Check for updates

RESEARCH ARTICLE

REVISED Autophagy of the somatic stalk cells likely nurses the propagating spores of Dictyostelid social amoebas

[version 2; peer review: 2 approved, 1 approved with reservations]

Previous title: Autophagy of the somatic stalk cells nurses the propagating spores of Dictyostelid social amoebas

Qingyou Du, Pauline Schaap

School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee, Angus, DD15EH, UK

v2 **First published:** 01 Sep 2022, **2**:104
https://doi.org/10.12688/openreseurope.14947.1

Latest published: 23 Nov 2022, **2**:104
https://doi.org/10.12688/openreseurope.14947.2

Abstract

Background: Autophagy (self-feeding) assists survival of starving cells by partial self-digestion, while dormancy as cysts, spores or seeds enables long-term survival. Starving *Dictyostelium* amoebas construct multicellular fruiting bodies with spores and stalk cells, with many Dictyostelia still able to encyst individually like their single-celled ancestors. While autophagy mostly occurs in the somatic stalk cells, autophagy gene knock-outs in *Dictyostelium discoideum* (*D. discoideum*) formed no spores and lacked cAMP induction of prespore gene expression.

Methods: To investigate whether autophagy also prevents encystation, we knocked-out autophagy genes *atg5* and *atg7* in the dictyostelid *Polysphondylium pallidum*, which forms both spores and cysts. We measured spore and cyst differentiation and viability in the knock-out as well as stalk and spore gene expression and its regulation by cAMP. We tested a hypothesis that spores require materials derived from autophagy in stalk cells. Sporulation requires secreted cAMP acting on receptors and intracellular cAMP acting on PKA. We compared the morphology and viability of spores developed in fruiting bodies with spores induced from single cells by stimulation with cAMP and 8Br-cAMP, a membrane-permeant PKA agonist.

Results: Loss of autophagy in *P. pallidum* reduced but did not prevent encystation. Stalk cells still differentiated but stalks were disorganised. However, no spores were formed at all and cAMP-induced prespore gene expression was lost. *D. discoideum* spores induced *in vitro* by cAMP and 8Br-cAMP were smaller and rounder than spores formed multicellularly and while they were not lysed by detergent they germinated not (strain Ax2) or poorly (strain NC4), unlike spores formed in fruiting bodies.

Conclusions: The stringent requirement of sporulation on both multicellularity and autophagy, which occurs mostly in stalk cells, suggests that stalk cells nurse the spores through autophagy. This

Open Peer Review

Approval Status ✓ ✓ ?

	1	2	3
version 2 (revision) 23 Nov 2022	✓ view	✓ view	? view
version 1 01 Sep 2022	✓ view	? view	? view

1. **Thomas Winckler**, University of Jena, Jena, Germany

2. **Ricardo Escalante**, Instituto De Investigaciones Biomédicas Alberto Sols, Madrid, Spain

3. **David Queller**, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, USA

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

highlights autophagy as a major cause for somatic cell evolution in early multicellularity.

Keywords
evolution of multicellularity, evolution of soma, autophagy, sporulation, encystation, Dictyostelia

This article is included in the Horizon 2020 gateway.

This article is included in the European Research Council (ERC) gateway.

This article is included in the Evolution and Ecology gateway.

This article is included in the Cell, Molecular and Structural Biology gateway.

This article is included in the Evolutionary Biology collection.

Corresponding author: Pauline Schaap (p.schaap@dundee.ac.uk)

Author roles: Du Q: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Schaap P**: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 742288).
The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2022 Du Q and Schaap P. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Du Q and Schaap P. **Autophagy of the somatic stalk cells likely nurses the propagating spores of Dictyostelid social amoebas [version 2; peer review: 2 approved, 1 approved with reservations]** Open Research Europe 2022, **2**:104 https://doi.org/10.12688/openreseurope.14947.2

First published: 01 Sep 2022, **2**:104 https://doi.org/10.12688/openreseurope.14947.1

REVISED **Amendments from Version 1**

Textual corrections were made in response to reviewers' comments to clarify methodology, describe results in greater detail, moderate some conclusions and describe additional experiments.

Figure 3 and Figure 5 were altered to include images and data from additional experiments. Results from statistical tests were added to Figure 5. Figure 6 was altered to demonstrate that 8Br-cAMP induced NC4 spores showed a 65% reduction in their ability to germinate after detergent treatment. The Extended data has been updated to reflect these changes.

The title has been updated to "Autophagy of the somatic stalk cells likely nurses the propagating spores of Dictyostelid social amoebas".

Any further responses from the reviewers can be found at the end of the article

Plain language summary

Autophagy or self-feeding is a process where cells survive starvation by enclosing and digesting part of their contents. Many organisms survive long-term starvation by differentiating into walled dormant cysts or spores. Social amoebas (Dictyostelia) display an early form of multicellularity where starving amoebas aggregate and form a fruiting body where stalk cells support a ball of spores. Like their ancestors, the solitary amoebas, many social amoebas can still form cysts individually. However, cysts have thinner walls than spores and lack frost resistance.

Because starving amoebas require 24 h to differentiate into cysts, spores or stalk cells, it is likely that autophagy is required for these processes. We deleted essential autophagy genes to investigate whether this is the case. Without autophagy, stalks and cysts were still formed, although the latter were less viable. However, no spores were formed at all, and, remarkably, the loss of autophagy already prevented the first step in sporulation, the expression of prespore genes.

Differentiating stalk cells contain many autophagic vesicles and eventually digest their entire contents. However, autophagic vesicles are rare in prespore cells, which mostly contain vesicles where the spore wall is prefabricated. We investigated whether the spores actually benefit from the autophagy in the stalk cells. We compared the properties of spores developed in fruiting bodies, to spores induced in isolation by treatment with spore-inducing stimuli, which are normally produced inside the fruiting bodies. The spores formed in isolation were smaller and less or non-viable after treatment with detergent, which has no effect on normal spores. These data support the hypothesis that in multicellular structures the autophagy of stalk cells is providing spores with nutrients for proper differentiation. The data more broadly suggest an important role for autophagy in the evolution of somatic (non-propagating) cells, which in animals came to form the largest part of the body.

Introduction

Macroautophagy (further called autophagy) is a deeply conserved survival strategy in eukaryotes, whereby starving cells gain nutrients by enclosing and digesting cytoplasm and/or organelles. Autophagy also acts in non-starved cells to digest and recycle damaged proteins and organelles, with defective autophagy causing major organ pathologies, metabolic and immune deficiencies and neurodegenerative diseases (Klionsky *et al.*, 2021). Although long recognized as a cellular function (Deter *et al.*, 1967), the identification of many conserved autophagy genes through yeast genetics (Ohsumi, 1999) greatly expanded mechanistic understanding of autophagy and its importance for cellular homeostasis in animals and plants. Briefly, autophagy is initiated by encircling of cytoplasm and/or organelles by a double membrane structure, the isolation body, that closes to form a vesicle, the autophagosome. Fusion with an acidic primary lysosome with digestive enzymes turns the autophagosome into an autolysome and initiates digestion of its contents. The autophagy (Atg) proteins act to sense nutrient status and to initiate and regulate the nucleation of the isolation body at the endoplasmic reticulum and its further expansion, closure and fusion with primary lysosomes (Nakatogawa, 2020). The majority of *atg* genes are also present in protists, such as the social amoeba *Dictyostelium discoideum* (*Ddis*) and its solitary ancestors. The experimental and genetic accessibility of *Ddis* has been useful to reveal novel genes and mechanistic insights into autophagy (Tornero-Écija *et al.*, 2022; Xiong *et al.*, 2018).

We investigate the evolution of multicellularity and cell-type specialization in dictyostelid social amoebas. These amoebas aggregate when starved to form multicellular fruiting bodies. In three out of four of the major dictyostelid taxon groups these structures consist of two cell types, dead stalk cells and dormant spores. However, group four species evolved two more cell types that form a basal disc to support the stalk, and an upper and lower cup to raise and bracket the spore mass (Schilde *et al.*, 2014). Group four fruiting bodies are also larger than those in groups one to three and group four spores combine relatively large size with a thicker spore wall and higher state of dehydration (Lawal *et al.*, 2020; Romeralo *et al.*, 2013). These features are correlated with greater frost resistance and colonization of arctic and alpine habitats by group four species (Lawal *et al.*, 2020).

Both spore and stalk cell maturation require activation of cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA) by cAMP (Harwood *et al.*, 1992; Hopper *et al.*, 1993), while spore differentiation additionally requires extracellular cAMP acting on surface cAMP receptors (cARs) (Schaap & Van Driel, 1985). Comparative studies across Dictyostelia and the ancestral solitary Amoebozoa showed that the roles of intracellular cAMP and PKA on spore and stalk maturation are evolutionary derived from roles as intermediates for starvation and drought-induced encystment in solitary amoebas (Kawabe *et al.*, 2015; Ritchie *et al.*, 2008). cAR mediated (pre) spore gene induction is however restricted to Dictyostelia (Kawabe *et al.*, 2009). To gain insight into the mechanisms regulating sporulation, we performed a genetic screen for sporulation-deficient mutants in the group four species *Ddis.* This screen yielded a transcription factor, SpaA, as being essential for sporulation (Yamada *et al.*, 2018), but also the autophagy gene *atg7* (Yamada & Schaap, 2019) and two novel autophagy genes *knkA* and *bcas3* (Yamada & Schaap, 2021).

While it was expected that lack of autophagy would impact on spore viability, the autophagy-deficient mutants were specifically defective in cAR-mediated induction of prespore gene expression by cAMP. The same defect was displayed by knock-outs (KOs) in *atg5* and *atg9* (Yamada & Schaap, 2019).

To investigate whether this unexpected involvement of autophagy with cAMP signal transduction is conserved in Dictyostelia and whether loss of autophagy also impacts on encystation, we disrupted the autophagy genes *atg7* and *atg5* in *Polysphondylium pallidum,* a group two species that can both sporulate in multicellular fruiting bodies or encyst individually when starved. Group four species have lost this ancestral survival strategy. The *P. pallidum* strain PN500_J (*Ppal*) *atg7* and *atg5* KOs still formed cysts but both their number and ability to regrow was reduced. The mutants aggregated and extended short thick stalks, but no spores were formed and cAMP induction of prespore gene expression was lost. In both *Ddis* and *Ppal,* prestalk and stalk cells display much more autophagy than prespore cells. The relatively mild effect of defective autophagy on encystation and stalk cell differentiation led us to test a hypothesis that the spores require nutrients provided by autophagy of the prestalk/stalk population. This hypothesis found support in further experimentation showing that spores induced individually by incubation of cells with spore-inducing signals were almost completely non-viable compared to spores formed (by the same signals) in fruiting bodies. We developed these observations into a new model for dictyostelid evolution, whereby the somatic stalk cells do not only act to lift the spore mass, but to nurture the spores and render them more resilient to environmental stress than the individually developing cysts. This places autophagy at the forefront of ultimate causes for somatic cell evolution.

Methods

Cell culture

P. pallidum strain PN500_J (*Ppal*) was grown in association with *Klebsiella aerogenes* at 22°C on 0.1% lactose-peptone (LP) (1 g Lactose (BDH, UK), 1 g Bacto™ Peptone (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), 2.2 g KH_2PO_4 (VWR), 1.25 g $Na_2HPO_4{\cdot}2H_2O$ (VWR) and 15 g agar in 1 L H_2O) or 1/5th SM (Formedium) agar plates. PN500_J is an isolate of *Ppal* PN500 with more robust multicellular development. For multicellular development, *Ppal* cells were harvested in KK2 (20 mM K-phosphate, pH 6.2) and distributed on non-nutrient (NN) agar (1.5% agar in 8.8 mM KH_2PO_4 and 2.7 mM Na_2HPO_4) at 10^6 cells/cm^2 and incubated at 22°C. *D. discoideum* (*Ddis*) Ax2 cells were grown in HL5 axenic medium and *Ddis* NC4 cells were grown in association with *Klebsiella aerogenes* on SM agar (Formedium).

Plasmid constructs

atg7 and atg5 gene disruption. To disrupt *Ppal atg7* (PPL_02507), two fragments, I and II, were amplified from *Ppal* genomic DNA by PCR using Phusion DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and primer pairs Atg7-I5'/Atg7-I3' and Atg7-II5'/Atg7-II3' (Table 1), respectively. These primers were based on the *atg7* sequence of *Ppal* PN500_J (GenBank accession: ON758339), since the PN500 *atg7* sequence archived in GenBank (Heidel *et al.*, 2011) is of poor quality. Fragments I and II were digested with *Sac*I/*Xba*I or *Xho*I/*Kpn*I, respectively, using restriction sites included in the primer design, and sequentially inserted using T4 ligase into *Sac*I/*Xba*I and *Xho*I/*Kpn*I digested plasmid pLox-NeoIII (Kawabe *et al.*, 2012) to flank the LoxP-neo selection cassette (Figure 1A). pLox-NeoIII contains both the *AmpR* gene for selection on ampicillin in *Escherichia coli* XL1-Blue (Agilent) and the NeoR gene for selection on G418 in *Ppal*.

