Check for updates

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Residual flexural performance of large-scale ferronickel slag alkali-activated concrete slabs after fire exposure

[version 1; peer review: 2 approved with reservations]

Andres Arce[1], Panagiotis Kapsalis[1], Laura Stefanini[2], Catherine G. Papanicolaou[1], Thanasis C. Triantafillou[1]

[1]University of Patras Department of Civil Engineering, Patras, Peloponnisos Dytiki Ellada ke Ionio, Greece
[2]Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Sheffield, Mappin Street, Sheffield, S1 3JD, UK

**v1** **First published:** 09 Dec 2024, **4**:259
https://doi.org/10.12688/openreseurope.18634.1
**Latest published:** 09 Dec 2024, **4**:259
https://doi.org/10.12688/openreseurope.18634.1

## Abstract

### Background

This study explores the flexural behavior of unfired and fired one-way slabs constructed from reinforced ferronickel slag-based alkali-activated concrete (AAC). Despite growing interest in AAC as a sustainable alternative to ordinary Portland cement (OPC), limited research exists on large-scale structural elements, particularly under post-fire conditions.

### Methods

Four slabs (2.1 × 0.9 × 0.18 $m^3$) were tested: two made of AAC and two of OPC concrete with comparable compressive strengths. In each group, one slab served as a reference and was tested under monotonic four-point bending in its original state. The other was exposed to a standard fire curve on the tensioned side, then cooled to ambient conditions before mechanical testing. Additional analyses, including mercury intrusion porosity (MIP) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM), were conducted to evaluate fire-induced microstructural changes in the AAC slabs.

### Results

The AAC slabs demonstrated a load capacity 6% lower than OPC slabs. However, AAC slabs exhibited better post-fire retention of stiffness and ductility. Microstructural analysis revealed increased porosity and microcracking in AAC after fire exposure, which influenced its

**Open Peer Review**

**Approval Status** ? ?

| | 1 | 2 |
|---|---|---|
| **version 1** 09 Dec 2024 | ? view | ? view |

1. **Herry Suryadi Djayaprabha**, Parahyangan Catholic University, Bandung, Indonesia

2. **Nanqiao You**, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

mechanical performance.

**Conclusions**

The results suggest that ferronickel slag-based AAC can provide comparable performance to OPC concrete in flexural applications, with enhanced retention of stiffness and ductility after fire exposure. These findings support the viability of AAC for structural applications while highlighting its resilience under post-fire conditions.

## Plain language summary

This study explores the performance of slabs made from a new type of concrete, known as ferronickel slag-based alkali-activated concrete (FNS-AAC), when subjected to fire and then tested for flexural strength. The research compares these slabs with traditional concrete slabs made from ordinary Portland cement (OPC). Four slabs were tested: two made of the new FNS-AAC and two of traditional concrete, with one slab from each type subjected to fire before testing. Both types of concrete had similar initial strength. The study found that the new FNS-AAC slabs performed nearly as well as the traditional concrete slabs, with only a 6% lower load capacity. The FNS-AAC slabs exhibited similar load-carrying capacity and higher deformability. This suggests that FNS-AAC could be a promising alternative to traditional concrete, particularly in situations where fire resistance is important. The research contributes to closing the gap in knowledge regarding alternative binders with low environmental impact in real-world structural applications, especially concerning residual strength after high-temperature exposure.

**Keywords**
Alkali-Activated material, concrete, structural application, slab, fire, high temperature

This article is included in the Marie-Sklodowska-Curie Actions (MSCA) gateway.

This article is included in the Horizon 2020 gateway.

This article is included in the Carbon Reduction, (Re)use, and Removal Technologies and Practices collection.

**Corresponding author:** Andres Arce (andres@upatras.gr)

**Author roles: Arce A**: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Kapsalis P**: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Stefanini L**: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Papanicolaou CG**: Funding Acquisition, Project Administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Triantafillou TC**: Funding Acquisition, Project Administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing

**Competing interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

**Grant information:** This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme DURSAAM under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 813596.
*The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.*

**Copyright:** © 2024 Arce A *et al*. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**How to cite this article:** Arce A, Kapsalis P, Stefanini L *et al.* **Residual flexural performance of large-scale ferronickel slag alkali-activated concrete slabs after fire exposure [version 1; peer review: 2 approved with reservations]** Open Research Europe 2024, **4**:259 https://doi.org/10.12688/openreseurope.18634.1

**First published:** 09 Dec 2024, **4**:259 https://doi.org/10.12688/openreseurope.18634.1

## 1. Introduction

The current rate of global warming is the highest ever recorded, leading to significant changes in weather patterns and disrupting the balance of natural ecosystems. Consequently, we are witnessing more severe storms, increased droughts, rising sea levels, reduced food availability, and escalating poverty and displacement[1]. The use of ordinary Portland cement (OPC) in the construction industry contributes to 5%–8% of global carbon emissions, driven by the annual production of 1.6 billion tons of OPC. Alkali-activated concrete (AAC) offers an alternative, produced by combining an aluminosilicate source with an alkaline solution and aggregates. The binder matrix in AAC, commonly referred to as alkali-activated material (AAM) or geopolymer, has demonstrated considerable potential as a low-$CO_2$ alternative to OPC, with reductions in greenhouse gas emissions reported to be as high as 80%[2].

Despite its environmental advantages, AAC has not been widely adopted, primarily due to the variability in its properties, which stems from the diverse range of available aluminosilicate sources and alkali activators. Furthermore, the body of knowledge regarding the structural performance of full-scale reinforced AAC elements is limited, especially concerning their mechanical performance during or after exposure to fire. This paper aims to address this gap by reporting on the flexural performance of reinforced alkali-activated concrete slabs made with ferronickel slag as the aluminosilicate source, both in unfired and fired states. For comparison, slabs made of conventional concrete using ordinary Portland cement (referred to as 'OPC slabs' hereafter) with similar compressive strength were also produced.

Ferronickel slag (FNS), a siliceous and iron-rich aluminosilicate, has previously been used to produce binders with high compressive strength (120 MPa)[3] and coatings with high thermal insulation properties[4]. These characteristics suggest its potential for high-temperature applications in concrete production. With an annual production of approximately 2 million tons as of 2022, only 20–30% of FNS is currently reused, with the remainder disposed of in landfills or the sea, incurring costs of around €650,000 per year (as of 2007)[5]. In this study, ground ferronickel slag (GFNS) was used as the primary aluminosilicate source for the alkali-activated binder, while unprocessed FNS was utilized as a fine aggregate to maximize material utilization and reduce dependence on natural resources—a critical concern given the unsustainable rate of natural sand consumption, which has tripled in recent decades[6].

