Walk Test. Nm; Newton-meters. MVC; maximal voluntary contraction. M1; single-item measure physical activity participation. WHO-5; World Health Organization Five Wellbeing Index. LIVAS; Lichamelijke Vaardigheden Schaal or perceived physical activity.

Open Research Europe 2026, 6:145 Last updated: 10 JUL 2026

significant improvement was evident in MAA only and in males only. This intervention, which is underpinned by clinical exercise physiology, led to improvements in key health-related components of fitness including muscular endurance and cardiorespiratory fitness. Therefore, these findings support the efficacy of 'AgeWell Europe' as a home-based, digitally-driven strategy to provide accessible, flexible, practical and scalable multimodal exercise to improve physical performance physical activity participation and physical self-efficacy in community-dwelling MAA and OAA in Europe. The observed positive effects are indicative that short-term low volume multimodal exercise interventions are effective at mitigating the physiological maladaptations associated with ageing. Thereby preventing, managing and treating long-term conditions and reducing healthcare burden and recovery, as well as improving autonomy and confidence to engage in healthy lifestyle behaviours.

Single-leg static balance

The age group based analysis indicated a significant improvement in left leg balance in MAA only, but no significant change in balance on the right leg for either age-group were observed. When analysed by sex, there was a significant improvement identified in females only for balance on the right leg. In consideration of the training volume used in the study, the time spent performing balance exercises may not be conducive to permit improvements in bilateral single-leg static balance after 8 weeks in MAA and OAA. The average time allocated to balance exercises per exercise video was 2 minutes, although it ranged from 1–3 minutes. It is not necessarily a given that longer time and volume practicing balance will lead to increasingly significant results (Gebel et al., 2018; Muehlbauer, 2021), however, there is very likely a threshold prior to which no improvement will be statistically significant, with most participants obtaining over an average of 30 seconds prior to the current program. Muehlbauer, (2021) found improved balance after 240 minutes across 4 weeks of training, while others report that balance requires up to 11–12 weeks of three 30-minutes sessions per week in order to elicit improvements in balance (Lesinski et al., 2015). This is in agreement with the recommendations from the American College of Sports Medicine, with a minimum training duration of 20–30 minutes 2 to 3 days per week for neuromotor exercise (Liguori et al., 2019). Furthermore, the authors assessed static balance only, rather than dynamic balance. With regard to the efficacy of the exercise intervention to reduce the risk of failing, the currrent authors recommend that dynamic balance testing is included to provide further data supporting the use of strength training in an ageing population.

Lower-body muscular endurance

MAA and OAA significantly increased the number of STS repetitions completed in 60 seconds, indicating a positive effect of the current intervention on lower body muscular endurance. Additionally, both male and female groups significantly improved the STS score, however, there was no statistical difference between sexes. With a similar sex balance to the current manuscript, (Cooper et al., 2021) reported OAA individuals can significantly improve their STS score from 26 to 35 repetitions following a 12-week multimodal exercise intervention. The current participants exhibited similar positive adaptations to those of (Cooper et al., 2021) following a shorter duration intervention. The improvement in lower-body muscular endurance after the 'AgeWell Europe' programme is noteworthy as lower-body muscular endurance is an independent predictor of health (García-Hermoso et al., 2018) and is vital for maintaining independence when engaging in activities of daily living, reducing fall risk (Zhong et al., 2024) and mortality (García-Hermoso et al., 2018; Zhong et al., 2024). All groups on average performed a number of STS repetitions that would place them in the 2nd quartet percentile, indicating good to excellent results post-intervention (Strassmann et al., 2013). As such, the current participants post-programme possess improved lower-body muscular function that is highly desirable for healthy ageing and an independent functional lifestyle, permitting mobility, reduced risk for injuries and falls, and ability to lift and reach things easier.

Upper-Body Muscular Endurance

The present findings demonstrate that MAA and OAA achieved significant improvements in upper-body muscular endurance, as measured by the press-up test, after the 8-week intervention. Additionally, the sex based analysis identified significant improvements in upper-body muscular endurance in both sexes. These findings align with prior research indicating that both resistance and bodyweight exercise programmes can significantly improve muscular endurance in adults (Grgic et al., 2022; Ogawa et al., 2023). This result has important implications as higher levels of upper-body muscular endurance are associated with a reduced risk of all-cause mortality regardless of age (García-Hermoso et al., 2018). Importantly, no significant differences were observed between age groups, suggesting that the programme was equally effective for participants in middle and later adulthood. From examining the mean change, OAA improved repetitions completed in the press-up test similarly to MAA suggesting that neuro-muscular plasticity remains stable and has equal potential to adapt regardless of age. The literature supports this and has continually found that resistance and multimodal exercise can improve strength, endurance, and overall physical ability even later in life (Lopez et al., 2023). These findings suggest that easy-to-follow, structured home-based multimodal exercise has the potential to improve upper-body endurance for anyone, regardless of age or sex.