To disrupt *Ppal atg5* (PPL_04841), DNA fragments were amplified similarly as described above, using primer pairs Atg5-I5'/Atg5-I3' and Atg5-II5'/Atg5-II3', which contained *Xba*I/*Bam*HI and *Sal*I/*Kpn*I restriction sites, respectively (Table 1), and inserted in pLox-NeoIII (Figure 1B). Restriction enzymes and T4 ligase were purchased from New England Biolabs (NEB). All reactions were performed with recommended buffers according to the manufacturer's instructions. All DNA constructs were validated by sequencing.

For transformation, the KO fragments were excised with *Sac*I/*Kpn*I and *Xba*I/*Kpn*I for the *atg7* and *atg5* KO plasmids, respectively. *Ppal* cells were harvested from growth plates and starved for 5 h in HL5 (Formedium, UK) at 2.5 × 10^6 cells/ml, followed by resuspension in ice-cold H-50 buffer (4.8 g HEPES (Formedium), 3.7 g KCl, 0.58 g NaCl, 0.25 g $MgSO_4$, 0.42 g $NaHCO_3$, 0.14 g NaH_2PO_4 in 1 L H_2O). Cells (2.5 × 10^6) were combined with 5 µg KO fragment and 2 nmol flanking primers in a total volume of 100 µl in 1 mm gap cuvettes (BTX) and transformed by electroporation with two pulses of 0.65 kV/25 µFd, separated by a 5 second interval, using a GenPulser2 (BioRad). Recovery and selection of transformants at 300 µg/ml G418 (Formedium) was performed as described before (Kawabe *et al.*, 1999). Genomic DNAs were isolated from G418 resistant clones using the GenElute™ Mammalian Genomic DNA Miniprep Kit (Sigma) and screened for homologous recombination events by two PCR reactions, using primer pairs Atg7neg5'/Atg7neg3' neo/Atg7pos (Table 1) for *atg7* KO diagnosis and primer pairs Atg5neg5'/Atg5neg3' and neo/Atg5pos (Table 1) for *atg5* KO diagnosis (Figure 1).

Deletion of the Neo resistance cassette. To remove G418 resistance from *atg7*$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$, cells were electroporated with plasmid pDM1483, which contains cre-recombinase (Paschke *et al.*, 2018) and a Nourseothricin resistance gene, transferred to a petri dish containing autoclaved *K. aerogenes* in KK2 for 24 h and exposed to 300 µg/ml Nourseothricin (Jena Bioscience) for 2–4 days. Resistant clones were replica-plated onto LP agar with autoclaved *K. aerogenes* with and without 300 µg/ml G418 for negative selection of G418 sensitive clones. Loss of the Neo cassette was confirmed by two PCR reactions (Figure 1).

Expression constructs. To express *atg7* from its own promoter, a region from -1464 to + 23 relative to the start codon was amplified from *Ppal* gDNA using primers Atg7pro5'/Atg7pro3' (Table 1), which contain *Nhe*I and *Bam*HI sites, respectively, and inserted into *Nhe*I/*Bam*HI digested vector pExp5 (Meima *et al.*, 2007). Next the 2.1 kb *atg7* coding region was amplified from cDNA with primer pairs Atg7-g5' and Atg7-g3'

Table 1. Oligonucleotide primers used in this work.

Name	Sequence	Restriction site
Atg7-I5′	GATgagctcAAACAGGAAAAGAGGAG	*Sac*I
Atg7-I3′	GATtctagaACGATCCTAACGGTTGAC	*Xba*I
Atg7-II5′	GATctcgagCAATCCTGGTTGGCCACT	*Xho*I
Atg7-II3′	GATggtaccCAATCGATTGATACACCT	*Kpn*I
Atg5-I5′	GATtctagaCGCATACCTATCACTCTTTC	*Xba*I
Atg5-I3′	GATggatccAGCATGTCAAAGAGTACA	*Bam*HI
Atg5-II5′	GATgtcgacCAAACCAATGAGTTATGGG	*Sal*I
Atg5-II3′	GATggtaccTTTGGGATATATCGGA	*Kpn*I
Atg7neg5′	ACTCCTATCACTACGGTTGG	
Atg7neg3′	CTCAGTTGGGAGGTGTACAG	
Atg7pos	CATCGTCAGACGATGATTCT	
Atg5neg5′	CAAGTGGCACATTCCAATCG	
Atg5neg3′	ACCATCCCATAACTCATTGG	
Atg5pos	GTACATTGAGACCAGCGGTG	
Neo	GGGCAAATCTGTAATTTTCAG	
Atg7-g5′	GATgaattcATGTCAAATAATGAAGAGATTT	*Eco*RI
Atg7-g3′	GATctcgagTTATTCATCGTCAGACGATG	*Xho*I
Atg7-pro5′	GATgctagcTTGGTGTTGTTGATCAGG	*Nhe*I
Atg7-pro3′	GATggatccAAAATCTCTTCATTATTTGACAT	*Bam*HI
Atg5-g5′	GATggatccATGTCATTCTTTGATGAAGATG	*Bam*HI
Atg5-g3′	GATactagtCATGCCAATACAATATATAAA	*Spe*I
Atg5-pro5′	GATgctagcGATGATATGATGTCTGAATG	*Nhe*I
Atg5-pro3′	GATggatccTTTTATGGATATGATATACGC	*Bam*HI
SP45P1	GATGGTCAACAACGTTGCCA	
SP45P2r	TTGGCGATGGGAACTGGTGC	
PPL_04427 P1	CTGTACCTACGACAGCTGCT	
PPL_04427 P2r	TGTTGTCCTTGCAGTAGTCG	
PPL_07209 P1	TGGCTTGGATCAACACTCCA	
PPL_07209 P2r	ATGAACCACGGATGGTGTGA	

Restriction enzymes sequences used for cloning are shown in lower case.

(Table 1) that contain *Eco*RI and *Xho*I sites, respectively, and after digestion joined with the promoter fragment in the *Eco*RI/*Xho*I digested plasmid, creating pPpalAtg7pAtg7.

To express *atg5* from its own promoter, the 963 bp *atg5* coding region was amplified from *Ppal* cDNA using primer pair Atg5-g5'/Atg5-g3' with *Bam*HI and *Spe*I sites, respectively, and inserted into *Bam*HI/*Spe*I digested vector pExp5(+) (GenBank: EF028664.1). Next a region from -644 to -49 relative to the start codon was amplified from gDNA with primer pair Atg5-pro5'/Atg5-pro3' with *Nhe*I and *Bam*HI sites, respectively, and inserted into the *Nhe*I/*Bam*HI sites of the same vector,




Figure 1. Disruption of the *P. pallidum* atg7 and atg5 genes. *A*. *Atg7*. Top: schematic of the *P. pallidum* strain PN500_J (*Ppal*) *Atg7* genomic region with the positions of the two fragments knock-out (KO)I and KOII before and after homologous recombination with the LoxPNeo KO construct. Primer pair Atg7neg5'/Atg7neg3' amplifies a 0.4 kb fragment in wild-type (WT) and random integrant (RI) only, while primer pair neo/Atg7pos amplifies a 1.5 kb fragment in *atg7* KOs only. Bottom left: Diagnostic PCRs of two RI and two KO clones. Bottom right: Diagnostic PCR of a KO clone after excision of LoxPNeo with Cre-recombinase (Cre) showing the expected 220 bp product after amplification with primer pair Atg7neg5'/Atg7neg3', and absence of product with primer pair neo/Atg7pos. ***B***. *Atg5*. Top: schematic of the *Ppal Atg5* genomic region with the positions of the two fragments KOI and KOII before and after homologous recombination with the LoxPNeo KO construct. Primer pair Atg5neg5'/Atg5neg3' amplifies a 0.34 kb fragment in WT and RI, while primer pair neo/Atg5pos amplifies a 1.25 kb fragment in *atg5* KOs. Bottom left: Diagnostic PCRs of two RI and two KO clones. Bottom right: Diagnostic PCR of a KO clone after excision of LoxPNeo with Cre-recombinase (Cre) showing the expected 180 bp product after amplification with primer pair Atg5neg5'/Atg5neg3', and absence of product with primer pair neo/Atg7pos.

creating pPpalAtg5pAtg5. pPpalAtg7pAtg7 and pPpalAtg5pAtg5 were electroporated into *atg7*$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$ cells, respectively, from which the loxPNeo cassette had been removed with cre-recombinase. Transformants were selected at 300 µg/ml G418.

The amplified *atg7* and *atg5* promoter fragments described above were also inserted into *Xba*I/*Bam*HI digested vector pDdGal17 (Harwood & Drury, 1990), which places the promoters upstream of the *LacZ* reporter gene. The resulting pPpalAtg7-LacZ

and pPpalAtg5-LacZ plasmids were transformed into wild-type (WT) *Ppal* and transformed cells were selected at 300 µg/ml G418.

ß-galactosidase histochemistry. *Ppal* cells, transformed with promoter-*lacZ* constructs, were plated on nitrocellulose filters supported by NN agar at 10^6 cells/cm^2 and incubated at 22°C until the desired developmental stages had been reached. Filters with developing structures were transferred to Whatman 3MM chromatography paper, soaked in 0.5% glutaraldehyde, and incubated in a sealed chamber for 6 min. Structures were next fully submersed in 0.5% glutaraldehyde for 3 min. After washing with Z-buffer (10 mM KCl, 1 mM $MgSO_4$, 60 mM Na_2HPO_4 and 40 mM NaH_2PO_4, pH 7.0), structures were stained with X-gal staining solution (5 mM $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$, 5 mM $K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$, 1 mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl β-D-galactopyranoside (X-gal) and 1 mM EGTA in Z buffer) (all reagents were from Sigma) as described previously (Dingermann *et al.*, 1989). Staining times varied between genes, but different developmental stages of cells transformed with the same construct were stained for the same period.

Chimeric development

Ppal WT and *atg5*$^-$ or *atg7*$^-$ cells were harvested from growth plates and resuspended in KK2. WT and mutant cells were mixed to contain 5% or 20% WT cells and developed for 2 days on NN agar. Structures were imaged and then harvested, shaken for 5 min with 0.1% Triton X-100 in KK2 to lyse unwalled amoebas, and plated with autoclaved *K. aerogenes* on LP agar supplemented with or without 300 µg/ml G418.

Encystation and cyst germination

To induce encystation, *Ppal* WT and mutant cells were harvested from growth plates, resuspended in encystation medium (400 mM sorbitol in KK2) at 5x10^6 cells/ml and incubated for 3 days until WT cells had formed mature cysts. Mature cysts were visualized by addition of Calcofluor (Sigma) to 0.001%. To induce cyst germination, mature cysts (2–3 days old) were harvested, shaken with 0.1% Triton X-100 and washed with KK2. Cells were counted in a hemacytometer and plated on 1/5th SM plates (14 cm Ø) with *K. aerogenes* at 500 cells/plate. The number of emerging plaques was counted after 4 days of incubation at 22°C.

Developmental and induced gene expression

To measure prespore and prestalk gene expression in early and late sorogens, WT and mutant *Ppal* cells were developed on NN agar for 10 h and 16 h at 22°C. Structures were gently dissociated and harvested for RNA isolation. To measure induction of prespore gene expression by cAMP, *Ppal* cells were developed for 4, 5 or 6 h on NN agar to reach a stage where cells were competent for induction but had not yet started to express prespore genes. After dissociation of aggregates by passage through a 21-gauge needle, cells were resuspended to 5×10^6 cells/ml in 1 mM $MgCl_2$ in KK2 and incubated for 4 h in the presence and absence of 1 mM cAMP. Total RNA was isolated from 10^7 cells using the RNAeasy mini kit (Qiagen), DNA contamination was removed using the Turbo DNA-free Kit (Ambion), the RNA concentration was determined using a Multiskan SkyHigh spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and 2 µg RNA was transcribed into cDNA with the sensiFAST cDNA synthesis kit (Bioline), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Using 60 ng of cDNA as template, transcript levels of the prespore gene *sp45* (*PPL_06034),* the prestalk gene *PPL_04427* and the constitutively expressed gene *PPL_07209* were assessed by reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) on a LightCycler® 96 real-time PCR system (Roche) using PerfeCTa SYBR Green SuperMix (Quanta biosciences, USA) and the primers listed in Table 1. Data were normalized to quantification cycle (Cq) values of control samples (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001) as indicated in the figure legends.

Staining with anti-spore antibodies

Cells were allowed to attach to 8-well slide glass wells and fixed in ice-cold 85% methanol. After washing with 5% bovine serum albumen (BSA) in PBS, cells were incubated for 16 h at 4°C with 1:5000 diluted custom-made polyclonal antibody (Cambridge Research Biochemicals) raised in rabbit against a 1:1 mixture of *Ppal* and *Ddis* spores that had been pre-adsorbed to an equal volume pellet of methanol-fixed *Ppal* and *Ddis* vegetative cells (Schilde *et al.*, 2014). After washing, cells were incubated with 1:2000 diluted polyclonal Alexa fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A32731, RRID:AB_2633280) for 4 h at 21°C and imaged using a DMLB2 fluorescence microscope (Leica) and Micropublisher 3.3 camera (Qimaging).