Previous studies have addressed the possible application of AAC concrete for casting reinforced d slabs. Nagan and Mohana[7] studied the flexural behavior of steel mesh reinforced slabs (fly ash based) and compared them to OPC equivalents. The authors found the cracking, yielding, and ultimate load to be higher than the corresponding OPC slabs. The slabs also showed higher ductility (26%). Sarker and Mcbeath[8] compared the residual-to-original compressive strength ratios of geopolymer and OPC reinforced concrete panels and found the ratio to be higher for AAM (61% to 71%) than the OPC equivalent (50% – 53%), indicating superior fire endurance for the AAM elements. By the time this paper was written no prior studies have investigated the post-fire flexural behavior of alkali-activated ferronickel slag slabs. A 2018 review by Ma *et al.*[9] highlighted the need for experimental research on large-scale structural components made from aluminosilicate sources other than fly ash, underscoring a significant gap in the current knowledge. This study contributes to filling this gap by providing new insights into the post-fire flexural performance of AAC slabs.

In this study, four large-scale concrete slabs were produced: two with ferronickel slag-based alkali-activated concrete (FNS AAC) with a compressive strength of 75 MPa after 28 days, and two with high-strength OPC-based ready-mix concrete (referred to as 'OPC-concrete'). For each type of concrete, one slab served as a control (unfired) specimen, while the other was subjected to a thermal load following the ISO 834[10] cellulosic fire curve for 2 hours. The slabs were then tested under four-point bending, and load versus mid-span displacement curves were generated for all specimens. The results showed that while the AAM slabs exhibited lower stiffness than the OPC slabs at ambient temperature, they retained greater stiffness and ductility after thermal load exposure.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Raw materials

Ferronickel slag was selected as the primary aluminosilicate source for binder production in this study. The slag was sourced from the General Mining and Metallurgical Company SA ("Larco") based in Larissa, Greece. In addition to being used as a binder component, the slag was also utilized as a fine aggregate after being sieved to a diameter of less than 4 mm. The grinding process was conducted using a ball mill, resulting in a final product with a d50 of 8.36 μm. Silica fume (SF), with a d50 of 12.87 μm, was also incorporated into the mixture. Particle sizes were measured using laser diffraction with a Malvern Mastersizer 2000. The chemical composition of both FNS and SF is detailed in Table 1, with elements identified through X-ray fluorescence (XRF) analysis.

The alkaline solution was prepared using 90% purity potassium silicate (KS) with a modulus of 1.6 (in the form of a proprietary solution named Geosil® 14517, supplied by Wöllner GmbH). This solution was supplied in 30-liter plastic containers, containing 45% KS and 55% water. The steel reinforcement for the slabs consisted of steel rebars classified as B500C. Locally available olivine aggregate (OA) was chosen as the coarse aggregate. Olivine was selected over traditional aggregates due to its high resistance to elevated temperatures, as demonstrated in high-temperature applications in Greece, such as the production of fire-resistant bricks, furnace coatings, and fire-resistant shotcrete[11]. The olivine aggregates were sourced from a quarry in Ptolemaida, northern Greece, and were provided in two size ranges: 4–8 mm and 8–16 mm, with no additional sieving required.

### 2.2. Slabs construction

Four slabs measuring 2.1 m × 0.9 m (plan view) with a thickness of 0.18 m were constructed. The slabs were reinforced with 10 mm nominal diameter rebars, spaced at 100 mm intervals.

Transverse reinforcement was spaced approximately 450 mm apart. Plastic spacers were employed to maintain a cover distance of 25 mm. The mix design for the ferronickel slag-based alkali-activated concrete (FNS AAC) was developed by the authors in a previous dedicated study[12]. The proportions of ingredients used in the FNS-AAC mix are detailed in Table 2.

The chemical activator solution, consisting of water, KS, and KOH, was prepared one day before slab casting. Water and Geosil 14517 were mixed in a plastic container, followed by the gradual addition of KOH pellets, which were vigorously mixed to prevent settling. Slabs were cast indoors using wooden, leak-proof formworks. For the FNS-AAC slabs, a continuous batch process was employed to avoid cold joints. Dry ingredients were initially mixed for 20 seconds in a pan-type electric mixer before adding the chemical solution. After 20 seconds of hand mixing to prevent splashing, the concrete was mechanically mixed for 5 minutes, interrupted to scrape the pan, then mixed for another 5 minutes. Prior to casting, the workability of the mix was assessed by the slump test. The latter resulted in a 200 mm slump. For the OPC slabs, a high-strength (C55/67) ready mix concrete was employed. Fresh concrete in all slabs was consolidated using an electric vibrator. The FNS-AAC slabs were covered with a plastic film to hinder moisture loss and were allowed to cure for 14 days. The OPC slabs were cured under wet burlaps for the same time span. Following this period, all slabs were uncovered and left to dry for 90 days. Ambient conditions during curing and drying were 15°C ± 5°C and 65% relative humidity (on average).

## 2.3. Concrete characterization tests

In order to assess the strength and deformation characteristics of both types of concrete at the time of slab testing, a number of specimens were cast and kept adjacent to the slabs, receiving identical curing conditions. For each concrete type, nine specimens were produced: three cubes (150 mm side) and six cylinders (150 mm diameter × 300 mm height), with three cylinders designated for compressive tests and three for splitting tensile tests. During the FNC AAC mix design, additional cubes were produced to assess: (i) The strength evolution of FNS-AAC over time (compression tests conducted at 7, 14, 28, and 90 days); (ii) Its residual 28-day compressive strength after exposure to temperatures of 400°C, 600°C, 800°C, and 1000°C.

Thermal exposure involved the use of a 500 $mm^3$ electrical oven with a maximum heating capacity of 1100°C. The thermal exposure protocol consisted of a heating ramp of 5°C/min up to the target temperature, followed by a 2-hour constant temperature step at the target temperature. Subsequently, an uncontrolled cooling phase was initiated with the furnace shutter closed. Heated specimens were tested 24 hours after the onset of the cooling ramp.

Compression and splitting tensile tests were carried out according to EN 12390-3[13] and EN 12390-6[14], respectively. The initial modulus of elasticity, defined as the tangent modulus of elasticity at the origin of the stress-strain diagram in compression, was measured using strain gauges placed along the height of compressed cylinders.