Cardiorespiratory Fitness

The results of the 6MWT reveal that both the MAA and OAA groups were capable of walking significantly further after the 8-week intervention. Moreover, the sex based analysis found that both males and females walked significantly further post-programme. Therefore, the current 8 week exercise intervention increased cardiorespiratory endurance, as well as lower-limb muscular endurance (according to the STS results discussed above) culminating in reduced fatigue and increased speed and ultimately distance. These findings are in agreement with previous research, which found that structured physical activity increases endurance and exercise ability in adults (Enright & Sherrill, 1998). The improvements in both age groups identify that targeted multimodal exercise programs can improve cardiorespiratory endurance, regardless of their baseline fitness level or age group. In both pre- and post-intervention tests, participants in the MAA group walked farther than those in the OAA group. Although both groups significantly improved, the MAA group's consistently greater distances may be due to differences in initial fitness as importantly, the amount of gain was similar for both groups, suggesting that the intervention was beneficial for all participants, regardless of their starting level. Sex differences were also observed. Males walked farther than females both before and after the intervention and had larger absolute improvements. These results are in line with prior work indicating that males often achieve higher distances in the 6MWT, likely due to physiological factors such as greater muscle mass, cardiovascular capacity, stride length and turnover rate and power (Gibbons et al., 2001). Overall, the data suggest that multimodal exercise programmes can enhance walking performance, but personal factors like baseline fitness and sex affect the degree of potential benefit. This is an important finding as cardiorespiratory fitness is an independent predictor of health (Wei et al., 1999) and is strongly correlated to gait speed (Chen et al., 2021). Slow gait speed is associated with poor neurocognitive functioning and is linked with frailty, and an increased risk and incidence of falls, morbidity and mortality (Figgins et al., 2021; Fritz & Lusardi, 2009; Imran et al., 2019; Rasmussen et al., 2019). Improvements in the distance achieved during the 6MWT post-programme may be related to physiological changes such as greater muscle mass, muscular endurance, cardiorespiratory capacity, stride length, and power (Gibbons et al., 2001). Overall, the data suggest that online, structured, and on-demand multimodal exercise program can enhance walking performance after 8 weeks.

Lower-limb muscular strength (slovenia only)

The current intervention did not lead to significant changes in maximal isometric voluntary contraction (MVC) for knee extension or flexion in either MAA or OAA groups or male or female groups. While the MAA group consistently demonstrated higher absolute strength values compared to the OAA group for both knee extension and flexion, the relative changes over the intervention period were non-significant. The programme improved muscular endurance and functional performance but did not produce significant gains in maximal strength. This is likely due to the multimodal exercise programme emphasising muscular endurance instead of higher-load strength training required for maximal strength improvements. Research has established that substantial gains in maximal strength require progressive, high-intensity training specifically targeting force development (Peterson et al., 2011). The higher strength levels seen in the MAA are consistent with known age-related reductions in muscle capacity. It is therefore possible that the lack of meaningful change in maximal strength highlights that developing maximal force requires heavier loads, gradual increases in intensity, or longer training periods with the specific aim of increasing maximal strength and adjusted according to participants' age and initial fitness levels.

Vertical Jump Performance (Slovenia only)

The age-group based analysis identified a significant increase (with a medium effect size) in vertical jump height in MAA. Additionally, the sex-group based analysis found a significant increase in vertical jump height in males only, with a small effect size. The countermovement jump is used to assess lower-body explosive power and is linked with maximum speed and explosive speed. The current 8-week multimodal exercise program did not focus on explosive movements but rather muscular endurance-based exercises that could be conducted with bodyweight or light loads. There were significant improvements in lower body muscular endurance in all groups which potentially contributed to increased vertical jump. The contribution here is likely through improvements in neuromuscular control and improved biomechanics and joint control rather than hypertrophy. There is an imbalance in the distribution of participants to the MAA and OAA within this cohort which likely explains why a significant improvement in jump height was identified for the MAA and not the OAA, who were likely underpowered. Lower body power decreases with ageing and may contribute to increased risk and incidence of falls and fall-related injuries and as such the countermovement jump was included in the test battery (Zhu et al., 2025). The current findings are therefore in line with the literature that no improvement was noted (Vitale et al., 2018), however, the caveat being a small sample size and a small effect size. Moreover, it is not clear why the male participants increased their vertical jump height albeit a small improvement with a small effect. Similarly to maximal strength training, the 'AgeWell Europe' programme was not specifically designed in order to improve these functional performance measures specifically and focused on primarily muscular endurance type exercises which could be completed safely at home, without the need for monitoring by an exercise professional. There is the potential that the larger body mass of the males contributed to an increased relative training load that translated to an approximate 1 cm

improvement in jump height. Vertical jump may also improve due to improvements in coordination and synchronisation, but why this would be favoured in the male and MAA adults remains unresolved and cannot be determined based on the current methodology.