In vitro spore induction and germination

Ddis Ax2 or Ax2/cotC-mRFP cells were grown in axenic medium and *Ddis* NC4 was grown in association with K. aerogenes on SM agar (Formedium) Cells were harvested and resuspended at 10^6 cells/ml in spore salts (20 mM KCl, 20 mM NaCl, 1 mM $CaCl_2$ and 1 mM $MgCl_2$), supplemented with 5 mM cAMP. Cells were incubated as 1 ml aliquots in 6-well plates (~10^5 cells/cm^2) at 22°C without further additives (control) or with 15 mM 8Br-cAMP (8-bromoadenosine 3':5'-monophosphate; Biolog, Germany). After 30 h, the cells were stained with 0.001% Calcofluor, photographed under phase contrast and epifluorescence illumination.

The cross-section area and length and width of Calcofluor positive spores were quantitated using ImageJ v1.53e (RRID:SCR_003070).

To test germination and regrowth, the induced spores and spores developed in fruiting bodies were shaken with 0.1% Triton X-100, washed and plated on 1/5th SM plates (14 cm Ø) with *K. aerogenes* at 500 cells/plate and emerging plaques were counted after 4 days at 21°C. As the recovery of growing amoebas from 8Br-cAMP induced Ax2 spores was poor, they were plated at 2,000 cells/plate in subsequent experiments.

Data analysis

For phylogenetic inference, protein sequences were aligned with Clustal Omega (Sievers & Higgins, 2014) (RRID:SCR_001591) and phylogenetic trees were inferred with MrBayes 3.2 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003) (RRID:SCR_012067) using

a mixed amino acid model. Trees were annotated with protein functional domain architectures as analysed with SMART (Schultz *et al.*, 1998) (RRID:SCR_005026).

Experimental data were compiled in Microsoft Excel version 2108 (RRID:SCR_016137) for basic calculations and descriptive statistics (Means and SD). SigmaPlot v14.5 (RRID:SCR_003210; Systat Software, Inc.) was used to assess significant differences between measured parameters. For comparison between two datasets a t-test was used when the data were normally distributed and a rank sum test when they were not. For comparisons between three or more datasets one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or ANOVA on ranks were used.

Results

Identification, expression and disruption of *P. pallidum* autophagy genes

To investigate evolutionary conservation of the role of autophagy in dictyostelid sporulation and possible involvement in encystation, we searched for homologs of the essential autophagy genes *atg7* and *atg5* in taxon group representative dictyostelid genomes and three genomes of solitary Amoebozoa. To assess orthology between the identified genes, phylogenetic trees were inferred from aligned sequences, which were annotated with the functional domain architecture of the proteins (Figure 2A) (Schaap, 2022). Most of the Atg7 and Atg5 homologs combined into a single clade each and contained an ATG7_N or an APG5 domain, respectively. Some more distantly related homologs did not contain these domains. The analysis identified PPL_02507 and PPL_04841 as *Ppal* Atg7 and Atg5, respectively, and highlighted that *atg7* and *atg5* are conserved as single copy genes throughout Amoebozoa. To investigate the expression pattern of either gene, we transformed *Ppal* with a fusion construct of their promoter and the *LacZ* reporter and stained developing structures with *Xga*l. Both *atg7* and *atg5* were expressed throughout multicellular development, with reduced expression of *atg7* in the tips of emerging sorogens (Figure 2B).

We next generated lesions in the *Ppal atg7* and *atg5* genes by homologous recombination. The KO constructs contained the loxP-neo selection cassette flanked by two ~1kb fragments of the *atg7* or *atg5* genes and transformation into *Ppal* yielded several KO and random integrant (RI) clones for each construct (Figure 1). KO, RI and WT *Ppal* cells were developed into multicellular fruiting bodies on agar plates and into unicellular cysts in suspension. Mature cells and structures were stained with Calcofluor to visualize cellulose cell walls (Figure 3).

The RI clones showed the same fruiting bodies as WT *Ppal*, but both the *atg7*$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$ KO clones showed severe defects. On agar, amoebas aggregated normally, and tips appeared on the aggregates (Figure 3A). However, most of the cell mass was never lifted off the substratum, while the tips extended into small finger-like projections. Phase contrast microscopy and staining of the cell masses with the cellulose dye Calcofluor revealed that the projecting fingers consisted almost entirely of vacuolated stalk-like cells (Figure 3B), but were thicker and more irregular than WT stalks. The basal cell masses were rather amorphous in phase contrast, with amoebas gradually disintegrating. After mechanical dissociation of the *atg7*$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$ structures hardly any Calcofluour positive elliptical spores were observed. Counting of total and Calcofluor positive cells from multiple images of dissociated structures revealed that among 452 *atg5*$^-$ cells, 2 were spores and 7 cysts, while among 570 *atg7*$^-$ cells, 1 spore and 10 cysts were detected.

To confirm that the phenotypic abnormalities were due to loss of the *atg7* and *atg5* genes, we removed the loxPneo cassette from the *atg7*$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$ mutants by transformation with cre-recombinase and transformed each mutant with its missing gene expressed from its own promoter. Both the *atg7*$^-$/*atg7* and the *atg5*$^-$/*atg5* mutants reverted to the normal WT phenotype (Figure 3A). To investigate whether the *atg7*$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$ defects were cell-autonomous, we mixed either mutant with 5% or 20% WT cells. Only in the mixture with 20% WT were some small fruiting bodies detected. However, none of their spores were G418 resistant, indicating that neither *atg7*$^-$ nor *atg5*$^-$ had formed spores in the chimeras. Their sporulation defect is therefore cell-autonomous.

When starved in suspension at high osmolarity, all WT and *atg7*$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$ amoebas assumed the rounded cyst morphology (Figure 3B). However, compared to WT cells, fewer of the rounded *atg7*$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$ cells developed Calcofluor positive cell walls, suggesting that the encystation process was incomplete. Quantitation of the number of Calcofluor positive cysts formed by different KO and RI clones showed that after three days in encystation medium, over 81–87% of RI cells had formed Calcofluor positive cysts, while this was only the case for 22–26% of *atg7*$^-$ or *atg5*$^-$ KO cells (Figure 4A).

To test whether loss of *atg7* or *atg5* also affected cyst viability. RI and *atg7*$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$ cells, incubated for four days in encystation medium, were treated with 0.1% Triton-X100 to lyse unencysted amoebas and then counted and plated together with *Klebsiella aerogenes* on growth plates. Of the plated RI cysts 64–66% formed plaques of feeding amoebas, but this was only the case for 3–10% of *atg7*$^-$ or *atg5*$^-$ cysts (Figure 4B). Apparently, loss of *atg7* or *atg5* reduced the ability of amoebas to encyst and the cysts that were formed were less viable.

Ppal atg7$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$ mutants show defective prespore gene expression

To gain insight into the cell differentiation anomalies of the *atg7*$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$ mutants, we measured expression of the *Ppal* prespore gene *sp45* (PPL_06034) and the prestalk gene PPL_04427 by RT-qPCR. Sp45 is a member of the spore coat (Cot) family of proteins (Fosnaugh *et al.*, 1994) that typically harbour a signal peptide and Follistatin-N-terminal (FOLN) repeats. Its prespore-specificity was demonstrated by *in situ* hybridization and expression of GFP from the *sp45* promoter (Gregg & Cox, 2000). PPL_04427 is a close relative of the *Ddis* prestalk/stalk markers *ecmA* and *ecmB* and its specificity for *Ppal* prestalk and stalk cells was shown by expression of *LacZ* from the PPL_04427 promoter (Schilde *et al.*, 2014). To use as controls for standardization in qPCR we sought out well-expressed




Figure 2. Identification and expression patterns of *P. pallidum* strain PN500_J *(Ppal) atg7* and *atg5*. *A*. *Identification.* The previously identified *Dictyostelium discoideum* (*Ddis*) *atg7* and *atg5* genes (Otto *et al.*, 2003) were used as bait to identify homologs in well-annotated dictyostelid and solitary amoebozoan genomes. Protein sequences of all hits were aligned with the *Ddis* sequences and the alignment was used to infer phylogenies using MrBayes 3.2 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003). Posterior probabilities of the nodes (BIPP) are indicated by coloured dots. Trees were annotated with the functional domain architecture of the proteins as analysed with SMART (Schultz *et al.*, 1998). A multigene amoebozoan phylogeny (Schilde *et al.*, 2019) is shown as reference. ***B***. *Expression. Ppal* cells, transformed with gene fusions of the *Ppal atg7* or *atg5* promoters and *Escherichia coli lacZ,* were plated on nitrocellulose filters supported by non-nutrient (NN) agar. Developing structures were fixed in glutaraldehyde and stained with X-gal (Dingermann *et al.*, 1989). m: mound; es, ms and ls: early, mid- and late sorogens; fb: fruiting body. Bar: 100 µm.

genes that were constitutively expressed in *Ppal* development in three RNAseq experiments. Because mature cell types in *Ppal* are either dormant or dead, there are only few candidates (SupdataRNAseq.xlsx, available as *Underlying data* (Schaap, 2022)). We selected PPL_07209, which also showed a reasonable level of read counts.

To compare prestalk and prespore gene expression in stages where WT *Ppal* forms mid- and late sorogens, we developed WT, *atg7*$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$ cells for 10 and 16 h on NN agar. RNA was isolated and reverse-transcribed and qPCR was performed on 60 ng cDNA with the primers listed in Table 1. Data for individual genes were normalized to Cq values obtained from WT samples at 10 h of development. Expression of the *sp45* prespore gene was about 10-fold lower in *atg7*$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$ structures than in WT sorogens and showed no significant increase between 10 and 16 h (Figure 5A). The prestalk gene *PPL_04427* increased at 16 h to 1.5x the level at 10 h and was at either time point about 20–30% lower in *atg7*$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$ than in WT. The "constitutively" expressed gene *PPL_07209* decreased both between 10 h and 16 h in WT and in *atg7*$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$, compared to WT. Standardization of the *sp45* and *PPL_04427* data on *PPL_07209* massively inflated *PPL_04427* expression. Since the RT-qPCR reactions are in effect already standardized by using the same amount of template as input and showed little variation between three individual experiments and between *atg7*$^-$ and




Figure 3. Phenotypes of *P. pallidum* strain PN500_J (*Ppal*) *atg7* and *atg5* mutants. *A*. *Ppal atg7*⁻ and *atg5*⁻ knock-outs, random integrant (RI) and *atg7*⁻ and *atg5*⁻ cells complemented with *atg7* or *atg5*, respectively, or mixed with 20% wild-type (WT) were plated on non-nutrient (NN) agar and incubated until mature terminal structures had formed. Bar: 100 µm. ***B***. Terminal structures of WT, *atg7*⁻ and *atg5*⁻ were directly transferred to 0.001% Calcofluor on a slide glass, or for *atg7*⁻ and *atg5*⁻ structures also first dissociated in 0.001% Calcofluor by vigorous pipetting and then transferred. Stalks, spores and cysts were photographed under phase contrast (left panels) and UV (right panels). Bar: 10 µm.




Figure 4. Encystation and cyst viability. A. *Encystation.* Random integrant (RI) and two knock-out (KO) clones each of *atg7*⁻ and *atg5*⁻ were harvested from growth plates and incubated in 400 mM sorbitol in KK2 for three days. After addition of Calcofluor to 0.001%, total cells and fluorescent cysts were counted and the percentage of encysted cells was calculated. Means and SD of three experiments. **B**. *Cyst viability.* Cysts induced for four days, as described above, were treated with 0.1% Triton X-100 to lyse unencysted amoebas and then distributed on growth plates together with *K. aerogenes* at 500 cells/plate (Ø 14 cm). After four days of growth at 22°C, the emerging plaques were counted and calculated as percentage of the plated cells. Means and SD of two experiments with duplicate plates for each cell line. For both experiments all individual RI values were significantly different from all individual KO values at P<0.001 as tested by one-way ANOVA.

atg5⁻, we consider the uncorrected data in Figure 5A to reflect the relative expression levels of *sp45* and *PPL_04427* more accurately.

Prespore differentiation in both *Ddis* and *Ppal* requires stimulation of cell surface cAMP receptors (cARs) by secreted cAMP (Kawabe *et al.*, 2009; Schaap & Van Driel, 1985; Wang *et al.*, 1988). The diminished *Sp45* expression and lack of spore differentiation in *atg7*⁻ and *atg5*⁻ mutants, prompted us to examine whether prespore gene induction by cAMP was impaired. *Ppal* cells were starved on NN agar for 4, 5 and 6 h, when loose to tight aggregates have formed, to reach a stage where cells are competent for prespore gene induction but have not started to express prespore genes. The aggregates were dissociated and cells were incubated for 4 h in suspension with 1 mM cAMP. RNA was isolated and expression of *sp45* and *PPL_07209* was determined by RT-qPCR. Data were normalized to *sp45* or *PPL_07209* Cq values in 4 h starved WT cells that were incubated without cAMP. In this experiment the PPL_07209 Cq values were almost unchanged between WT and mutant cells and between treatments (Figure 5B) and were therefore used to standardize the *sp45* fold-change induction. In WT, cAMP increased *sp45* expression over 2000-fold in 4 h starved cells. In 5 h and 6 h starved cells unstimulated *sp45* expression was 15–30-fold higher than in 4 h starved cells, while cAMP-induced levels were the same as in 4 h starved cells. cAMP induction of *sp45* was absent in *atg7*⁻ and reduced from 2,000 to 20-fold in *atg5*⁻. Evidently, as is the case in *Ddis* (Yamada & Schaap, 2019), cAMP induction of prespore gene expression in *Ppal* requires autophagy genes.