## 2.4. Heating regime and instrumentation of the fire tests

The slabs were placed on the top opening of a vertical furnace capable of reproducing the standard temperature-time cellulosic fire curve specified in ISO 834[10] using twelve gas-nozzle mix burners. The slabs were exposed to this standard fire curve for two hours, followed by a natural cooling phase. The two-hour time limit was selected to prevent the bottom steel rebars from reaching temperatures above the 500°C – 600°C range.

The clear opening at the top of the furnace measured 3.0 m × 1.2 m. To accommodate the difference in dimensions, a concrete ring beam was manufactured and placed on top of the furnace, serving as support for the slabs. The internal opening of the ring measured 2.1 m × 0.8 m, which determined the fire-exposed area of the slabs. Mineral wool was placed between the ring and the slab, as well as between the ring and the furnace, to prevent leakage of hot gases.

**Table 1. Ferronickel slag and silica fume chemical analysis through XRF, by weight*.**

| Precursor | $SiO_2$ [%] | $Al_2O_3$ [%] | CaO [%] | $Fe_2O_3$ [%] | MgO [%] | $Na_2O$ [%] | $P_2O_5$ [%] | $K_2O$ [%] | $TiO_2$ [%] | MnO [%] | LOI-Flux |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| GFNS | 36.9 | 3.61 | 4.18 | 32.8 | 7.41 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.48 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SF | 88.9 | 0.73 | 0.34 | 1.01 | 0.63 | 0.71 | 0.03 | 1.50 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 6.82 |

*Only detectable chemical compounds are listed.

**Table 2. Alkali activated concrete ingredient proportions for 1 $m^3$ GFNS concrete.**

| GFNS (kg) | SF (kg) | KOH (kg) | KS (kg) | FNS Sand (kg) | OA, 4 mm–8 mm (kg) | OA, 8 mm–16 mm (kg) | Water (kg) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 627.8 | 45.7 | 18.9 | 45.1 | 719.2 | 388.1 | 711.5 | 169.6 |

The temperature of the slabs was monitored at five positions and at four depths at each position, resulting in a total of twenty sensors (type K thermocouples) per slab. The five measuring positions, shown in Figure 1a, were marked as center ("C"), northwest ("NW"), northeast ("NE"), southwest ("SW"), and southeast ("SE"). These positions were selected based on the guidelines of EN 1363-1[15] for recording the average temperature of the unexposed face of a specimen.

The slab thickness was divided into four 45 mm thick zones (Figure 1b). At each of the five positions, four thermocouples were placed at the center of each zone. The distance of each sensor from the bottom (exposed) face of the specimen is shown in Figure 1b. The recordings from each sensor at a given position were used to construct the temperature profile for that position as a function of time. By averaging the recordings from each zone, an average temperature profile was determined for each fire-exposed slab.

The sensors were fixed in the specimens by drilling 6 mm wide holes from the top face of the slabs. Before inserting the thermocouples, 1 mL of thin cement paste was injected at the bottom of the holes to ensure contact between the sensor tips and the hole bottoms. After insertion, the tops of the holes were sealed with mineral wool to prevent heat escape. The temperature of the exposed face of the slab was recorded by the internal thermocouples of the furnace, which confirmed that the standard fire curve was followed well across the entire volume of the furnace.

## 2.5. Four-point bending tests

The four-point bending tests of the slabs were conducted approximately seven days after fire exposure. The test apparatus was designed to ensure simply-supported conditions for the slabs. Load application points were positioned 665 mm from the supports towards the center, with the distance between supports being 2000 mm (see Figure 2). A servo-hydraulic piston with a capacity of 500 kN applied the load, which was transferred to the specimen via a set of steel beams (a stiff spreader beam acting against two hollow-core beams). To allow rotation of the specimen about the loading lines, a set of hinges was placed between the hollow-core beams and the specimens.

All slabs were tested monotonically in a displacement-controlled mode at a rate of 0.08 mm/sec. The deflection at mid-span was measured using a video-extensometer system. A fully computerized data acquisition system recorded data from the load cell, the actuator's displacement transducer, and the video-extensometer. From these measurements, load versus mid-span displacement curves were obtained for each test.

## 2.6. Mercury intrusion porosimetry

The porosity of samples was analyzed using Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry (MIP) tests. Prior to analysis, specimens were crushed into 10 mm pieces and immersed in isopropanol for two days to halt the hydration process. These preserved specimens were then dried at 35°C and stored under vacuum at ambient temperatures for one week.

MIP measurements were carried out using an AutoPore V (Micrometrics, UK) porosimeter. Pieces of approximately 10 mm were selected to provide a total charge of 2.2 ± 0.2 g. The mercury/material contact angle was set to 130°. The analysis was conducted with a gradual reduction of pressure (up to 50 μHg), and a surface tension of mercury of 0.485 N/m was used in the calculations. Pore size and volume were measured at 60,000 psi.

## 2.7. Scanning electron microscopy

Secondary electron (SE) and backscatter electron (BSE) images of both precursor powder particles and hardened paste products were obtained using a Hitachi TM3030 (Hitachi, Japan) scanning electron microscope. This microscope was coupled with QUANTAX 70 (Bruker, Germany) energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) software[1] for elemental analysis[2].

[1] https://www.bruker.com/en/products-and-solutions/elemental-analyzers/eds-wds-ebsd-SEM-Micro-XRF/software-esprit-family.html.

[2] An alternative for analysis would be the sued of HyperSpy (https://hyperspy.org/), a Python library for the analysis of multi-dimensional datasets, including EDS and other spectroscopic data.





**Figure 1.** (**a**) Plan view drawing of the slabs indicating the five positions where thermocouples were embedded; (**b**) side view sketch of the slabs indicating the four depths in which thermocouples were embedded.





**Figure 2. Four point bending test set-up.**

Hardened concrete fragments were prepared for microscopy by mounting in epoxy resin, curing overnight, and demolding. The samples were then polished using silicon carbide (SiC) paper in ascending grits. Prior to SEM analysis, the polished samples were carbon coated.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Concrete characterization

Figure 3 illustrates the post-failure shapes of the FNS-AAC samples, which include cubes and cylinders. For the cubes, both unfired and fired (refer to Figure 2a and 2b, respectively), the failure under compression exhibited an hourglass-shaped core. Similarly, the cylinders (Figure 3c) displayed a conical fracture pattern. The FNS-AAC cylinders subjected to splitting tensile tests failed in a brittle manner, characterized by a single fracture plane beneath the loading line (Figure 3d). These observed failure modes in the FNS-AAC specimens closely resemble those typically seen in conventional concrete. A summary of all material characterization test results for both types of concrete used in this study is presented in Table 3.