Questionnaires

The online questionnaire was designed to examine changes in physical activity participation (M1 single-item measure physical activity questionnaire), subjective wellbeing (WHO-5 index) and physical self-efficacy (LIVAS) post-programme. The age-group based analysis found significant improvements in M1 and LIVAS following the intervention for both MAA and OAA. Sex based analysis identified significant improvements in females, but not males, for the M1 and LIVAS. There were no significant differences in WHO-5 from pre- to post-programme when compared by age groups or by sex. Increasing physical activity participation, particularly engagement in the four types of multimodal exercise (aerobic, strength, balance, and flexibility) is pivotal for healthy functional ageing. These four types of exercise have independent and synergistic benefits for physical health, metabolic health, mental health, functionality, independence and quality of life (Faigenbaum, 2017; Garber et al., 2011; Hoeger et al., 2019). For example, strength exercises help to increase muscle mass, increase muscle strength, endurance, and power, increase bone mass and strength, and improve cardiometabolic health. Thus, multimodal exercise can contribute to the prevention, treatment, and management of long-term conditions (e.g., type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, osteopenia and osteoporosis etc.) in MAA and OAA across Europe. This will help to reduce morbidity, morality, and healthcare burden. Self-efficacy refers to an individual's belief in their ability to perform specific behaviours or tasks (Bandura, 1977). Physical self-efficacy has been identified as a key predictor of both the initiation and maintenance of physical activity (Zelle et al., 2016). Individuals with higher levels of physical self-efficacy are more likely to sustain regular participation in physical activity, leading to beneficial health outcomes. The improved physical self-efficacy is likely due to a variety of reasons such as: an increased awareness regarding the importance of multimodal exercise for healthy ageing, receiving clear and accurate exercise technique instructions, improved motivation and confidence to complete exercise at home independently at an appropriate intensity and finally access to a library of health education videos. Despite improvements in physical activity participation and physical self-efficacy, there was no change in wellbeing following the current intervention. The average scores for WHO-5 pre- and post-intervention are above the threshold of a total score of 13 which indicates poor wellbeing and a need for further testing for depression (WHO, 1998). It is possible that the generalised statements of the WHO-5 questionnaire, such as "over the last two weeks, I woke up feeling fresh and rested" were not specific enough to the effect of the present 8-week programme on wellbeing. The scoring of the WHO-5 questionnaire may have been impacted by events or experiences in the personal, social, and professional life domains of participants at the time.

Limitations

The findings of this study should be considered with respect to several limitations. Firstly, this was a one-group pre-post test design study with no control group. Thus, we recommend that a randomised controlled trial should be conducted in each respective country to further bolster the current findings. Secondly, we acknowledge that physical activity and exercise performed outside of the prescribed programme was not monitored or supervised and was not explicitly restricted as part of the study. This may have influenced the findings of this study and we acknowledge that this may need to be considered in any future research particularly with regard to a controlled randomised trial. However, from a health perspective, the inclusion of other physical activity is welcome and may be viewed as beneficial. While the outcome results may be effected by the inclusion of other activity, the aim of use a programme is to instil an intrinsic motivation in the participants to partake in activity and continue it after the interventions ends. Thirdly, only one of the research teams had access to a physiological laboratory to examine muscle strength and vertical jump performance and therefore, these tests couldn't be performed in all four counties. Additionally, one research team did not administer the questionnaires and therefore, this data is available for three countries only.

Conclusions

The present study demonstrates that 'AgeWell Europe', an 8-week online and on-demand multimodal exercise programme is effective at significantly improving lower- and upper-body muscular endurance and cardiorespiratory fitness in differing age groups (MAA & OAA) and sexes (male & female). These improvements support previous literature regarding the benefits of regular engagement in multimodal exercise which have important implications for reducing morbidity and mortality, and maintaining functional independence as people age. Further to this, improvements in overall engagement in physical activity and an increased sense of capability to perform multimodal exercise regardless of age suggests long-term adherence in positive lifestyle behaviours.