Spore coat proteins such as Sp45 are in Dictyostelia synthesized at the inner membrane of Golgi-derived prespore vesicles. These vesicles are exocytosed during spore maturation bringing the first layer of the spore coat to the surface of the spore (West, 2003). Antibodies generated against intact spores have been widely used to visualize the prespore vesicles inside prespore cells (Schilde *et al.*, 2014; Takeuchi, 1963). To appreciate the extent of the sporulation defect, we stained dissociated maturing sorogens of WT, *atg7*⁻ and *atg5*⁻ mutants with antibodies against a mixture of *Ddis* and *Ppal* spores (Schilde *et al.*, 2014) to evaluate the presence of cells with prespore vesicles. Figure 5C shows that WT *Ppal* cells contained many vesicles lined with spore antigens. While *atg5*⁻ and *atg7*⁻ cells showed some reactivity to spore antibodies, this was mostly localized between cells or on the cell surface and is likely non-specific.

Together with the lack of prespore gene expression and induction in *Ppal atg5*⁻ and *atg7*⁻, these data show that autophagy is required in *Ppal* for prespore gene induction by cAMP and spore differentiation.

Size and viability of spores formed in- and outside multicellular structures

As argued above, loss of autophagy is likely to affect spore viability. Without it, the starving cells would not be able to




Figure 5. Developmental and induced gene expression. ***A***. *Developmental expression. P. pallidum* strain PN500_J *(Ppal)* wild-type (WT) cells and *atg7*⁻ and *atg5*⁻ mutants were developed on non-nutrient (NN) agar for 10 h and 16 h, when mid and late sorogens had formed. RNA was isolated and the expression of the prespore gene *sp45*, the prestalk gene *PPL_04427* and the constitutive gene *PPL_07209* were probed by reverse transcription-quantitative (RT-q)PCR. Data for each gene were normalized to expression in WT structures at 10 h. Means and SD of three experiments assayed with technical duplicates. Significant differences between mutant and wild-type gene expression at the same time point were determined by a rank sum test and indicated by * for P<0.05. ***B***. *cAMP induction of sp45.* WT cells and *atg7*⁻ and *atg5*⁻ mutants were starved on agar for 4, 5 and 6 h until loose to tight aggregates had formed. Aggregates were dissociated and cells were incubated in suspension with and without 1 mM cAMP for 4 h. RNA was isolated and expression of *sp45* and *PPL_07209* was probed by RT-qPCR. Data were normalized to expression in 4 h starved WT cells, incubated for 4 h without cAMP and Sp45 data were standardized on expression of PPL_07209 in the same sample. Means and SD of three experiments assayed with technical duplicates. Significant differences between expression with and without cAMP were determined as above and indicated by *. ***C***. *Spore antigens.* WT and *atg5*⁻ and *atg7*⁻ mutants were developed for 15 h into sorogens, which were dissociated and stained with anti-spore antibodies and Alexa fluor 488 goat-anti-rabbit-IgG. Bar: 10 µm.

generate the compounds required for spore wall synthesis and energy storage. It is however unclear why loss of autophagy should specifically act at initial induction of prespore gene expression by cAMP. Autophagy, as measured by RFP-GFP-Atg8 containing vesicles (Yamada & Schaap, 2021) or the percentage of cytosol occupied by autophagosomes (Schaap, 1983), is

much higher in prestalk than in prespore cells. Our present observation that encystation is less affected than sporulation by loss of autophagy, combined with low autophagy in prespore cells suggests that in multicellular development the spores depend on metabolites produced by autophagy in the prestalk/stalk population.

In *Ddis,* spores can be induced to differentiate from single amoebas in suspension by treatment with ≥10 mM 8Br-cAMP, a membrane-permeant PKA agonist, although the efficiency of induction varies between different strains (Kay, 1989; Richardson *et al.*, 1991). In *Ppal,* 8Br-cAMP effectively and invariably induces encystation (Ritchie *et al.*, 2008). Because group four species like *Ddis* have lost encystation (Romeralo *et al.*, 2013), we used *Ddis* to compare the size and viability of spores developed inside the fruiting body with those of spores induced in cell suspension by 8Br-cAMP. To increase the efficiency of sporulation, we plated cells at a density of 10^5 cells/cm^2, which allows some cell clumping and interaction of the tgrB1/tgrC1(lagC) adhesion proteins that induce competence for post-aggregative gene induction (Dynes *et al.*, 1994; Hirose *et al.*, 2011). Pilot experiments showed that spore induction was also improved when 5 mM cAMP was included with 15 mM 8Br-cAMP, but cAMP induced little spore encapsulation by itself (Figure 6B).

About 64% of *Ddis* Ax2 amoebas incubated with 5 mM cAMP and 15 mM 8Br-cAMP differentiated into ellipsoid spores with cellulose-rich walls, as evaluated by staining with Calcofluor (Figure 6A and B). The eccentricity (length to width ratio) of the induced spores was 34% lower than that of spores developed in fruiting bodies, and their cross-section area was reduced by 20% (Figure 6C). The viability of 8Br-cAMP induced spores is usually assessed by their resistance to lysis by detergents (Kay, 1989). While the induced spores in our experiments were not lysed by detergent treatment, only 0.2% of the detergent-treated spores germinated when plated with bacteria on agar. For developed spores 85% germinated after detergent treatment (Figure 6D). *Ddis* Ax2 is an axenically growing mutant of the wild-type isolate *Ddis* NC4. To test whether the poor viability of the 8Br-cAMP induced Ax2 spores was not at least partially caused by genetic defects or axenic culture, we also compared normally developed and 8Br-cAMP induced spores of bacterially grown *Ddis* NC4. Figure 6D shows that 8Br-cAMP induced NC4 spores showed a 65% reduction in their ability to germinate after detergent treatment.

To confirm that the encapsulated Ax2 cells were spores, we repeated the induction by 8Br-cAMP using *Ddis* expressing the spore coat gene *cotC* fused to red fluorescent protein (mRFP) (Yamada *et al.*, 2018). While this strain showed less efficient induction of Calcofluor positive cells (17%) than its parent Ax2, most Calcofluor positive cells also showed mRFP expression indicating that they had entered the sporulation pathway (Figure 6E). In conclusion, compared to spores developed in the presence of prestalk and stalk cells, spores induced by 8Br-cAMP in isolation were rounder and smaller and lost all (Ax2) or most (NC4) of their ability to germinate after detergent treatment.

Discussion

Multicellular sporulation depends more on autophagy than unicellular encystation

Autophagy is very prominent in the prestalk and stalk population of *Ddis* and was initially considered to be required for stalk cell differentiation (Giusti *et al.*, 2009; Schaap, 1983). However, a screen for sporulation-deficient mutants identified lesions in several autophagy genes as the cause of the sporulation defects. Such mutants had relatively normal stalks and overproduced the stalk-like basal disc cells but were specifically defective in cAMP-induced prespore gene expression (Yamada & Schaap, 2019; Yamada & Schaap, 2021).

To investigate whether this specific effect of autophagy is conserved in Dictyostelia and whether autophagy is also required for encystation, the ancestral survival strategy of solitary amoebas, we deleted two genes, *atg7* and *atg5* that are each essential for early autophagosome formation in *Ppal*, a distant relative of *Ddis* that can form both spores and cysts. The *Ppal atg7*$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$ mutants showed similar defects in multicellular development as their *Ddis* counterparts. They normally aggregated into mounds, but never formed normal sorogens (or slugs in *Ddis*). Instead, small finger-like structures projected from the mounds that consisted mostly of stalk cells, while the remaining cells stayed amoeboid (Figure 3).

No spores were formed at all and the amoeboid cells did not contain the characteristic prespore vesicles with spore antigens. Expression of the prespore gene *sp45* was much reduced in the *atg7*$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$ multicellular structures. Prespore gene induction by cAMP was absent in *atg7*$^-$ and 100-fold reduced in *atg5*$^-$ cells. When starved as single cells in suspension *Ppal atg7*$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$ amoebas did form cysts, but the percentage of properly walled cysts was 3-fold lower than for WT and only 5–10% of the mutant cysts germinated into viable amoebas. Both encystation and sporulation are a response to starvation stress and it takes about 20-24 h for mature cysts or spores to form. Both require cell wall synthesis and likely deposition of energy stores for the emerging amoebas. It therefore stands to reason that the differentiation of viable spores or cysts requires turnover of existing macromolecules and organelles by autophagy. It is however unclear why this requirement is much more stringent for sporulation and why loss of autophagy should so specifically act on cAMP induction of prespore gene expression. Additionally, it is unclear why stalk cell differentiation, which involves fusion of acidic vacuoles into a large central vacuole and cell wall synthesis, does not require autophagy. In *Ddis atg7*$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$ mutants, the prespore population transdifferentiates into the stalk-like basal disc cells. This does not occur in *Ppal,* presumably because basal disc cells are a group 4 specific innovation (Romeralo *et al.*, 2013). Recently, *Ddis* mutants lacking PIKfyve, a 1-phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate 5-kinase, which is involved in fragmentation of late (auto)-lysosomes during normal endosome processing, were also shown to transdifferentiate prespore cells into basal disc cells (Yamada *et al.*, 2021). Together with the phenotypes of the *atg7*$^-$ and *atg5*$^-$ mutants, this suggest that the extreme vacuolation and lysis of cell content that accompanies basal disc differentiation represents a dysregulation of lysosomal function rather than a dependence on autophagy.




Figure 6. Features of naturally developed and 8Br-cAMP-induced *Dictyostelium discoideum* (*Ddis*) spores. *A.* *Microscopy*. Axenically grown *Ddis* Ax2 spores were isolated from mature fruiting bodies (developed) and harvested after 30 h of incubation with 5 mM cAMP and 15 mM 8Br-cAMP. The spores were stained with 0.001% Calcofluor and imaged under phase contrast and epifluorescence. Bar: 10 µm. ***B***. *Quantitation. Ddis* Ax2 cells were incubated for 30 h with 5 mM cAMP with and without 15 mM 8Br-cAMP, stained with Calcofluor. The numbers of total and Calcofluor stained cells were determined from phase contrast and fluorescence images, and the percentage of Calcofluor positive cells (spores) was calculated. Means and SD of three experiments. ***C***. *Size and eccentricity.* The displayed area and the spore length and width of Calcofluor positive spores were measured using ImageJ (Collins, 2007) and spore eccentricity (length/width) was calculated. Means and SD of 100 spores for each condition are shown. ***D***. *Germination. Ddis* Ax2 and bacterially grown *Ddis* NC4 spores were isolated from mature fruiting bodies or harvested after 30 h of incubation with 5 mM cAMP and 15 mM 8Br-cAMP. Spores were treated with 0.1% Triton to lyse unencapsulated amoebas, counted and plated with *K. aerogenes* at 500–2000 (induced Ax2 spores) cells/plate. After three days the emerged plaques were counted, and the percentage of germinated spores was calculated. Means and SD of three independent experiments. *Significant differences ($P \leq 0.002$) between the two datasets presented in each graph were determined by a rank sum test. ***E***. *Cotc-mRFP expression. Ddis* Ax2 cells, transformed with a gene fusion of the mRFP gene and *Ddis* spore coat gene *cotC* inclusive of its promoter were developed into spores in fruiting bodies (top row) or incubated for 30 h with 5 mM cAMP (control) or 5 mM cAMP and 15 mM 8Br-cAMP. The cells were stained with Calcofluor and imaged in phase contrast and by epifluorescence. Bar: 10 µm.

cAMP as a signal for the aggregated state

In both *Ddis* and *Ppal*, prespore differentiation is induced by micromolar cAMP acting on cAMP receptors (Kawabe *et al.*, 2009; Schaap & Van Driel, 1985) and also requires activation of PKA by increased intracellular cAMP (Hopper *et al.*, 1993; Mann *et al.*, 1994). The effect of PKA is however not specific for spore differentiation, since stalk cell differentiation also requires PKA (Harwood *et al.*, 1992) and PKA activation is the only signal required for encystation (Kawabe *et al.*, 2015). In Dictyostelids and solitary Amoebozoa stressors like starvation and high osmolarity increase intracellular cAMP, which by acting on PKA then induces encystation. This indicated that the role of PKA in spore and stalk cell encapsulation is evolutionary derived from its role in encystation (Du *et al.*, 2014; Ritchie *et al.*, 2008).

This notion was supported by the finding that *Ppal* cAR KOs formed cysts in their fruiting bodies instead of spores. The cAR KOs had lost cAMP induction of prespore gene expression, but since cAMP levels and therefore PKA activity were still elevated in the starving cells, encystation was their remaining option (Kawabe *et al.*, 2009). Because Dictyostelids secrete most of the cAMP that they synthesize, it was hypothesised that accumulation of micromolar extracellular cAMP within aggregates acts as a signal for the aggregated state, inducing *Ppal* cells to form spores and not cysts when in aggregates.