The compressive strength results confirmed that the strength of the ordered ready-mix concrete was comparable to that of the FNS AAC. The compressive strength of the cylinders was found to be significantly lower than that of the cubes in both types of concrete. While the cylinder-to-cube compressive strength ratio was expected to be 0.8 or higher, it was found to be 0.64 and 0.69 for FNS-AAC and OPC concrete, respectively. Although similar ratios have been reported for concretes in this strength class[16]. Due to the challenges encountered during the capping process, there may have been an uneven distribution of compressive stress, which could have influenced the results and contributed to the lower-than-expected strength ratios.

It is noteworthy that there was a significant difference between the moduli of elasticity of FNS-AAC and OPC concrete, with values of 23.2 GPa and 32.6 GPa, respectively. The modulus of elasticity for OPC concrete aligns with values reported in the literature for high-strength concrete. In contrast, the value of 23.2 GPa for FNS-AAC suggests that it is a much “softer” concrete. Since the volumetric aggregate ratios for both types of concrete are nearly identical, and the moduli of elasticity for limestone and olivine aggregates are 65[17] and 125 GPa[18], respectively (based on nanoindentation tests), it is hypothesized that the difference in the moduli of elasticity between the two





**Figure 3.** FNS-AAC post-failure shapes of: unfired cubes under compression (**a**), fired cubes under compression (exposure: 800°C for 2h) (**b**), unfired cylinders under compression (**c**), unfired cylinders under splitting tension (**d**).

**Table 3. Summary of material properties for GFNS and OPC concrete.**

| Parameter | GFNS | | OPC | | Difference [%] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Average | CV[2] [%] | Average | CV [%] | |
| Density [kg/m$^3$] | 2729 | 1.25% | 2339 | 0.39% | 14.3% |
| Humidity content [%][1] | 2.38 | - | 3.03 | - | -27.3% |
| Compressive strength (cube) [MPa] | 77.2 | 3.23% | 73.2 | 1.64% | 5.1% |
| Compressive strength (cylinder) [MPa] | 49.6 | 15.8% | 50.5 | 8.33% | -1.8% |
| Tensile strength [MPa] | 3.14 | 3.50% | 3.81 | 3.95% | -21.2% |
| Modulus of elasticity [GPa] | 20.6 | 9.24% | 35.0 | 15.2% | -70.3% |

[1] Based on one measurement.; [2] Stands for coefficient of variance

concretes is due to differences in the deformation characteristics of the FNS and OPC matrices, which, in turn, can be attributed to microstructural differences. Indeed, a previous study[19] reported a significantly lower elastic modulus for alkali-activated binders when compared to OPC specimens of the same compressive strength.

The density of the OPC-based mix was typical for this type of concrete. However, the FNS-based mix can be classified as a heavyweight concrete due to the higher density of both the FNS sand and the olivine coarse aggregates (approximately 2750 kg/m$^3$ and 3300 kg/m$^3$, respectively) compared to that of limestone sand and gravel (2600 kg/m$^3$). This characteristic might limit the use of FNS-AAC in areas with high seismic risk. As expected, the moisture content of the CC (conventional concrete based on ordinary Portland cement) was higher (27.3%) than that of the FNS AAC.

The color of conventional concrete surfaces changes as the exposure temperature increases, a phenomenon that also occurs in FNS-AAC (see Figure 4) and other alkali-activated products. This color change is typically attributed to the oxidation of iron species[20–22] which, as noted in Table 1, are abundant in the GFNS matrix. Additionally, the color change serves as an indicator of changes in the mineral phases within the concrete as the temperature rises.

Figure 5a shows the strength evolution of FNS-AAC over time, with nearly 70% of the 90-day compressive strength being achieved within the first 7 days. Figure 5b illustrates the residual compressive strength of FNS-AAC as a function of exposure temperature, revealing a logarithmic decrease in residual strength with increasing temperature. The rate of strength deterioration appears to significantly decrease between 800°C and 1000°C, suggesting the stability of the mineral phases within this temperature range. The primary cause of strength loss at high temperatures is likely the thermal incompatibility between the aggregates and the matrix[23–25]. As the aggregates expand at elevated temperatures while the matrix shrinks, tensile stresses are induced in the matrix. Additionally, the release of water from the porous network likely caused further damage to the GFNS concrete matrix as both physical and chemical water were expelled.

### 3.2. Fire tests observations

During the fire exposure of the slabs, a significant amount of vapor was observed escaping from their unexposed surfaces

and sides. Vapor condensation on the top surfaces of both the FNS-AAC and OPC slabs is shown in Figure 6a and Figure 6b, respectively. After the fire exposure campaigns were completed and the slabs had cooled to room temperature, they were removed from the furnace. The FNS-AAC slab exhibited no spalling. The fire-exposed side of the slab had turned red (Figure 6c), similar to the cubes exposed to 1000°C (see Figure 4e). A visual inspection revealed that the conventional concrete slab experienced minor spalling, localized at the areas around the rebar spacers (see Figure 6d). The exposed face of the OPC slab turned a whitish-gray color. The maximum depth of the spalled material was less than 20 mm, which ensured that the rebars were not exposed. By the time the OPC slab was mechanically tested, the entire fire-exposed surface had lost 10–20 mm of friable, burnt concrete. However, this loss did not affect the slab's flexural capacity, as will be discussed further on. Finally, thin flexural cracks were visible on the sides of both slabs (visible in Figure 6b), made apparent by water streaks that formed during the fire exposure. These cracks likely resulted from differential heating, which caused a flexural stress characterized by tension due to thermal expansion at the bottom section.





**Figure 4.** Color evolution as a function of temperature of exposure: 20°C (**a**); 400°C (**b**); 600°C (**c**); 800°C (**e**); and 1000°C (**d**).





**Figure 5.** Compressive strength dependency on, time (**a**); exposure temperature (**b**).





**Figure 6.** Concrete slabs during and after fire exposure: FNS-AAC slab (water pooling visible) (**a**); color change in exposed-to-fire surface in FNS-AAC slab (**b**); limited spalling of the fire exposed side in the OPC slab (**c**).