Data availability statement

The data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC-BY 4.0).

Underlying data

Figshare: Physiological and psychological data collected during AgeWell Europe.

Erasmus+, 2023–1-IE01-KA220-ADU-.

https://doi.org/10.25398/rd.northumbria.31224316 (McDonnell, 2026).

Extended data

Figshare: Physiological and psychological data collected during AgeWell Europe.

Erasmus+, 2023–1-IE01-KA220-ADU-.

https://doi.org/10.25398/rd.northumbria.31224316 (McDonnell, 2026).

The project contains the following extended data:

- Data collection sheets
- Questionnaires: LIVAS, WHO-5 and M1

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to sincerely thank the participants for their effort and time. We are indebted to Ms. Anne Kavanagh as the exercise instructor in all exercise videos. Mr. Igor Djilas and Mr. Damjan Jovanovič who assisted with the recording of the voice over work required for the online exercise videos, we owe them our continued appreciation. James Van den Broek and Andrew Nolan of Anitech were integral in launching and maintaining the https://agewelleurope.eu website and responsive web application.

References

Bandura A: **Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change.** *Psychol. Rev.* 1977; **84**(2): 191–215.
Publisher Full Text

Carmeli E, Coleman R, Reznick AZ: **The biochemistry of aging muscle.** *Exp. Gerontol.* 2002; **37**(4): 477–489.
Publisher Full Text

Chen S, Sierra S, Shin Y, *et al.*: **Gait speed trajectory during the six-minute walk test in multiple sclerosis: a measure of walking endurance [original research].** *Front. Neurol.* 2021; **12-2021**.
Publisher Full Text

Chodzko-Zajko WJ, Proctor DN, Fiatarone Singh MA, *et al.*: **american college of sports medicine position stand. Exercise and physical activity for older adults.** *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2009; **41**(7): 1510–1530.
Publisher Full Text

Cooper D, Kavanagh R, Bolton J, *et al.*: **Multimodal Physical Activity Participation Rates in Middle-Aged and Older Adults.** *Ir. Med. J.* 2020; **113**(9): 1–8.

Cooper D, Kavanagh R, Bolton J, *et al.*: **'Prime Time of Life', A 12-week home-based online multimodal exercise training and health education programme for middle-aged and older adults in laois.** *Phys. Act. Health.* 2021.

Cooper D, Ward K, Kavanagh R, *et al.*: **'MenoWell': A pilot 6-week novel, online, multimodal exercise and health education programme for women in all stages of menopause living in Laois, Ireland.** *Phys. Act. Health.* 2023.
Publisher Full Text

Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Baeyens JP, Bauer JM, *et al.*: **Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis: Report of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People.** *Age Ageing.* 2010; **39**(4): 412–423.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Landi F, Schneider SM, *et al.*: **Prevalence of and interventions for sarcopenia in ageing adults: a systematic review. Report of the International Sarcopenia Initiative (EWGSOP and IWGS).** *Age Ageing.* 2014; **43**(6): 748–759.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Dourado VZ, Nishiaka RK, Simões MSMP, *et al.*: **Classification of cardiorespiratory fitness using the six-minute walk test in adults: Comparison with cardiopulmonary exercise testing.** *Pulmonology.* 2021; **27**(6): 500–508.
Publisher Full Text

English KL, Paddon-Jones D: **Protecting muscle mass and function in older adults during bed rest.** *Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metab. Care.* 2010; **13**(1): 34–39.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Enright PL, Sherrill DL: **Reference equations for the six-minute walk in healthy adults.** *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 1998; **158**(5 Pt 1): 1384–1387.
Publisher Full Text

Faigenbaum AD: **Enhancing Your Muscular Fitness.** *ACSM's Complete Guide to Fitness & Health.* Human Kinetics; 2nd ed.2017.

Faulkner JA, Brooks SV, Zerba E: **Muscle atrophy and weakness with aging: contraction-induced injury as an underlying mechanism.** *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 1995; **50 Spec No**: 124–129.
PubMed Abstract

Figgins E, Choi YH, Speechley M, *et al.*: **Associations between potentially modifiable and nonmodifiable risk factors and gait speed in middle- and older-aged adults: results from the canadian longitudinal study on aging.** *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 2021; **76**(10): e253–e263.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Fritz S, Lusardi M: **White Paper: "Walking Speed: the Sixth Vital Sign".** *J. Geriatr. Phys. Ther.* 2009; **32**(2): 2–5.
Publisher Full Text