This raised a further question into the fitness advantage of spores over cysts. Experiments measuring ultrastructural features and testing long term survival of spores over cysts showed that while spores and cysts of the same species showed similar long-time survival at 22°C, spores survived frost much better, which was correlated with spores having a thicker more structured cell wall and higher state of dehydration than cysts (Lawal *et al.*, 2020). Compared to spores from groups one, two and three, group four spores combined the thickest cell walls with large spore size and high dehydration and showed the highest frost resistance. In contrast to species from groups one to three, which were mainly isolated from tropic to temperate zones, group four contains many species that were isolated from arctic and alpine regions, suggesting that the improved frost survival of their spores allowed group four species to colonize colder habitats (Lawal *et al.*, 2020). How is this related to autophagy?

Are prestalk and stalk cells nursing the spores?

Ddis prestalk cells typically contain more autolysosomes than prespore cells, as quantitated in electron microscopy images or visualized by neutral red staining (Devine & Loomis, 1985; Schaap, 1983) as well as vesicles with autophagy proteins like Atg8, KnkA and Bcas3 (Yamada & Schaap, 2021), indicating that autophagy is normally more active in prestalk than in prespore cells. To test a hypothesis that sporulation directly benefits from prestalk cell autophagy, we compared the overall size and fitness of *Ddis* spores formed in fruiting bodies with those induced to sporulate as solitary cells with 15 mM 8Br-cAMP and 5 mM cAMP. The induced spores were smaller and rounder than the spores from fruiting bodies and while they incorporated both spore coat proteins and cellulose in their cell walls, they lost all or most of their ability to germinate and to resume feeding after detergent treatment, which is not the case for normally developed spores (Figure 6).

While other explanations like missing signals for spore maturation remain possible, all known signals directly or indirectly activate PKA resulting in exocytosis of the prespore vesicles, which contain the first layer of the spore coat and materials to complete its synthesis (Alexander *et al.*, 2003; Loomis, 2014). Since these signals are bypassed by the PKA activator 8Br-cAMP in our experiment, it is more likely that full spore maturation requires flow of metabolites from the prestalk/stalk to the prespore population to complete prespore vesicle assembly. In such a scenario the dependence of prespore gene expression on micromolar extracellular cAMP that can only occur when cells are close together in aggregates, combined with the dependence of cAMP signal transduction on autophagy signifies that the starving amoebas use cAMP to test whether they are in a state (the aggregate) where they can benefit from the autophagy of others. If, as is the case in *Ppal* cAR KOs, the cAMP increase cannot be sensed, the cells opt to differentiate into the less resilient cysts (Kawabe *et al.*, 2009).

Exploitation of the weak analogies between spores and sexual macrocysts

Sexual macrocysts are another heavily walled survival structure of Dictyostelia, which typically maintain dormancy for very long periods. Here, two starving cells of opposite mating type fuse and the zygote then secretes chemoattractant to lure other amoebas into an aggregate. The zygote then cannibalizes these amoebas and uses their metabolites to build its heavy wall (O'Day & Keszei, 2012).

The proposed reliance of spores on nutrients from prestalk/stalk cells is analogous to the reliance of zygotes on co-aggregated haploid cells and may provide insight into the early evolution of somatic cells. While starving proto-dictyostelia may originally have aggregated to protect their dormant cells from predation by larger starving protists, as was demonstrated for the Volvocales (Herron *et al.*, 2019), the aggregated cells then exploited each other to improve their long-term survival. For the zygote, feeding on other amoebas is facilitated by it being twice as large. For asexual aggregates it is well-documented that cells that enter starvation while late in the cell cycle (and are thus relatively large) or cells fed in glucose-rich *versus* glucose-poor media preferentially differentiate into spores compared to cells that have just divided (Gomer & Firtel, 1987; Leach *et al.*, 1973; Ohmori & Maeda, 1987; Weijer *et al.*, 1984). The differentiating prespore cells then secrete compounds, such as DIF-1, that prevent other cells from differentiating as prespore cells, but to which they are less responsive (Kay & Thompson, 2001; Thompson & Kay, 2000). In short, the larger well-fed cells are predisposed to propagate the organism and then coerce the leaner cells to give up their resources through autophagy.

Size and complexity of soma correlates with improved hibernation

In *Ddis* and other group four species, prestalk cells occupy the anterior 20–30% of the sorogen, while the posterior

prespore cells maintain a proportion of anterior-like cells by secretion of DIF-1 and other factors (Kay *et al.*, 1999). Some anterior-like cells replenish the prestalk cells during formation of the stalk, which is several cells thick, while others either differentiate into basal disc or cup cells that respectively support the stalk and spore mass (Sternfeld, 1998; Sternfeld & David, 1982).

In groups one to three, stalks are one cell thick and the prestalk region only makes up the anterior 5–10% of the sorogen (Gregg & Cox, 2000). Prespore cells transdifferentiate into prestalk cells at this region, but scattered expression of (pre)stalk markers throughout *Ppal* sorogens suggests that group one to three species may have anterior-like cells (Schilde *et al.*, 2014). Even if so, the ratio of somatic over spore cells is much lower in groups one to three than in group four and there is only one somatic cell type, the stalk cell.

As mentioned above, compared to group one to three spores, group four spores are more dehydrated and have thicker cell walls, factors that likely assist their surviving longer under frosty conditions than group one to three spores, and to group four species being common to arctic and alpine regions, where group one to three species are rarely found (Lawal *et al.*, 2020). Fossil calibrated phylogenies date the split between the two major branches of Dictyostelia at 0.52 bya, just following the global Neoproterozoic glaciations. Because cysts combine good long-time survival above 20°C with poor frost survival, it was surmised that sporulation in multicellular fruiting bodies evolved in response to global cooling (Lawal *et al.*, 2020). Partitioning ever larger numbers of cells to somatic fate, allowing increased nutrient flux to spores by autophagy, may have allowed group four to further increase the cold resistance of its spores and to inhabit the coldest regions of the planet. The increased size of the somatic cell pool also allowed the somatic cells to assume novel roles as basal disc and cup cells.

Additional work is needed to support this narrative. Spore wall thickness and spore compaction were relatively easy to determine for many species by electron microscopy (Lawal *et al.*, 2020). However, spore fitness likely involves other factors that require more in-depth experimentation, such as spore wall composition and architecture as well as the size of their trehalose and lipid stores. Particularly trehalose, which accumulates in maturing spores (Rutherford & Jefferson, 1976) and acts both as an energy store utilized during spore germination (Jackson *et al.*, 1982) and as a cryo- and desiccation protectant of proteins and membranes (Elbein *et al.*, 2003) may be a major determinant for long term spore survival.

The role of sexual macrocysts in dictyostelid survival in different ecological niches is unknown. They are formed under dark and submerged conditions and induced by ethylene (Amagai *et al.*, 2007). Unlike spores and cysts, macrocysts require long periods and as yet unknown stimuli to germinate. The latter property prohibited experimental studies, although progress was made in identification of genes required for macrocyst formation and genes that define the three mating types of *Ddis* (Bloomfield, 2019; Urushihara & Muramoto, 2006). Macrocysts are common throughout the dictyostelid phylogeny (Schaap *et al.*, 2006) and their prolonged dormancy suggests a major role in long-term stress survival.

However, while the cannibalism that feeds the macrocyst seems an evolutionary dead-end towards developing multicellular complexity, autophagy as occurs in fruiting bodies does not exclude additional functionality of the soma. Autophagy is an ancient and well-conserved process across all eukaryote divisions (Zhang *et al.*, 2021), with most divisions also giving rise to multicellular forms (Brown *et al.*, 2012). The current work indicates that autophagy may have played a major role in the initial evolution and diversification of somatic cells.

Ethics and consent

Ethical approval and consent were not required.

Data availability

Underlying data

NCBI Gene: Heterostelium album strain PN500_J autophagy protein 7 (atg7) gene, complete cds. Accession number ON758339, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/ON758339

NCBI Gene: Cloning vector EXP5(+), complete sequence. Accession number EF028664.1, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/EF028664.1/

Open Science Framework: ExtendedData_Du_Schaap_MS. https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/92RZH (Schaap, 2022)

This project contains the following extended data:

- DNAconstructMaps_Sequences.zip (DNA constructs, gene sequences and plasmid maps)
- Fig1_uncropped_gel_images.zip (Uncropped gel images for Figure 1)
- Fig2_atg5_atg7-lacZstaining_originals.zip (atg5-atg7 promoter_LacZ staining original images)
- Fig3_atg5_7KOphenotype_originalimages.zip (atg5-atg7 KO phenotype original images)
- Fig4&Fig6_statistical_analysis.zip (Raw spreadsheet data of statistical analyses performed on the data presented in figures 4 and 6)
- Fig5_RTqPCRexp_Cqvalues+calculation.zip (primer standard curves, raw Cq values for all samples and replicates (qPCR))
- Fig5C_Spore-antibodyStaining_Originalimages.zip (Spore antibody staining original images)
- Fig6A_B_8BrcAMPspore-Induction_original_images.zip (8Br-cAMP induced spores original images)
- SupdataRnaSeq.xlsx (RNAseq data of putative constitutively expressed genes)

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

References

Alexander S, Srinivasan S, Alexander H: **Proteomics opens doors to the mechanisms of developmentally regulated secretion.** *Mol Cell Proteomics.* 2003; **2**(11): 1156–63.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Amagai A, Soramoto SS, Saito SH, *et al.*: **Ethylene induces zygote formation through an enhanced expression of *zyg1* in *Dictyostelium mucoroides*.** *Exp Cell Res.* 2007; **313**(11): 2493–2503.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Bloomfield G: **Sex and macrocyst formation in *Dictyostelium*.** *Int J Dev Biol.* 2019; **63**(8–9–10): 439–446.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Brown MW, Kolisko M, Silberman JD, *et al.*: **Aggregative Multicellularity Evolved Independently in the Eukaryotic Supergroup Rhizaria.** *Curr Biol.* 2012; **22**(12): 1123–1127.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Collins TJ: **ImageJ for microscopy.** *Biotechniques.* 2007; **43**(1 Suppl): 25–30.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Deter RL, Baudhuin P, De Duve C: **Participation of lysosomes in cellular autophagy induced in rat liver by glucagon.** *J Cell Biol.* 1967; **35**(2): C11–16.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Devine KM, Loomis WF: **Molecular characterization of anterior-like cells in *Dictyostelium discoideum*.** *Dev Biol.* 1985; **107**(2): 364–372.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Dingermann T, Reindl N, Werner H, *et al.*: **Optimization and in situ detection of *Escherichia coli* beta-galactosidase gene expression in *Dictyostelium discoideum*.** *Gene.* 1989; **85**(2): 353–362.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Du Q, Schilde C, Birgersson E, *et al.*: **The cyclic AMP phosphodiesterase RegA critically regulates encystation in social and pathogenic amoebas.** *Cell Signal.* 2014; **26**(2): 453–459.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Dynes JL, Clark AM, Shaulsky G, *et al.*: **LagC is required for cell-cell interactions that are essential for cell-type differentiation in Dictyostelium.** *Genes Dev.* 1994; **8**(8): 948–958.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Elbein AD, Pan YT, Pastuszak I, *et al.*: **New insights on trehalose: a multifunctional molecule.** *Glycobiology.* 2003; **13**(4): 17R–27R.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Fosnaugh K, Fuller D, Loomis WF: **Structural roles of the spore coat proteins in *Dictyostelium discoideum*.** *Dev Biol.* 1994; **166**(2): 823–825.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Giusti C, Tresse E, Luciani MF, *et al.*: **Autophagic cell death: analysis in *Dictyostelium*.** *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2009; **1793**(9): 1422–1431.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Gomer RH, Firtel RA: **Cell-autonomous determination of cell-type choice in *Dictyostelium* development by cell-cycle phase.** *Science.* 1987; **237**(4816): 758–762.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Gregg KY, Cox EC: **Spatial and Temporal Expression of a *Polysphondylium* Spore-Specific Gene.** *Dev Biol.* 2000; **224**(1): 81–95.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Harwood AJ, Drury L: **New vectors for expression of the *E.coli lacZ* gene in *Dictyostelium*.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1990; **18**(14): 4292.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Harwood AJ, Hopper NA, Simon MN, *et al.*: **Culmination in Dictyostelium is regulated by the cAMP-dependent protein kinase.** *Cell.* 1992; **69**(4): 615–624.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Heidel AJ, Lawal HM, Felder M, *et al.*: **Phylogeny-wide analysis of social amoeba genomes highlights ancient origins for complex intercellular communication.** *Genome Res.* 2011; **21**(11): 1882–1891.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Herron MD, Borin JM, Boswell JC, *et al.*: ***De novo* origins of multicellularity in response to predation.** *Sci Rep.* 2019; **9**(1): 2328.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Hirose S, Benabentos R, Ho HI, *et al.*: **Self-Recognition in Social Amoebae Is Mediated by Allelic Pairs of *Tiger* Genes.** *Science.* 2011; **333**(6041): 467–470.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Hopper NA, Harwood AJ, Bouzid S, *et al.*: **Activation of the prespore and spore cell pathway of Dictyostelium differentiation by cAMP-dependent protein kinase and evidence for its upstream regulation by ammonia.** *EMBO J.* 1993; **12**(6): 2459–2466.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Jackson DP, Chan AH, Cotter DA: **Utilization of trehalose during *Dictyostelium discoideum* spore germination.** *Dev Biol.* 1982; **90**(2): 369–374.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Kawabe Y, Enomoto T, Morio T, *et al.*: **LbrA, a protein predicted to have a role in vesicle trafficking, is necessary for normal morphogenesis in *Polysphondylium pallidum*.** *Gene.* 1999; **239**(1): 75–79.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Kawabe Y, Morio T, James JL, *et al.*: **Activated cAMP receptors switch encystation into sporulation.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2009; **106**(17): 7089–7094.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Kawabe Y, Schilde C, Du Q, *et al.*: **A conserved signalling pathway for amoebozoan encystation that was co-opted for multicellular development.** *Sci Rep.* 2015; **5**: 9644.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Kawabe Y, Weening KE, Marquay-Markiewicz J, *et al.*: **Evolution of self-organisation in Dictyostelia by adaptation of a non-selective phosphodiesterase and a matrix component for regulated cAMP degradation.** *Development.* 2012; **139**(7): 1336–1345.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Kay RR: **Evidence that elevated intracellular cyclic AMP triggers spore maturation in Dictyostelium.** *Development.* 1989; **105**(4): 753–759.
Reference Source