Figure 7a presents the temperature recordings from inside the furnace (furnace control) and from four depth points at the central measuring position ("C") for both fire-exposed slabs, recorded throughout the firing session (120 minutes) and part of the cooling phase (approximately 30 minutes). Figure 7b illustrates the depthwise profile of the maximum recorded temperatures for each slab, averaged across all five measurements per zone. The temperature differences between the two specimens are relatively small, with the GFNS slab showing slightly lower temperature readings. This reduction in temperature is likely due to the decreased thermal conductivity resulting from the introduction of FNS sand aggregate. Saha *et al.*[25] observed a 50% reduction in thermal conductivity when natural sand was replaced with ferronickel slag, supporting the theory that the ferronickel slag used as fine aggregate in this FNS-AAC slab has led to a decrease in thermal conductivity. The implications of this finding could include the development of high fire-resistant walls, coatings, bricks, and other construction materials.

### 3.3. Porosity evolution with heat treatment

The pore size distributions of both the ambient (20°C) and heat-treated mixtures are shown in Figure 8. The small partial peak (~10 nm) observed within the gel pore region of 10–50 nm corresponds to pores formed within the matrix during the polycondensation reaction. Larger pores, ranging from 50 nm to 10 µm, appear as capillary pores originating from the pore solution. Pores larger than 10 µm are considered trapped air voids introduced during mixing[26]. All specimens exhibit distinct pore features across these three categories.

Heat treatment at 400°C results in a significant increase in nanoscale gel porosity toward the upper limit of the range, manifesting as the coalescence of smaller nanoscale pores. The evaporation and migration of the gel solution lead to the collapse of the porous gel structure, volumetric contraction, and microcracks, likely contributing to the deterioration of the concrete's mechanical properties. At 600°C, the pore volume peak within the 10–50 nm range disappeared entirely, suggesting the significant expulsion of gaseous species within the gel microstructure and concurrent densification of the matrix. The increase in the capillary pore fraction indicates that trapped gaseous species are becoming increasingly concentrated as larger pore features, supporting the general shift in pore size with rising temperature.

The specimen heated to 800°C showed increased porosity due to the thermal decomposition of the matrix gel phase[27], similar to the well-documented behavior of C-S-H decomposition with temperature[28,29]. Further heating to 1000°C resulted in additional densification of the decomposition products of the gel phase, yielding a dense microstructure with large, stable voids greater than 10 µm within the matrix.

### 3.4. Backscatter electron images of heat-treated specimens

Backscatter electron images of the hardened ambient and heat-treated concrete samples are presented in Figure 9. Unreacted FNS particles, identifiable by their brighter Z-contrast, exhibit irregular and angular morphologies with a bimodal size distribution (Figure 9a). Larger FNS particles function as aggregates, while smaller GFNS particles serve as a precursor material for matrix gel formation, ultimately being consumed during the reaction. The surrounding quasi-homogeneous matrix is formed through the limited dissolution and polycondensation of GFNS and added SF.





**Figure 7. Temperature recordings of the heated air inside the furnace and at the interior of the specimens during fire exposure of the CC slab and the FNS-AAC slab.**





**Figure 8. MIP differential curves for optimal GFNS-based concrete mixture, untreated (20°C) and after heat treatment at different temperatures (400°C, 600°C, 800°C, and 1000°C).**





**Figure 9.** Backscatter electron (BSE) images of FNS AAC: (**a**) untreated (20°C); (**b**) heat treatment at 400°C; (**c**) heat treatment at 600°C; (**d**) heat treatment at 800°C and (**e**) heat treatment at 1000°C.

In Figure 9b, larger capillary pores and voids are visible in the matrix gel region of the ambient temperature specimen, consistent with the MIP data. The specimen treated at 400°C shows an increase in gel pore size, along with more pronounced dissolution of GFNS particles. The matrix also begins to exhibit signs of thermal damage, with the emergence of more visible voids and a slightly less homogeneous appearance.

The BSE image of the 600°C specimen (Figure 9c) reveals a more homogeneous matrix contrast, suggesting further densification. The larger capillary pores appear less prominent, indicating that the matrix has become more compact as smaller pores collapse and merge. Decomposition of the matrix phase is evident in Figure 9d, depicting the specimen subjected to 800°C. This figure shows significant matrix decomposition, with large dark areas corresponding to voids formed due to the shrinkage of the gel matrix. The contrast is less uniform, indicating a breakdown in the microstructure, which aligns with the reported decrease in mechanical strength at this temperature (Figure 5d).

Upon further heating to 1000°C (Figure 9e), the matrix becomes highly densified, with large cavities (>10 μm) formed by the coalescence of voids and the escape of gaseous species. The BSE test results are consistent with the trends observed in the MIP data, indicating significant densification, which at 1000°C is at the onset of the vitrification process[29].

### 3.5. Four point bending test

Figure 10 illustrates the failure modes of the four reinforced concrete slabs subjected to four-point bending tests. All specimens exhibited flexural cracks along the span located between the two supports, within the constant moment section. In all cases, the failure mode was characterized by the crushing of the outermost compressed concrete fiber. The crack patterns in both types of fired slabs followed those formed during the fire test. The crushing zone in the OPC slabs was distributed over a larger area, as shown in Figure 10 (a, b). In contrast, the crushing zone in the FNS-AAC slab was localized near the load application points Figure 10 (c, d).

Figure 11a presents the load vs. deflection curves measured at mid-span. For the slabs that were not exposed to fire, the initial segment of these curves corresponds to the pre-cracked state of the concrete. This phase is characterized by higher stiffness in the OPC slabs. The increased stiffness observed in





**Figure 10.** Flexural crack pattern of slabs at failure: (**a**) unfired CC; (**b**), fired CC; (**c**), unfired FNS AAC; (**d**), fired FNS AAC.





**Figure 11. Deformation behavior of OPC and FNS AAC slabs described by their experimental load-displacement curves.**

the OPC slabs is attributed to the higher modulus of elasticity of conventional concrete (CC) compared to GFNS concrete, with values of 35 MPa and 20.6 MPa, respectively.

The control (unfired) slabs exhibited typical bilinear behavior before reaching a yielding plateau. Initially, the stiffness reflected the composite action of concrete and steel reinforcement, with the OPC slab showing higher stiffness due to the significantly greater modulus of elasticity of high-strength concrete, consistent with findings by Kumaravel and Thirugnanasambandam[30] in fly ash alkali-activated concrete beams. After first cracking, the stiffness decreased as the tensile capacity of the concrete was lost. The first crack loads were identified at 61 kN for the OPC slab and 46 kN for the FNS slab, where the load-deflection curves deviated from linearity.