Garber CE, Blissmer B, Deschenes MR, *et al.*: **American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Quantity and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining cardiorespiratory, musculoskeletal, and neuromotor fitness in apparently healthy adults: guidance for prescribing exercise.** *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2011; **43**(7): 1334–1359.
Publisher Full Text

García-Hermoso A, Cavero-Redondo I, Ramírez-Vélez R, *et al.*: **Muscular strength as a predictor of all-cause mortality in an apparently healthy population: A systematic review and meta-analysis of data from approximately 2 million men and women.** *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 2018; **99**(10): 2100–2113.e5.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Gebel A, Lesinski M, Behm DG, *et al.*: **Effects and dose–response relationship of balance training on balance performance in youth: A systematic review and meta-analysis.** *Sports Med.* 2018; **48**(9): 2067–2089.
Publisher Full Text

Ghosh S, Lertwattanarak R, Garduno Jde J, *et al.*: **Elevated muscle TLR4 expression and metabolic endotoxemia in human aging.** *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 2015; **70**(2): 232–246.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Gibbons WJ, Fruchter N, Sloan S, *et al.*: **Reference values for a multiple repetition 6-minute walk test in healthy adults older than 20 years.** *J. Cardpulm. Rehabil.* 2001; **21**(2): 87–93.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Grgic J, Schoenfeld BJ, Orazem J, *et al.*: **Effects of resistance training performed to repetition failure or non-failure on muscular strength and hypertrophy: A systematic review and meta-analysis.** *J. Sport Health Sci.* 2022; **11**(2): 202–211.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Hoeger WWK, Hoeger SA, Hoeger CI, *et al.*: *Lifetime physical fitness & wellness.* Cengage Learning; 15th ed. 2019.

Imran TF, Orkaby A, Chen J, *et al.*: **Walking pace is inversely associated with risk of death and cardiovascular disease: The Physicians' Health Study.** *Atherosclerosis.* 2019; **289**: 51–56.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Kavanagh R, Cooper D, Bolton J, *et al.*: **The impact of a 6-week community-based physical activity and health education intervention-a pilot study among Irish farmers.** *Ir. J. Med. Sci.* 2022; **191**(1): 433–445.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Kim TN, Park MS, Yang SJ, *et al.*: **Prevalence and determinant factors of sarcopenia in patients with type 2 diabetes: the korean sarcopenic obesity study (KSOS).** *Diabetes Care.* 2010; **33**(7): 1497–1499.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Krug S, Jordan S, Mensink GB, *et al.*: **Physical activity: results of the german health interview and examination survey for adults (DEGS1).** 2013; **56**(5-6): 765–771.
Publisher Full Text

Larsson L, Degens H, Li M, *et al.*: **Sarcopenia: aging-related loss of muscle mass and function.** *Physiol. Rev.* 2019; **99**(1): 427–511.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Lesinski M, Hortobágyi T, Muehlbauer T, *et al.*: **Effects of balance training on balance performance in healthy older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis.** *Sports Med.* 2015; **45**(12): 1721–1738.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Liguori G, Williams L, Wilkins: *ACSM's Clinical Exercise Physiology + ACSM's Guidelines, for Exercise Testing and Prescription.* LWW;10th ed 2019.

Lopez P, Rech A, Petropoulou M, *et al.*: **Does High-Velocity Resistance Exercise Elicit Greater Physical Function Benefits Than Traditional Resistance Exercise in Older Adults? A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis of 79 Trials.** *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 2023; **78**(8): 1471–1482.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Manini TM, Visser M, Won-Park S, *et al.*: **Knee extension strength cutpoints for maintaining mobility.** *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 2007; **55**(3): 451–457.
Publisher Full Text

McDonnell A: Physiological and psychological data collected during AgeWell Europe. Dataset. Northumbria University; 2026.
Publisher Full Text

Mehra S, van den Helder J, Visser B, *et al.*: **Evaluation of a blended physical activity intervention for older adults: mixed methods study.** *J. Med. Internet Res.* 2020; **22**(7): e16380.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Milton K, Bull FC, Bauman A: **Reliability and validity testing of a single-item physical activity measure.** *Br. J. Sports Med.* 2011; **45**(3): 203–208.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Muehlbauer T: **Effects of balance training on static and dynamic balance performance in healthy children: role of training duration and volume.** *BMC. Res. Notes.* 2021; **14**(1): 465.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

OECD/WHO: *Step Up! Tackling the Burden of Insufficient Physical Activity in Europe.* OECD Publishing; 2023.