Kay RR, Flatman P, Thompson CR: **DIF signalling and cell fate.** *Semin Cell Dev Biol.* 1999; **10**(6): 577–585.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Kay RR, Thompson CR: **Cross-induction of cell types in *Dictyostelium*: evidence that DIF-1 is made by prespore cells.** *Development.* 2001; **128**(24): 4959–4966.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Klionsky DJ, Petroni G, Amaravadi RK, *et al.*: **Autophagy in major human diseases.** *EMBO J.* 2021; **40**(19): e108863.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Lawal HM, Schilde C, Kin K, *et al.*: **Cold climate adaptation is a plausible cause for evolution of multicellular sporulation in Dictyostelia.** *Sci Rep.* 2020; **10**(1): 8797.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Leach CK, Ashworth JM, Garrod DR: **Cell sorting out during the differentiation of mixtures of metabolically distinct populations of *Dictyostelium discoideum*.** *J Embryol Exp Morphol.* 1973; **29**(3): 647–661.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD: **Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method.** *Methods.* 2001; **25**(4): 402–408.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Loomis WF: **Cell signaling during development of *Dictyostelium*.** *Dev Biol.* 2014; **391**(1): 1–16.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Mann SK, Richardson DL, Lee S, *et al.*: **Expression of cAMP-dependent protein kinase in prespore cells is sufficient to induce spore cell differentiation in Dictyostelium.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1994; **91**(22): 10561–10565.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Meima ME, Weening KE, Schaap P: **Vectors for expression of proteins with single or combinatorial fluorescent protein and tandem affinity purification tags in *Dictyostelium*.** *Protein Expr Purif.* 2007; **53**(2): 283–288.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Nakatogawa H: **Mechanisms governing autophagosome biogenesis.** *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2020; **21**(8): 439–458.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

O'Day DH, Keszei A: **Signalling and sex in the social amoebozoans.** *Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc.* 2012; **87**(2): 313–29.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Ohmori T, Maeda Y: **The developmental fate of *Dictyostelium discoideum* cells depends greatly on the cell-cycle position at the onset of starvation.** *Cell Differ.* 1987; **22**(1): 11–18.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Ohsumi Y: **Molecular mechanism of autophagy in yeast, Saccharomyces cerevisiae.** *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 1999; **354**(1389): 1577–1580; discussion 1580-1571.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Otto GP, Wu MY, Kazgan N, *et al.*: **Macroautophagy is required for multicellular development of the social amoeba *Dictyostelium discoideum*.** *J Biol Chem.* 2003; **278**(20): 17636–17645.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Paschke P, Knecht DA, Silale A, *et al.*: **Rapid and efficient genetic engineering of both wild type and axenic strains of *Dictyostelium discoideum*.** *PLoS One.* 2018; **13**(5): e0196809.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Richardson DL, Hong CB, Loomis WF: **A prespore gene, Dd31, expressed during culmination of *Dictyostelium discoideum*.** *Dev Biol.* 1991; **144**(2): 269–280.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Ritchie AV, van Es S, Fouquet C, *et al.*: **From drought sensing to developmental control: evolution of cyclic AMP signaling in social amoebas.** *Mol Biol Evol.* 2008; **25**(10): 2109–2118.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Romeralo M, Skiba A, Gonzalez-Voyer A, *et al.*: **Analysis of phenotypic evolution in Dictyostelia highlights developmental plasticity as a likely consequence of colonial multicellularity.** *Proc Biol Sci.* 2013; **280**(1764): 20130976.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP: **MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models.** *Bioinformatics.* 2003; **19**(12): 1572–1574.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Rutherford CL, Jefferson BL: **Trehalose accumulation in stalk and spore cells of *Dictyostelium discoideum*.** *Dev Biol.* 1976; **52**(1): 52–60.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Schaap P: **Quantitative analysis of the spatial distribution of ultrastructural differentiation markers during development of *Dictyostelium discoideum*.** *Wilehm Roux Arch Dev Biol.* 1983; **192**(2): 86–94.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Schaap P: **ExtendedData_Du_Schaap_MS.** 2022.
http://www.doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/92RZH

Schaap P, van Driel RV: **Induction of post-aggregative differentiation in *Dictyostelium discoideum* by cAMP. Evidence of involvement of the cell surface cAMP receptor.** *Exp Cell Res.* 1985; **159**(2): 388–398.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Schaap P, Winckler T, Nelson M, *et al.*: **Molecular phylogeny and evolution of morphology in the social amoebas.** *Science.* 2006; **314**(5799): 661–663.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Schilde C, Lawal HM, Kin K, *et al.*: **A well supported multi gene phylogeny of 52 dictyostelia.** *Mol Phylogenet Evol.* 2019; **134**: 66–73.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Schilde C, Skiba A, Schaap P: **Evolutionary reconstruction of pattern formation in 98 *Dictyostelium* species reveals that cell-type specialization by lateral inhibition is a derived trait.** *Evodevo.* 2014; **5**: 34.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Schultz J, Milpetz F, Bork P, *et al.*: **SMART, a simple modular architecture research tool: identification of signaling domains.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1998; **95**(11): 5857–5864.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Sievers F, Higgins DG: **Clustal omega, accurate alignment of very large numbers of sequences.** *Methods Mol Biol.* 2014; **1079**: 105–116.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Sternfeld J: **The anterior-like cells in *Dictyostelium* are required for the elevation of the spores during culmination.** *Dev Genes Evol.* 1998; **208**(9): 487–494.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Sternfeld J, David CN: **Fate and regulation of anterior-like cells in *Dictyostelium* slugs.** *Dev Biol.* 1982; **93**(1): 111–118.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Takeuchi I: **Immunochemical and immunohistochemical studies on the development of the cellular slime mold *Dictyostelium mucoroides*.** *Dev Biol.* 1963; **8**: 1–26.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Thompson CR, Kay RR: **Cell-fate choice in *dictyostelium*: intrinsic biases modulate sensitivity to DIF signaling.** *Dev Biol.* 2000; **227**(1): 56–64.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Tornero-Écija A, Tábara LC, Bueno-Arribas M, *et al.*: **A Dictyostelium model for BPAN disease reveals a functional relationship between the WDR45/WIPI4 homolog Wdr45l and Vmp1 in the regulation of autophagy-associated PtdIns3P and ER stress.** *Autophagy.* 2022; **18**(3): 661–677.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Urushihara H, Muramoto T: **Genes involved in *Dictyostelium discoideum* sexual reproduction.** *Eur J Cell Biol.* 2006; **85**(9–10): 961–968.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Wang M, van Driel R, Schaap P: **Cyclic AMP-phosphodiesterase induces dedifferentiation of prespore cells in Dictyostelium discoideum slugs: evidence that cyclic AMP is the morphogenetic signal for prespore differentiation.** *Development.* 1988; **103**(3): 611–618.
Publisher Full Text

Weijer CJ, Duschl G, David CN: **Dependence of cell-type proportioning and sorting on cell cycle phase in Dictyostelium discoideum.** *J Cell Sci.* 1984; **70**: 133–145.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

West CM: **Comparative analysis of spore coat formation, structure, and function in *Dictyostelium*.** *Int Rev Cytol.* 2003; **222**: 237–293.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Xiong Q, Fischer S, Karow M, *et al.*: **ATG16 mediates the autophagic degradation of the 19S proteasomal subunits PSMD1 and PSMD2.** *Eur J Cell Biol.* 2018; **97**(8): 523–532.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Yamada Y, Cassidy A, Schaap P: **The transcription factor Spores Absent A is a PKA dependent inducer of *Dictyostelium* sporulation.** *Sci Rep.* 2018; **8**(1): 6643.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Yamada Y, Forbes G, Du Q, *et al.*: **Loss of PIKfyve Causes Transdifferentiation of *Dictyostelium* Spores Into Basal Disc Cells.** *Front Cell Dev Biol.* 2021; **9**: 692473.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Yamada Y, Schaap P: **Cyclic AMP induction of *Dictyostelium* prespore gene expression requires autophagy.** *Dev Biol.* 2019; **452**(2): 114–126.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Yamada Y, Schaap P: **The proppin Bcas3 and its interactor KinkyA localize to the early phagophore and regulate autophagy.** *Autophagy.* 2021; **17**(3): 640–655.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

Zhang S, Hama Y, Mizushima N: **The evolution of autophagy proteins - diversification in eukaryotes and potential ancestors in prokaryotes.** *J Cell Sci.* 2021; **134**(13): jcs233742.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: ✔ ✔ ?

Version 2

Reviewer Report 29 November 2022

https://doi.org/10.21956/openreseurope.16588.r30414

© **2022 Escalante R.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

✔ **Ricardo Escalante**
C.S.I.C./U.A.M, Instituto De Investigaciones Biomédicas Alberto Sols, Madrid, Spain

The authors responded satisfactorily to all my concerns. I have no further questions. This manuscript is an important contribution to the field.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Autophagy; Dictyostelium

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 24 November 2022

https://doi.org/10.21956/openreseurope.16588.r30415

© **2022 Queller D.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

? **David Queller**
Department of Biology, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO, USA

Nice paper. I am happy with all of the responses except for the following one.

Comment. Some of the experiments seemed to have a nested structure that is not reflected in the nested statistical tests. For example, Figure 4 has “two experiments with duplicate plates for each cell line”. Did you just average the two duplicate plates into one data point for the analysis?

Response: We used all individual measurements for calculating the averages.

New Comment: The individual measurements could be misleading if differences among plates make the measurements within a plate non-independent. To make the case extreme, suppose there is no real treatment effect, but you had some extraneous environmental factor that differed across plates, and you happened to have had high values of this factor for each of the plates in one treatment and low values in the two plates of the other treatments. If this environmental factor affects your result variable, the points in treatment 1 will then be different from those in treatment 2, but this would be because of the environmental differences, with an effective sample size of 2 for each treatment. If you don't include plate as a nested factor in your statistical analysis you would falsely conclude that there is a difference between treatments. A nested analysis would separate out any effect of plate from treatment so you could see the effect of each. An alternative but less rigorous approach is the examine your pate means within treatments. If these are very similar, plate effects are unlikely to be a problem.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Evolutionary biology, microbiology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 26 Nov 2022

Pauline Schaap

I have to apologize. I looked back at the original data and the cell/plaque counts for the two experimental replicates had already been combined before the statistical test was performed. The problem raised by the reviewer therefore does not apply. However, more generally speaking if we would observe large plate or experiment specific variation, we would try to identify the cause and repeat the experiments.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 24 November 2022

https://doi.org/10.21956/openreseurope.16588.r30413

© 2022 Winckler T. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Thomas Winckler
Institute of Pharmacy, Pharmaceutical Biology, University of Jena, Jena, Germany

No comments.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Intercellular communication during early development in social amoebas

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 20 September 2022

https://doi.org/10.21956/openreseurope.16154.r30014

© 2022 Queller D. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

?

David Queller
[1] Department of Biology, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO, USA
[2] Department of Biology, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO, USA

This work follows up research on the evolution of multicellularity in the model organism *Dictyostelium discoideum* with work on one of its relative *Polysphondylium pallidum*. These organisms form a multicellular fruiting body with a sterile stalk supporting reproductive spores, a simple form of soma/germline division. Work on *D. discoideum* suggests that autophagy of stalk cells is required for spore development. This is a hint that stalk cells may be feeding spore cells, which would be a novel function of the stalk cells. *Polysphondylium pallidum* offers an opportunity to test the generality of this finding and to see whether autophagy is also important in another dormant structure, cysts, which are lacking in *D. discoideum.*

Knockouts of two essential autophagy genes did not prevent encystation, though they did reduce it. These knockouts did eliminate spore production confirming that autophagy is indeed essential for spore development in *D. discoideum*. cAMP-induced prespore gene expression was also lost. Finally, chemical inducement of spores resulted in spores that were smaller and less resistant to detergent. These results provide further evidence consistent with spores gaining nutrition from stalk cells. There are other possible interpretations, but the authors are open about this, so readers should not be led to overinterpret. This work should stimulate further research on the topic, potentially showing that early cell differentiation was as much or more about food transfer as about aiding dispersal of the spores.