Fire exposure led to a decrease in displacement at yielding for the OPC slab (8.1 mm vs. 11.7 mm for the unfired slab), consistent with the observed increase in stiffness. In contrast, FNS-based concrete showed remarkable stability, with only a 2% difference in the yielding point (17.8 mm vs. 18.1 mm for unexposed and exposed, respectively). The displacement at failure for the OPC slab dropped significantly by 39% (from 104.6 mm to 64.3 mm), whereas the GFNS slab showed only a 9% decrease (from 159 mm to 144 mm). This stability in deformation suggests that GFNS concrete holds great potential for use in fire-prone areas. However, the overall deformation behavior differed significantly between the two materials, with the FNS slab exhibiting 34.2% greater deformation at failure (159 mm vs. 105 mm) and a similar difference at yielding (34.3%, 24.8 mm vs. 17.1 mm).

Slabs exposed to high temperatures, which had pre-existing cracks from fire-induced flexural stress, lacked the initial composite stiffness and exhibited linear behavior from the start up to the yield point. Notably, the stiffness of the fired FNS-AAC slab was similar to that of its unfired counterpart after first cracking, while the fired OPC slab showed lower stiffness than the unfired slab before cracking but higher stiffness afterward, a phenomenon discussed further below. The transition from the linear ascending branch to the yielding plateau was sharp for the unfired slabs but smooth for the fired ones, indicating altered deformation characteristics in the heat-affected steel rebars. Yielding plateaus showed minimal strain hardening, and maximum loads were comparable across all slabs, with the OPC slabs reaching about 6% higher loads. However, deflections at failure were significantly higher for FNS-AAC slabs than for OPC ones, and higher for fired slabs compared to unfired ones.

The apparent increase in stiffness of the fired OPC slab compared to the unfired one in the cracked state prior to yielding can be attributed to a rise in the modulus of elasticity in the compression zone due to moisture clogging. Fire exposure caused water migration, leading to moisture accumulation in the upper sections of the slabs, as evidenced by water pooling on top (Figure 6a for the OPC slab and Figure 6b for the FNS slab). This moisture migration and condensation away from the heat-exposed faces align with the phenomenon described by Shorter and Harmathy[31], and further visualized by Dauti *et al.*[32] using in situ neutron tomography. In numbers, Liu *et al.*[33] observed up to a 30% increase in the modulus of elasticity in concrete due to moisture content rising to approximately 3.5%, and Zhang *et al.*[34] similarly reported a 24% increase from dry to saturated conditions. The moisture-clogging effect likely contributed to the behavior observed in the fired slabs.

The spalling behavior might also offer insight into the differing performance of FNS-AAC and OPC slabs. Zhou *et al.*[35] concluded that, for concretes of similar strength, alkali-activated materials (AAMs) exhibit greater spalling resistance than Portland cement products due to their well-connected porous networks, which allow for more efficient vapor dissipation.

Figure 6c and Figure 6d show the fire-exposed slab surfaces, where the FNS-based slab exhibited no spalling, while the OPC slab showed minor spalling. These findings suggest that the FNS matrix in FNS-AAC slabs is better interconnected, facilitating vapor dissipation. This is further supported by the MIP and BSE results presented in Section 3.2 and Section 3.3. Conversely, OPC, particularly high-strength concrete as used in this study, is more prone to spalling due to its lower permeability[36], which generally decreases with higher compressive strength. The MIP and SEM results also demonstrate that the vapor dissipation advantage of FNS-AAC increased due to larger pore size, total pore volume (Figure 8, Section 3.2), and enhanced pore connectivity (Figure 9, Section 3.3).

## 4. Conclusions

The present study fillfiled its mission to decrease the gap of knowledge regarding large scale applciations of AAM in structural applciations, as aas a viable alternative CC. In aprticualr, the results show that:

- The compressive and tensile strengths of FNS-AAC, while slightly lower than OPC, fall within acceptable ranges for structural applications, demonstrating that FNS-AAC can be considered a reliable alternative to conventional concrete. The distinctive failure modes observed in both FNS-AAC and OPC specimens further reinforce the similarity in their mechanical behavior.

- The fire test results highlight the superior thermal stability of FNS-AAC. Unlike OPC slabs, which exhibited minor spalling and significant loss in displacement at failure after fire exposure, the FNS-AAC slabs maintained their structural integrity with no spalling and showed remarkable stability in their deformation behavior.

- The analysis of the microstructural evolution under heat treatment revealed a well-connected porous network in FNS-AAC, which facilitates efficient vapor dissipation, which is likely the reason for the enhanced spalling resistance compared to OPC.

- The findings of this study suggest that FNS-AAC can be utilized in construction scenarios where conventional concrete is typically employed, with added benefits in fire resistance. This positions FNS-AAC as a strong candidate for future construction materials, particularly in applications of high fire risk.

## Ethics and consent

Ethics and consent were not required.

## Data availability statement

### Underlying data

Zenodo: Data for the article: Residual Flexural Performance of Large-Scale Ferronickel Slag Alkali-Activated Concrete Slabs After Fire Exposure.

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13969759[37].

The project contains the following underlying data:

- Figure 1 Plan view drawing of the slabs indicating the five positions where thermocouples were embedded.jpg (Views of slabs with thermocouple positions).
- Figure 2 Four point bending test set-up.jpg (Setup for four-point bending test).
- Figure 3 FNS-AAC post-failure shapes.jpg (Post-failure shapes of FNS-AAC specimens).
- Figure 4 Color evolution as a function of temperature of exposure 20°C (a) 400°C (b) 600°C (c) 800°C (e) and 1000.jpg (Color changes in materials at different temperatures).
- Figure 5 Compressive strength dependency on time (a) exposure temperature (b).jpg (Compressive strength versus exposure time and temperature).
- Figure 5 underlying data.csv (Data supporting Figure 5).
- Figure 6 Top and bottom views of the FNS and OPC slabs during and after fire exposure.jpg (Visual comparison of slabs during and after fire exposure).
- Figure 7 Temperature recordings of the heated air inside the furnace and at the interior of the specimens during fire.jpg (Temperature data during fire testing).
- Figure 7 underlying data.csv (Data supporting Figure 7).
- Figure 8 MIP differential curves for optimal FNS-AAC.jpg (MIP differential curves for FNS-AAC).
- Figure 9 Backscatter electron (BSE) images of FNS AAC.jpg (Backscatter electron images of FNS-AAC).
- Figure 10 Flexural crack pattern of slabs at failure.jpg (Flexural crack patterns of slabs at failure).
- Figure 11 Deformation behavior of OPC and FNS-AAC slabs described by their experimental load-displacement curves.jpg (Load-displacement curves of OPC and FNS-AAC slabs).
- Figure 11 underlying data.csv (Data supporting Figure 11).