Ogawa M, Hashimoto Y, Mochizuki Y, *et al.*: **Effects of free weight and body mass-based resistance training on thigh muscle size, strength and intramuscular fat in healthy young and middle-aged individuals.** *Exp. Physiol.* 2023; **108**(7): 975–985.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Peterson MD, Pistilli E, Haff GG, *et al.*: **Progression of volume load and muscular adaptation during resistance exercise.** *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 2011; **111**(6): 1063–1071.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Raquel Costa-Brito A, Bovolini A, Rúa-Alonso M, *et al.*: **Home-based exercise interventions delivered by technology in older adults: A scoping review of technological tools usage.** *Int. J. Med. Inform.* 2024; **181**: 105287.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Rasmussen LJH, Caspi A, Ambler A, *et al.*: **Association of neurocognitive and physical function with gait speed in midlife.** *JAMA Netw. Open.* 2019; **2**(10): e1913123.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Rosenberg IH: **Summary comments.** *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 1989; **50**(5): 1231–1233.
Publisher Full Text

Rosenberg IH: **Sarcopenia: origins and clinical relevance.** *J. Nutr.* 1997; **127**(5 Suppl): 990s–991s.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Ryckman R, Robbins M, Thornton B, *et al.*: **Development and Validation of a Physical Self-Efficacy Scale.** *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* 1982; **42**(5): 891–900.
Publisher Full Text

Springer BA, Marin R, Cyhan T, *et al.*: **Normative values for the unipedal stance test with eyes open and closed.** *J. Geriatr. Phys. Ther.* 2007; **30**(1): 8–15.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Stenholm S, Harris TB, Rantanen T, *et al.*: **Sarcopenic obesity: definition, cause and consequences.** *Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metab. Care.* 2008; **11**(6): 693–700.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Strassmann A, Steurer-Stey C, Lana KD, *et al.*: **Population-based reference values for the 1-min sit-to-stand test.** *Int. J. Public Health.* 2013; **58**(6): 949–953.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Tinetti ME: **Where is the vision for fall prevention?.** *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 2001; **49**(5): 676–677.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Twisk JWR: *Applied Longitudinal Data Analysis for Epidemiology: A Practical Guide.* Cambridge University Press; 2nd ed. 2013.
Publisher Full Text

Vitale JA, La Torre A, Banfi G, *et al.*: **Effects of an 8-Week body-weight neuromuscular training on dynamic balance and vertical jump performances in elite junior skiing athletes: a randomized controlled trial.** *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 2018; **32**(4): 911–920.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Wei M, Kampert JB, Barlow CE, *et al.*: **Relationship between low cardiorespiratory fitness and mortality in normal-weight, overweight, and obese men.** *JAMA.* 1999; **282**(16): 1547–1553.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Wettstein M, Park R, Kornadt AE, *et al.*: **Postponing old age: Evidence for historical change toward a later perceived onset of old age.** *Psychol. Aging.* 2024; **39**(5): 526–541.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

WHO: **Wellbeing measures in primary health care/the DepCare Project: report on a WHO meeting: Stockholm, Sweden, 12–13 February 1998.** 1998.
Reference Source

Wolfson L, Judge J, Whipple R, *et al.*: **Strength is a major factor in balance, gait, and the occurrence of falls.** *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 1995; **50 Spec No**: 64–67.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Zelle DM, Corpeleijn E, Klaassen G, *et al.*: **Fear of movement and low self-efficacy are important barriers in physical activity after renal transplantation.** *PLOS ONE.* 2016; **11**(2): e0147609.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Zhong YJ, Meng Q, Su CH: **Mechanism-driven strategies for reducing fall risk in the elderly: a multidisciplinary review of exercise interventions.** *Healthcare (Basel).* 2024; **12**(23).
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Zhu RT-L, Zuo JJ-J, Li K-J, *et al.*: **Association of lower-limb strength with different fall histories or prospective falls in community-dwelling older people: a systematic review and meta-analysis.** *BMC Geriatr.* 2025; **25**(1): 83.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: ? ?

Version 1

Reviewer Report 10 July 2026

https://doi.org/10.21956/openreseurope.23171.r76039

© **2026 Feron J.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

?

Jack Feron
University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

This well-written article describes the effects of a single-arm, home-based, multi-modal, online exercise intervention on a range of physical function and questionnaire-based measures in middle aged and older adults. Analyses have been split by age group and by sex. Overall, the intervention was successful in improving some aspects of physical functions in participants in four different countries. The logistics of running this study would have been difficult and the authors should be pleased with what they have achieved. These findings are important for understanding feasible methods to get middle aged and older adults exercising with minimal supervision to improve physical and mental health.

The participants are described as generally physically and mentally fit. Were there any objective criteria (other than the listed diseases) which were used for screening potential participants? (e.g., medications, blood pressure, activity status, medical history).