I have a few suggestions and question, none of them very major:

- I wonder if these results are relevant to non-fruiting cheater mutants (Ennis *et. al* 2000[1], Kuzdzal-Fick *et al* 2011[2]). A mutant that does not produce proper stalk cells would not develop on its own, but could be fed by the stalk cells of other clones if developed in mixtures.

- I am curious if the authors have any thoughts on how and when food transfer takes place. Does food have to pass up the stalk somehow, or does the transfer occur earlier?

- I would not use the abbreviations Ddis and Ppal for the species. Readers will be familiar with the actual names but not these abbreviations.

- Figure 1, the “phylogenies” of atg5 and atg7 are misleading. A much better estimate of the phylogeny is shown below them. Atg5 and Atg7 presumably evolved on that same phylogeny (unless there was horizontal gene transfer, which seems unlikely). The differences from the best phylogeny presumably just reflect errors in estimation because of smaller datasets for single genes. The domain structures of atg5 and atg7 should just be mapped on the best phylogeny (this shouldn’t change any real conclusions though).

- Figures with histograms. In my field, authors are moving away from mean/st.dev histograms when the number of data points is small, showing the actual data points instead.

- On page 9 it says, “Only in the mixture with 20% WT were some small fruiting bodies detected. However, none of their spores were G418 resistant, indicating that neither atg7^{-} nor atg5^{-} had formed spores in the chimeras. Their sporulation defect is therefore cell-autonomous.” How does this square with the interpretation that stalk cells are feeding the spores? Wouldn’t we expect stalk cells of the wildtype to be able to nurture the knockouts (see my point about non-fruiting cheaters above)? If you agree, you might want to back off on the feeding interpretation somewhat, or at least mention this discrepancy in the Discussion. (The Discussion is overall thorough and nuanced however). Perhaps more wildtype cells are needed?

- Figure 4 involves a 1-way ANOVA. How many categories, just RI vs knockout?

- Some of the experiments seemed to have a nested structure that is not reflected in the nested statistical tests. For example Figure 4 has “two experiments with duplicate plates for each cell line”. Did you just average the two duplicate plates into one data point for the analysis?

- I found qPCR data deposited but not other data from the paper. Perhaps I am not looking in the right place?

References

1. Ennis HL, Dao DN, Pukatzki SU, Kessin RH: Dictyostelium amoebae lacking an F-box protein form spores rather than stalk in chimeras with wild type.*Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2000; **97** (7): 3292-7 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
2. Kuzdzal-Fick JJ, Fox SA, Strassmann JE, Queller DC: High relatedness is necessary and sufficient to maintain multicellularity in Dictyostelium.*Science*. 2011; **334** (6062): 1548-51 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and does the work have academic merit?
Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?
Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?
Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?
Partly

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?
Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Evolutionary biology, microbiology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 12 Nov 2022

Pauline Schaap

We thank the reviewer for his constructive comments which we addressed below

Comment: I wonder if these results are relevant to non-fruiting cheater mutants (Ennis et. al 20001, Kuzdzal-Fick et al 20112). A mutant that does not produce proper stalk cells would not develop on its own, but could be fed by the stalk cells of other clones if developed in mixtures.
Response: It is certainly possible that the non-fruiting cheater mutants fail to form spores on their own because they do not form a stalk. However, we are reluctant to cite this as corroborating evidence of the requirement for nutrient flow from stalk cells, since the molecular mechanism of their defective fruiting body formation is unresolved and could also be the result of defective cell-cell communication.

Comment: I am curious if the authors have any thoughts on how and when food transfer takes place. Does food have to pass up the stalk somehow, or does the transfer occur earlier?
Response: That is at the moment hard to say. In the slug stage, the anterior-like cells, which have prestalk characteristics, are intermixed with prespore cells and are in a good position to transfer nutrients. Later, the maturing stalk, where almost complete autolysis of cellular

contents occurs, passes straight through the centre of the spore mass and is therefore equally well positioned. Dictyostelia have many ABC transporter genes which could be involved in nutrient secretion and uptake, but other processes like exocytosis of mature autophagosomes by the prestalk cells and fluid uptake through pinocytosis by the prespore cells may also play a role. A nifty technique for following nutrient flux would be required to answer these questions.

Comment: I would not use the abbreviations Ddis and Ppal for the species. Readers will be familiar with the actual names but not these abbreviations.

Response: The abbreviations have been explained at their first use and we use them very frequently (34 and 64 times for *Ddis* and *Ppal*, respectively. We prefer to retain them to keep sentences compact.

Comment: Figure 1, the “phylogenies” of atg5 and atg7 are misleading. A much better estimate of the phylogeny is shown below them. Atg5 and Atg7 presumably evolved on that same phylogeny (unless there was horizontal gene transfer, which seems unlikely). The differences from the best phylogeny presumably just reflect errors in estimation because of smaller datasets for single genes. The domain structures of atg5 and atg7 should just be mapped on the best phylogeny (this shouldn’t change any real conclusions though).

Response: We should have explained the purpose of the single gene phylogenies better. These are not intended to highlight relationships between Amoebozoa, but to assess whether the homologs identified by BLAST search are likely to be orthologs of atg5 or atg7 (i.e. form a monophyletic clade that also shares the same domain architecture) and did not undergo recent gene duplications (which would require double knock-outs to be made). The single gene phylogenies may reproduce the multigene species phylogeny shown at the bottom of figure 2, but as stated by the reviewer there is often insufficient phylogenetic signal to achieve this. The single gene phylogenies show that the second best BLAST hits are not monophyletic and have different architectures, so we can be sure to be dealing with single *atg5* and *atg7* genes in *P. pallidum.* We altered the text of the first paragraph of the Results section to clarify the issue.

Comment: Figures with histograms. In my field, authors are moving away from mean/st.dev histograms when the number of data points is small, showing the actual data points instead.

Response: That is indeed preferable when there are not too many variables. However, when dealing with three cell lines, each exposed to different treatments, a visually more simple presentation of coloured bars helps the reader keep better track of the outcome of the experiments. All individual measurements are archived in spreadsheets in "ExtendedData_Du_Schaap_MS" for those interested in such detail.

Comment: On page 9 it says, “Only in the mixture with 20% WT were some small fruiting bodies detected. However, none of their spores were G418 resistant, indicating that neither atg7^{-} nor atg5^{-} had formed spores in the chimeras. Their sporulation defect is therefore cell-autonomous.” How does this square with the interpretation that stalk cells are feeding

the spores? Wouldn't we expect stalk cells of the wildtype to be able to nurture the knockouts (see my point about non-fruiting cheaters above)? If you agree, you might want to back off on the feeding interpretation somewhat, or at least mention this discrepancy in the Discussion. (The Discussion is overall thorough and nuanced however). Perhaps more wildtype cells are needed?

Response: The feature that surprised us most in the autophagy mutants and that triggered the present study is their failure to express prespore genes in response to cAMP. This is a defect in cAMP signal transduction in the prespore cells and therefore cell-autonomous. We do not how autophagy affects signal transduction – one possibility is that autophagy removes an inhibitor of a component of the (mostly inknown) signal transduction pathway. However, this early cell-autonomous effect of autophagy prevents us from assessing whether later in development there is also the non cell-autonomous defect of lack of nutrient flow from the stalk cells, that was inferred from the 8Br-cAMP experiment.

Comment: Figure 4 involves a 1-way ANOVA. How many categories, just RI vs knockout?

Response: We compared all variables against each other (See the component "Statistics" in the Extended Data, Spreadsheet Fig_4Encystation_data+statistics.xlxs), but only the knockout values compared to either of the random integrant values were significantly different from each other

Comment: Some of the experiments seemed to have a nested structure that is not reflected in the nested statistical tests. For example Figure 4 has "two experiments with duplicate plates for each cell line". Did you just average the two duplicate plates into one data point for the analysis?

Response: We used all individual measurements for calculating the averages

Comment: I found qPCR data deposited but not other data from the paper. Perhaps I am not looking in the right place?

Response: SupdataRNAseq.xlsx was missing, but the other files were there. However, one needed to get back to the page OSF Registries | ExtendedData_Du_MS | Components to be able to reach them. It was the first time that we used this archive and we realized later that the need to create separate components was not necessary and that all .zip files could be listed on a single page. A new registry was created named ExtendedData_Du_Schaap_MS Now after clicking the link in the manuscript in the paragraph "data availibility", click on Files in the left menu bar and then on "Archive of OSF storage" in the main window of the page that opens. Then a listing of all .zip files appears, which can be downloaded inidividually or all together. We also altered the names of the .zip files to include reference to the figure in the main text to which they apply. We hope the underlying data is now easier to navigate.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 16 September 2022

https://doi.org/10.21956/openreseurope.16154.r30015

© 2022 Escalante R. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

?

Ricardo Escalante
[1] C.S.I.C./U.A.M, Instituto De Investigaciones Biomédicas Alberto Sols, Madrid, Spain
[2] C.S.I.C./U.A.M, Instituto De Investigaciones Biomédicas Alberto Sols, Madrid, Spain

In this paper the role of autophagy in *Polysphondylium pallidum* development has been analyzed by disrupting the autophagy genes atg5 and atg7. The authors provide convincing data that spore, and stalk differentiation, and also encystation are dependent on autophagy to a greater or lesser extent. This study is relevant in the field and provides new insights into the role of autophagy in development and differentiation. However, I believe that the interpretation/discussion of the data and conclusions (even the title) should be refined to accurately reflect the experiments.

1. In my opinion, the conclusion about the possibility that stalk cells nurses the spores through autophagy is not sufficiently supported by the data and should be nuanced. The authors based this conclusion on the lack of viability of spores generated in isolation versus spores from fruiting bodies. I agree with the logical conclusion that some essential signals/metabolites are missing in isolation and that it is likely that some of these signals may emanate from stalk cells. However, the hypothesis that such stalk signals/metabolites are autophagy-dependent is based on the assumption that autophagy is higher in stalk cells. Even if this is true, that does not necessarily mean that autophagy in prespore cells is not relevant for prespore differentiation. Moreover, this point is not even settled in the literature, as no comparison of "autophagy flux" in prespore and prestalk cells has been performed. Furthermore, the mixing experiments between WT and autophagy-deficient cells strongly suggest that the lack of spore differentiation of autophagy-deficient cells is cell autonomous and, therefore, that autophagy in prespore cells is necessary for proper spore differentiation.

2. The discussion at some point assumes the nursing hypothesis as a true fact to build an evolutionary hypothesis. In my opinion this part of the discussion may be reconsidered or nuanced.

3. Autophagy has not been assessed in Atg5, Atg7 *P. pallidum* mutants. I think it would be important to have a confirmation of the lack of autophagy with at least one of the several tools optimized for *Dictyostelium discoideum*.

4. In the abstract and other places it is stated without qualification that stalk differentiation does not require autophagy, but the fact is that stalk morphology is greatly affected and this may be due to defects in proper differentiation. In my opinion, the role of autophagy in stalk differentiation should not be neglected. The same is true for encystation.

5. Page 6. Detailed description of the promoter-LacZ constructs should be provided. How were they designed to contain all the regulatory elements for proper expression?

6. Page 9. About the analysis of spores in in atg7 and Atg5 KO strains. It is stated that "No spores differentiated at all". Please explain how spore differentiation was assessed in this experiment.

7. Statistical significance of the differences should be included where appropriate (e.g., Figures 4 and 5).

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?
Yes

Is the study design appropriate and does the work have academic merit?
Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?
Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?
Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?
Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Autophagy; Dictyostelium

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 12 Nov 2022

Pauline Schaap

We thank the reviewer for his careful reading of the manuscript and insightful comments, which we addressed as follows:

Comment 1:. In my opinion, the conclusion about the possibility that stalk cells nurses the spores through autophagy is not sufficiently supported by the data and should be nuanced. The authors based this conclusion on the lack of viability of spores generated in isolation versus spores from fruiting bodies. I agree with the logical conclusion that some essential signals/metabolites are missing in isolation and that it is likely that some of these signals

may emanate from stalk cells. However, the hypothesis that such stalk signals/metabolites are autophagy-dependent is based on the assumption that autophagy is higher in stalk cells. Even if this is true, that does not necessarily mean that autophagy in prespore cells is not relevant for prespore differentiation. Moreover, this point is not even settled in the literature, as no comparison of "autophagy flux" in prespore and prestalk cells has been performed. Furthermore, the mixing experiments between WT and autophagy-deficient cells strongly suggest that the lack of spore differentiation of autophagy-deficient cells is cell autonomous and, therefore, that autophagy in prespore cells is necessary for proper spore differentiation.