### Author contributions

**Andres Arce:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - Original Draft, Visualization **Panagiotis Kapsalis:** Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing - Original Draft, **Catherine G. Papanicolaou:** Validation, Resources, Data Curation, Writing - Review & Editing, Visualization, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition **Thanasis C. Triantafillou:** Writing - Review & Editing, Visualization, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

### Acknowledgments

We thank the company LARCO for the kind donation of ferronickel slag. Special acknowledgement is given to the Laboratory of Sedimentology, and the Laboratory of Electron Microscopy and Microanalysis of the University of Patras.

## References

1. United Nations: **Causes and effects of climate change**. United Nations, Accessed: Aug. 17, 2022.
Reference Source
2. Larco General Mining & Metallurgical Co. S.A: **Research and development**. 2022.
3. Maragkos I, Giannopoulou IP, Panias D: **Synthesis of ferronickel slag-based geopolymers.** *Minerals Engineering.* 2009; **22**(2): 196–203.
Publisher Full Text
4. Sakkas K, Nomikos P, Sofianos A, *et al.*: **Utilisation of FeNi-Slag for the production of inorganic polymeric materials for construction or for passive fire protection.** *Waste Biomass Valorization.* 2014; **5**(3): 403–410.
Publisher Full Text
5. Komnitsas K, Zaharaki D, Perdikatsis V: **Geopolymerisation of low calcium ferronickel slags.** *J Mater Sci.* 2007; **42**(9): 3073–3082.
Publisher Full Text
6. Meredith S: **A sand shortage? The world is running out of a crucial – but under-appreciated – commodity**. March, 2021.
Reference Source
7. Rajendran M, Soundarapandian N: **An experimental investigation on the flexural behavior of geopolymer ferrocement slabs.** *J Eng Technol.* 2013; **3**: 97.
Publisher Full Text
8. Sarker PK, McBeath S: **Fire endurance of steel reinforced fly ash geopolymer concrete elements.** *Constr Build Mater.* 2015; **90**: 91–98.
Publisher Full Text
9. Ma CK, Awang AZ, Omar W: **Structural and material performance of**

**geopolymer concrete: a review.** *Constr Build Mater.* 2018; **186**: 90–102.
Publisher Full Text

10. International Organization for Standardization: **ISO 834-1:1999(E). Fire-resistance tests – elements of building construction**. 1999.
Reference Source

11. Papachristoforou M: **Development of concrete mixtures for use as material for additive manufacturing**.

12. Arce A, Komkova A, Papanicolaou CG, *et al.*: **Performance based design of alkali activated concrete for high thermal load applications.** *Preprints.* 2024; 2024090829.
Publisher Full Text

13. EN 12390-3: **Testing hardened concrete—part 3: compressive strength of test specimens**. Comite Europeen de Normalisation, Brussels, Belgium, 2019.
Reference Source

14. EN 12390-6: **Testing Hardened Concrete part 6: bending strength of test specimens**. Comite Europeen de Normalisation, Brussels, Belgium, 2019.
Reference Source

15. European Committee for Standardization (CEN): **EN 1363-1. Fire resistance tests - part 1: general requirements.** Brussels, Belgium, 2020.
Reference Source

16. Lindorf A, Curbach M: **S–N curves for fatigue of bond in reinforced concrete structures under transverse tension.** *Eng Struct.* 2010; **32**(10): 3068–3074.
Publisher Full Text

17. Tang X, Xu J, Zhang Y, *et al.*: **The rock-forming minerals and macroscale mechanical properties of asteroid rocks.** Rochester, NY, 2022; 4169416.
Publisher Full Text

18. Ban H, Karki P, Kim YR: **Nanoindentation test integrated with numerical simulation to characterize mechanical properties of rock materials.** *J Test Eval.* 2014; **42**(3): 20130035.
Publisher Full Text

19. Pan Z, Sanjayan JG, Rangan BV: **Fracture properties of geopolymer paste and concrete.** *Magazine of Concrete Research.* 2011; **63**(10): 763–771.
Publisher Full Text

20. Fernández-Jiménez A, Pastor JY, Martín A, *et al.*: **High-temperature resistance in alkali-activated cement.** *J Am Ceram Soc.* 2010; **93**(10): 3411–3417.
Publisher Full Text

21. Rickard WDA, Van Riessen A, Walls P: **Thermal character of geopolymers synthesized from class F fly ash containing high concentrations of iron and α-quartz.** *Int J Appl Ceram Technol.* 2010; **7**(1): 81–88.
Publisher Full Text

22. Vázquez-Acosta F, Torres-Martínez LM, López-González W, *et al.*: **Influence of iron content on the color of the $C_3A$-$Fe_2O_3$ system synthesized under different conditions of temperature, atmosphere and cooling.** *Ceram Int.* 2012; **38**(4): 3261–3272.
Publisher Full Text

23. Ranjbar N, Mehrali M, Alengaram UJ, *et al.*: **Compressive strength and microstructural analysis of fly ash/palm oil fuel ash based geopolymer mortar under elevated temperatures.** *Constr Build Mater.* 2014; **65**: 114–121.
Publisher Full Text

24. Bernal SA, Mejía de Gutiérrez R, Ruiz F, *et al.*: **Desempeño a temperaturas altas de morteros y hormigones basados en mezclas de escoria/metacaolín activadas alcalinamente.** *Materiales de Construccion.* 2012; **62**(308): 471–488.
Publisher Full Text

25. Saha AK, Sarker PK: **Mitigation of the potential alkali-silica reaction of ferronickel slag (FNS) aggregate by using ground FNS as a supplementary cementitious material.** *Advances in Cement Research.* 2019; 1–27.
Reference Source

26. Ma Y, Hu J, Ye G: **The pore structure and permeability of alkali activated fly ash.** *Fuel.* 2013; **104**: 771–780.
Publisher Full Text

27. Fan F, Liu Z, Xu G, *et al.*: **Mechanical and thermal properties of fly ash based geopolymers.** *Constr Build Mater.* 2018; **160**: 66–81.
Publisher Full Text

28. Tantawy MA: **Effect of high temperatures on the microstructure of cement paste.** *J Mater Sci and Chemical Engineering.* 2017; **05**(11): 33–48.
Publisher Full Text

29. Traven K, Češnovar M, Škapin SD, *et al.*: **High temperature resistant fly-ash and metakaolin-based alkali-activated foams.** *Ceram Int.* 2021; **47**(17): 25105–25120.
Publisher Full Text

30. Kumaravel S, Thirugnanasambandam S: **Flexural behaviour of reinforced low calcium fly ash basedgeopolymer concrete beam**. 2013; 7.
Reference Source

31. Shorter GW, Harmathy TZ: **Discussion on the article 'the fire resistance of concrete beams' by Ashton and Bate**. In: *Proceedings of the ICE,* Institute of Civil Engineers, 313.