The authors should include a clearer description of how their participant flow went from 167 to 121. The authors mention dropouts and people excluded for low adherence (< 10 session) – the exact numbers for these should be provided, and for any dropouts, data should be provided as to the reason (e.g., illness, injury, unknown). Were there any participants excluded during the screening process?

The authors could include some more detail in the manuscript about the exercise videos. For example, include some examples of types of exercises involved and how many videos there were to choose from altogether. Also, this could include who designed the intervention videos – personal trainer or clinical exercise physiologist? (was there any input from the relevant age groups about the types of exercise videos they would like).

The authors could also state whether there was any researcher involvement during the intervention. After being given access to the materials, were participants contacted to check they were doing their sessions or being given reminders about their sessions? Were participants provided technological support? This would be important for the feasibility of rolling this type of

intervention out on a larger scale which could also be mentioned in the Discussion.

The authors mention education workshops and mindfulness components that weren't mandatory. Do you have any data as to how many people completed these parts of the programme?

The authors could include more specific details about the adherence to the intervention (e.g., sessions per week, which intensity of exercises were chosen, weekly exercise time, total exercise time). This could be interesting to see if those that did more frequent and/or intense exercise saw bigger physical function gains.

The authors exclude participants with < 10 exercise sessions completed. A common threshold for adherence to sessions completed is 80%. The chosen threshold could be considered quite low and therefore not truly reflective of the intervention they designed to test. The authors mention they are trying to somewhat replicate similar low volume interventions (60 mins twice weekly), but this adherence criteria are not in line with this and could be acknowledged. The authors could comment on their choice of adherence threshold and acknowledge that it may affect interpretations of their results. A sub-group analysis of participant with high adherence (e.g., 93% of participants completed at least 14 sessions) might show even clearer/stronger improvements in physical functions. This could be useful information for prescribing this or similar interventions in the future. It could also be interesting to assess differences in adherence between the age groups – these could be important for group differences in physical function measures.

The Methods could state that there is missing data (which you can see from the sample sizes in Table 2). A clearer statement including the number of missing data and any relevant reasons for the missing data should be included in the manuscript.

For the WHO-5 and LIVAS, the authors should clearly state how these were scored (e.g., were all statements summed or averaged?)
The authors mention that the questionnaires weren't completed in Slovenian participants – for completeness it is suggested to include a reason for this in the manuscript.

The authors have included a self-report physical activity measurement, but I don't see what this adds over and above the details they have about the number of sessions completed. By design, asking people to do two exercise sessions a week which they don't normally should increase their physical activity levels. Furthermore, the authors indicate that some exercise sessions are less than 30 mins long – would this mean these wouldn't be included in a participant's response to the physical activity questionnaire? (number of days completing at least 30 mins of exercise). If so, I am not sure as to the relevance of including this measure in the manuscript.
A very small point but the opening paragraph of the Discussion talks about cardiorespiratory fitness level, but this was not explicitly measured. Instead indicating that 6MWT improved, which is a proxy of fitness, may be better.

When discussing the results in the Discussion, the authors could include more acknowledgments of the lack of group * time interactions when talking about significant with-group effect.

The Discussion could include a section on minimum dose – is there a minimum number of sessions that need to be completed in 8 weeks to get meaningful benefits in physical functions? This could be linked to adherence, inclusion of some discussion of how well (or not) the

intervention was adhered to, and how it could be potentially improved, would be useful for future implications.

The Introduction talks about how it might be best to get people exercising in mid-life, so they maintain good habits as they age, which is relevant for the author's age group analyses. However, this isn't really mentioned in the Discussion. The authors could discuss their results in this context (e.g., this intervention improves X in middle aged adults but not older adults, meaning Y).

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?
Yes

Is the study design appropriate and does the work have academic merit?
Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?
Partly

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?
Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?
Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Designing and delivering exercise interventions in older adults, monitoring exercise adherence in older adults, assessing physical functions and fitness and physical activity levels in older adults.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Reviewer Report 02 June 2026

https://doi.org/10.21956/openreseurope.23171.r74693

© 2026 Santos V. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

?