Response: We agree with the reviewers 1 and 2 that since it was not technically feasible to demonstrate nutrient flow from prestalk/stalk to spore cells, the conclusion that stalk cells nurse the spores should be moderated, We did so by inserting "likely" before "nursed" in the title. We have however not stated that autophagy is not occurring or not important in the prespore cells, only that it is less evident. This statement is derived from comparison of cross section areas occupied by autophagic vacuoles in EM images of slug prestalk and prespore regions (Schaap, 1983 Roux's archives 192, 86–94), which was 4-5 fold less in prespore than prestalk cells, and by the literature cited in the Discussion section. This was Devine & Loomis, 1985 as one of many papers showing that prestalk but not prespore cells strongly stain with neutral red, which typically accumulates in acidic autolysosomes, while the recent paper by Yamada & Schaap 2021 shows both more total and more acidic vesicles containing the autophagy flux marker RFP-GFP-Atg8 in prestalk than prespore cells (Figure S8) as well as more puncta with the autophagy proteins KnkA and Bcas3 in prestalk over prespore cells (Figure 6A). The spore differentiation defect in the autophagy mutants is cell autonomous because they lack the ability to transduce extracellular cAMP to induce prespore differentiation. Since this early step does not occur it cannot be determined whether the later phases – the synthesis of prespore vesicles and spore maturation are cell autonomous or not.

Comment 2. The discussion at some point assumes the nursing hypothesis as a true fact to build an evolutionary hypothesis. In my opinion this part of the discussion may be reconsidered or nuanced.

Response: We have moderated the nursing hypothesis by altering the sentence: "The reliance of spores on nutrients from prestalk/stalk cells is analogous to the reliance of zygotes on co-aggregated haploid cells and provides insight into the early evolution of somatic cells into "The proposed reliance of spores on nutrients from prestalk/stalk cells is analogous to the reliance of zygotes on co-aggregated haploid cells and may provide insight into the early evolution of somatic cells" providing a more nuanced statement.

Comment 3. Autophagy has not been assessed in Atg5, Atg7 *P. pallidum* mutants. I think it would be important to have a confirmation of the lack of autophagy with at least one of the several tools optimized for *Dictyostelium discoideum*.

Response: We did not consider confirmation of lack of autophagy necessary here for several reasons. Both are well-documented essential autophagy genes and the purpose of knocking them out was not to establish whether they also mediate in autophagy in

P.pallidum but to compare the knock-out phenotypes with those in *D.discoideum* with respect to loss of cAMP induction of prespore gene expression and spore formation (which was the same) and additional effects on *P. pallidum* encystation. The developmental phenotypes of both mutants are similar to each other and to well documented mutants of several autophagy genes in *D.discoideum*, so we considered further confirmation of their role as autophagy genes to be superfluous.

Comment 4. In the abstract and other places it is stated without qualification that stalk differentiation does not require autophagy, but the fact is that stalk morphology is greatly affected and this may be due to defects in proper differentiation. In my opinion, the role of autophagy in stalk differentiation should not be neglected. The same is true for encystation.

Response: We agree. Cells with the vacuolated walled phenotype of stalk cells still differentiated in the atg5- and atg7- mutants, but the stalks themselves were deformed. We have elaborated more on the stalk phenotype in the Abstract. However, in the Results and Discussion section the stalk phenotype of the *P. pallidum* autophagy mutants was properly described. In a previous study we found the stalks of *D. discoideum atg7-*, *atg5-* and *atg9-* mutants to be not so aberrant, but these mutants massively overproduced the stalk-like basal disc cells (Yamada and Schaap, Dev Biol 2019 452:114-126).

Comment 5. Page 6. Detailed description of the promoter-LacZ constructs should be provided. How were they designed to contain all the regulatory elements for proper expression?

Response: More detail about the promoter fragments was provided in Methods just above the description of the LacZ constructs detailing the constructs used for expression of *atg5* and *atg7* from their own promoters. We have now included the exact coordinates of the amplified regions relative to the start coding. For *atg7* 1.5 kb of the 2 kb 5' intergenic region was amplified and for *atg5* 0.6 kb of the 1 kb 5' intergenic region was used. Because the *atg5* and *atg7* expression constructs restored wild-type phenotype to the *atg5-* and *atg7-* mutants, respectively, the amplified promoter segments must have contained the elements required for proper expression of the genes.

Comment 6. Page 9. About the analysis of spores in in atg7 and Atg5 KO strains. It is stated that "No spores differentiated at all". Please explain how spore differentiation was assessed in this experiment.

Response: We just did not observe any Calcofluor positive elliptical spores in mature cell masses of the atg5- and atg7- mutants. While wild-type spore heads release spores readily when even slightly mechanically disturbed, the cells inside the atg5- and atg7- structures remained very adhesive making it difficult to resolve them individually. To be able to visually demonstrate the absence of spores we have now dissociated the atg5- and atg7- structures mechanically to visualize individual cells treated with Calcofluor (new figure 3B). This also allowed us to quantitated total and Calcofluor stained cells over several images, which showed that some cysts but very few spores were formed. The numerical data are cited in the relevant paragraph in Results.

Comment 7. Statistical significance of the differences should be included where appropriate (e.g., Figures 4 and 5).

Response: For figure 4 all variables were compared with each other (15 comparisons for each panel). Since highlighting significant differences between all comparisons would not render the figure easily comprehensible, we preferred here just to state the relevant significant differences in the legend. Note that the statistical tests for all the data are archived in Open Science Framework: ExtendedData_Du_Schaap_MS. In Figure 5 we have now indicated significant differences between mutants or treatments by asterices.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 12 September 2022

https://doi.org/10.21956/openreseurope.16154.r30013

© **2022 Winckler T.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Thomas Winckler
[1] Institute of Pharmacy, Pharmaceutical Biology, University of Jena, Jena, Germany
[2] Institute of Pharmacy, Pharmaceutical Biology, University of Jena, Jena, Germany

The “social amoebas” can go through a facultative multicellular life cycle. After aggregation the amoebas differentiate into at least two cell types and form fruiting bodies, in which the stalk cells support a ball of dormant spores that can germinate under favorable environmental conditions. Because the stalk cells of the fruiting bodies do not survive, it may be assumed that nutrient resources of the multicellular organism would need to be made available primarily to the surviving spore cells. In line with this assumption, Du and Schaap describe in their manuscript that autophagy in (pre)stalk cells is required to produce living spores and conclude that nutrients from stalk cells may somehow be transferred to spores to support their survival. The major experimental approach was to disrupt two autophagy genes, atg5 and atg7, in *Polysphondylium pallidum*. This organism was chosen because it forms spores in multicellular fruiting bodies, but single cells can also form single-cell cysts. Both cyst and spore formation require signals that induce cell wall synthesis. Encystation was somewhat less efficient but not fully prevented by removing atg5 or atg7 in *P. pallidum*. On the other hand, the ability of mutants to form spores after multicellular development was completely lost. This suggests that autophagy is important for spore formation.

The manuscript is well written. The experimental data are well presented and comprehensible, but I have some comments that may help improve the manuscript:

The authors describe that “Ppal atg7- and atg5- mutants show defective prespore gene

expression". Yet this conclusion comes from the investigation of only one prespore gene, sp45. Similarly, expression of only one prestalk-specific gene was measured to show that autophagy may not affect prestalk genes. The authors refer to some RNA-seq experiments performed previously, but to me it seems unclear whether the effect in atg7 and atg5 on sp45 expression is specific to sp45 or if more prespore genes are affected by autophagy. Have more prespore and prestalk genes been annotated based on similarity to *D. discoideum* genes and could their expression be determined by RNA-seq of developing P. pallidum cells? Perhaps the authors could clarify that.

The data showing that autophagy genes atg7 and atg5 are required for cAMP-dependent induction of sp45 are convincing, as well as the data showing that production of living spores by treatment with 8Br-cAMP is more efficient after multicellular development than after induction of single cells. However, the authors do not provide experimental evidence that spores form more efficiently in fruiting bodies because they are "nursed" by stalk cells; i.e., that the spores actually receive nutrients from autophagy within stalk cells. Why do the authors find it less likely that lack of atg7 and atg5 in (pre)stalk cells eliminates a signal usually coming from stalk cells that assists terminal differentiation of spores?

Abstract: I found the term "multicellular spores" a bit confusing because each spore is a single cell (although formed by multicellular development).

Fig. 2: In the phylogenetic trees (panel A) it is unclear what abbreviations such as "Phypo", "PROFUN" or "ACA" stand for. This should be explained in the figure caption.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?
Yes

Is the study design appropriate and does the work have academic merit?
Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?
Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?
Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?
Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Intercellular communication during early development in social amoebas

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of

expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 12 Nov 2022

Pauline Schaap

We thank the reviewer for his constructive comments, which we responded as follows:

Comment 1 The authors describe that “Ppal atg7- and atg5- mutants show defective prespore gene expression”. Yet this conclusion comes from the investigation of only one prespore gene, sp45. Similarly, expression of only one prestalk-specific gene was measured to show that autophagy may not affect prestalk genes. The authors refer to some RNA-seq experiments performed previously, but to me it seems unclear whether the effect in atg7 and atg5 on sp45 expression is specific to sp45 or if more prespore genes are affected by autophagy. Have more prespore and prestalk genes been annotated based on similarity to D. discoideum genes and could their expression be determined by RNA-seq of developing P. pallidum cells? Perhaps the authors could clarify that.

Response: The previously performed RNA-seq experiments are time courses of *P. pallidum* development and encystation and a single experiment with expression in spores and stalk cells. However, there are no data of genes specifically expressed in prestalk and prespore cells or RNAseq data comparing wild-type cells and autophagy mutants. The spore coat gene sp45 is well-documented to be prespore specific by the Ted Cox lab (Gregg and Cox, 2000 in the reference list). It is a member of a family of spore coat proteins, synthesized in prespore vesicles, which also contains the *D. discoideum* prespore markers CotA, CotB and CotC which are all upregulated by cAMP in unison. The family shows much species-specific gene gain and loss (shown in Gloeckner et al., 2016, Nat. Commun. 7:12085 ,Supplementary data 2, page 5) and there are no clear *P. pallidum* orthologs of CotA-C. We are unsure whether all genes in this family are prespore specific and *sp45* is therefore the only confirmed prespore gene thus far. However, we also use staining with polyclonal spore antibodies to show the lack of prespore vesicles in the atg5- and atg7- mutants, demonstrating that our conclusions are not just based on a single gene but on the most relevant hall mark of prespore cells. Along similar lines, the chosen prestalk gene PPL_04427 is a close homolog to the paradigmatic *D.discoideum* prestalk markers *ecmA* and *ecmB* and was demonstrated to be prestalk specific in promoter-lacZ studies with a similar pattern of expression as *ecmA* and *ecmB*. However, other members of the same family were also expressed in spores (see Schilde et al. EvoDevo 2014, 5:34, so we cannot just use a gene based on homology. It should be noted that until recently almost all work on *D. discoideum* pattern formation also used just a few marker genes.

Comment 2 The data showing that autophagy genes *atg7* and *atg5* are required for cAMP-dependent induction of *sp45* are convincing, as well as the data showing that production of living spores by treatment with 8Br-cAMP is more efficient after multicellular development than after induction of single cells. However, the authors do not provide experimental evidence that spores form more efficiently in fruiting bodies because they are “nursed” by stalk cells; i.e., that the spores actually receive nutrients from autophagy within stalk cells. Why do the authors find it less likely that lack of atg7 and atg5 in (pre)stalk cells eliminates a signal usually coming from stalk cells that assists terminal differentiation of spores?

Response: The experiment compared spores formed in fruiting bodies to spores induced as single cells by 8Br-cAMP (not 8Br-cAMP after multicellular development). The conclusion that stalk cells nurse the spores is inferred from the available evidence, since we have no means to directly measure how nutrients flow from stalk to spore cells. Also taken into account the comments of reviewer 3, we therefore changed the title into "Autophagy of the somatic stalk cells **likely** nurses the propagating spores of Dictyostelid social amoebas". We consider it less likely that atg7- or atg5- lack a spore inducing signal for the following reasons. The pivotal process in spore maturation is the exocytosis of prespore vesicles, which contain the first layer and further materials for synthesis of the spore coat (see e.g. Alexander et al., Mol Cell Proteomics 2003 2:1156-63). The signals that induce this exocytosis (SDF2, high osmolarity, discadenine) all act to activate PKA and the use of the PKA activator 8Br-cAMP bypasses the requirement for these signals. It is therefore much more likely that the defects of the individually developing spores are caused by lack of sufficient materials to complete spore wall biosynthesis than lack of a signal. The atg5- and atg7- cells do not induce prespore differentiation at all, so whether they do not secrete maturation inducing signals is the a moot point. In mutants that do not secrete such signals prespore cells differentiate normally, but do not exocytose the prespore vesicles. We have included a few sentences in the Discussion section to clarify our reasoning.

Comment 3 Abstract: I found the term “multicellular spores“ a bit confusing because each spore is a single cell (although formed by multicellular development).

Response We changed "multicellular spores" into "spores formed in fruiting bodies"

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.