Vanessa Santos

Instituto Piaget, Almada, Portugal

This manuscript investigates the physiological and behavioural responses to “AgeWell Europe”, an 8-week online and on-demand multimodal exercise intervention delivered across four European countries and targeted at middle-aged and older adults. The programme combined strength, aerobic, balance and flexibility exercises with optional health education content and aimed to evaluate changes in functional fitness, physical activity participation, wellbeing and physical self-efficacy.
Overall, this manuscript addresses an important and timely topic considering current demographic ageing trends and the growing need for scalable, accessible and sustainable exercise interventions. The study has several strengths, including its multicountry implementation, practical applicability, relatively high adherence, broad functional assessment battery, and transparent availability of underlying data. The findings suggest that participation in the programme was associated with improvements in muscular endurance, cardiorespiratory fitness, physical activity participation and physical self-efficacy.
However, several aspects require clarification and more cautious interpretation before the manuscript can be considered scientifically stronger.

Major comments
1. Interpretation of effectiveness should be moderated according to study design (must address)
The most important limitation of the manuscript is the absence of a control group. The one-group pre–post design limits causal inference and does not allow exclusion of alternative explanations for observed improvements, including learning effects, seasonal changes, motivation, spontaneous behaviour change, or additional physical activity outside the intervention.
Although the authors acknowledge this limitation, several sections of the manuscript continue to use language implying effectiveness and causal benefit.
Examples include statements suggesting that the programme “significantly improved” outcomes or “supports effectiveness”.
I recommend revising these statements throughout the manuscript and replacing causal language with more cautious wording such as:

- “was associated with improvements”;
- “participants demonstrated improvements following the intervention”;
- “findings suggest potential effectiveness”;
- “the programme appears feasible and promising”.

This change is important to ensure scientific accuracy.

2. Statistical reporting and interpretation require additional clarification (must address)
The use of linear mixed-effects models is appropriate for repeated measures data and represents a methodological strength. However, additional detail is required to support interpretation.
Please clarify:

- whether assumptions underlying the models were formally evaluated;
- how missing data were handled;
- whether multiple comparison adjustment procedures were considered;
- whether effect size interpretation followed predefined thresholds;
- whether an a priori sample size calculation or post hoc discussion of statistical power was performed.

Additionally, interpretation should rely primarily on interaction effects rather than isolated within-group significance.
Several outcomes showed non-significant group-by-time interactions but are subsequently interpreted through significant within-group changes. Greater emphasis should be placed on interaction results and effect sizes.
Subgroup analyses involving MVC and vertical jump should be interpreted particularly cautiously because these outcomes were only measured in Slovenia and appear underpowered.

3. Intervention exposure and external physical activity should be discussed more critically (must address)
Participants were not restricted from engaging in exercise or physical activity outside the programme and this was not objectively monitored.
Although this is acknowledged in the limitations section, the potential influence of additional physical activity should be discussed in greater depth because changes observed in M1 suggest behavioural modification beyond the prescribed intervention itself.
This issue is particularly important when interpreting improvements in fitness outcomes.

4. Clinical interpretation should be expanded (recommended)
The manuscript provides strong statistical reporting but could improve discussion regarding clinical relevance.
For example:

- are observed changes in STS clinically meaningful?
- how do improvements in 6MWT compare with minimal clinically important differences?
- do observed changes translate into meaningful functional independence?

Including interpretation beyond statistical significance would strengthen translational value.

5. Discussion of balance and wellbeing outcomes could be improved (recommended)
The explanation regarding limited improvements in balance is thoughtful; however, additional discussion regarding:

- ceiling effects;
- use of static versus dynamic balance;
- baseline performance;

would strengthen interpretation.
Similarly, the absence of changes in WHO-5 deserves further discussion. Baseline values appear relatively favourable and may have limited capacity for improvement.

Minor comments

- Consider including a participant flow diagram to improve transparency.
- Clarify whether testing procedures and assessor training were standardised across countries.
- Provide adherence percentages directly within the Results tables or figures.
- Consider reporting confidence intervals alongside effect sizes.
- Minor language editing is recommended to improve consistency of terminology.

This manuscript presents an interesting and relevant contribution to the field of healthy ageing and digital exercise delivery. The intervention appears feasible and was associated with improvements in several functional and behavioural outcomes.
The manuscript is generally well conducted and clearly reported. However, stronger alignment between study design and interpretation, additional statistical clarification, and a more cautious discussion of effectiveness are necessary to strengthen scientific rigour.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?
Partly

Is the study design appropriate and does the work have academic merit?
Partly

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?
Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?
Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?
Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: My areas of expertise include Exercise Physiology, Cardiovascular Exercise Physiology, Physical Activity and Health, Cardiac Rehabilitation, Healthy Ageing, Exercise Prescription, Clinical Exercise Physiology, Functional Assessment, and exercise-based interventions across health and clinical populations. My assessment of this manuscript is primarily focused on exercise intervention design, physiological outcomes, functional assessment, interpretation of exercise responses, and methodological and clinical relevance.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.