32. Dauti D, Tengattini A, Dal Pont S, *et al.*: **Analysis of moisture migration in concrete at high temperature through *in-situ* neutron tomography.** *Cem Concr Res.* 2018; **111**: 41–55.
Publisher Full Text

33. Liu BD, Lv WJ, Li L, *et al.*: **Effect of moisture content on static compressive elasticity modulus of concrete.** *Constr Build Mater.* 2014; **69**: 133–142.
Publisher Full Text

34. Zhang G, Li C, Wei H, *et al.*: **Influence of humidity on the elastic modulus and axis compressive strength of concrete in a water environment.** *Materials.* 2020; **13**(24): 1–14.
Publisher Full Text

35. Zhao R, Sanjayan JG: **Geopolymer and portland cement concretes in simulated fire.** *Magazine of Concrete Research.* 2011; **63**(3): 163–173.
Publisher Full Text

36. Amran M, Huang SS, Onaizi AM, *et al.*: **Fire spalling behavior of high-strength concrete: a critical review.** *Constr Build Mater.* 2022; **341**: 127902.
Publisher Full Text

37. Arce A, Kapsalis P, Stefanini L, *et al.*: **Data for the article: residual flexural performance of large-scale ferronickel slag alkali-activated concrete slabs after fire exposure.** *Zenodo*. 2024.
http://www.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13969759

# Open Peer Review

## Current Peer Review Status: ? ?

**Version 1**

Reviewer Report 07 January 2025

https://doi.org/10.21956/openreseurope.20160.r48819

© **2025 You N.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

?

**Nanqiao You**
The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

This article studied the residual flexural performance of large-scale ferronickel slag AAC slabs after exposure to high temperature. Mechanical properties, MIP, and SEM were used to evaluate the performance change of concrete. This is an interesting study, but the experimental content of the article is low in depth. There is no in-depth analysis of phenomena, and it is more like a research report than a paper. There are also many irregularities in the article should be revised.

Besides, the reviewer has the following comments:

- About the body content

1. Overall, the analysis of different results needs to be deepened.
2. The introduction needs to add more references to make the content more complete.
3. Modify the keywords to better reflect the core content of the article, such as mechanical properties, etc.
4. Please provide a detailed description of how the alkali activator is prepared, including the order of addition and the cooling time. It is evident that dissolving KOH in water is an exothermic process. Additionally, the author should use "K-WG" to emphasize that potassium silicate is a potassium-based water glass, or directly use its chemical formula, $K_2SiO_3$, instead of the misleading abbreviation "KS." Finally, the modulus of the solution used as the alkali activator needs to be specified.
5. Why the loss on ignition (LOI) of GFNS is zero? Please provide the method for testing loss on ignition (LOI). If the result is caused by oxidation, please explain it to avoid misleading.
6. For the XRF results of GFNS, the total sum of its oxides is 85.74%, which indicates that some elements are not displayed in the table. This might be related to elements such as Cr and S. It is recommended to use wavelength-dispersive XRF (WDXRF) to obtain more accurate results.
7. For the precursors, please provide the XRD results especially GFNS. Particle size distribution of the precursors is also needed.
8. Due to the limited number of samples, the strength data in the text does not include error

bars. How does the author perceive the impact of random errors on this study?

9. It is generally considered that pores smaller than 10 nm are classified as gel pores, medium capillaries range between 10 and 50 nm, and large capillaries range from 50 to 10,000 nm. However, in Figure 8, it can be observed that the author considers the gel pore size to be between 10 and 50 nm. Please provide the rationale or basis for this classification. At the same time, data for pores smaller than 10 nm should also be included.
10. The author should use experimental methods such as TG and FTIR to better describe the changes in hydration products.
11. Please include EDS results to better analyze the compositional changes of hydration products under different temperature conditions.
12. Provide a more in-depth discussion on the crack propagation mechanism of the samples after high-temperature treatment and compare the similarities and differences with the reference samples.
13. Rewrite the conclusion to highlight the key findings of this study.

-Other aspects

14. Please provide scale bars for figures such as Figure 3 and Figure 10, among others.
15. Provide the error bar in Figure 5 if the data is available.
16. The units in the tables need attention. For example, in Table 1, the unit for the input quantities of various raw materials should be directly written as $kg/m^3$.

**Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?**
Partly

**Is the study design appropriate and does the work have academic merit?**
Partly

**Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?**
Partly

**If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?**
Partly

**Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?**
Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?**
Partly

***Competing Interests:*** No competing interests were disclosed.

***Reviewer Expertise:*** material science and civil engineerning

**I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have**

**significant reservations, as outlined above.**

Reviewer Report 06 January 2025

https://doi.org/10.21956/openreseurope.20160.r48813

**© 2025 Djayaprabha H.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

?

**Herry Suryadi Djayaprabha**
Parahyangan Catholic University, Bandung, Indonesia

Reviewing comments on Research Article on Open Research Europe
"Residual flexural performance of large-scale ferronickel slag alkali-activated concrete slabs after fire exposure"
Andres Arce, Panagiotis Kapsalis, Laura Stefanini, Catherine G. Papanicolaou, Thanasis C. Triantafillou

This current manuscript investigated the influence of elevated temperatures on the flexural behavior of one-way slabs constructed from reinforced ferronickel slag-based alkali-activated concrete (FNS-AAC) and ordinary Portland cement (OPC) concrete. Four slabs with dimensions of 2.1 m × 0.9 m and a thickness of 0.18 m, made from FNS-ACC and OPC concrete, were prepared for this study to investigate the flexural performance due to exposure to elevated temperatures. Besides that, some other properties were investigated, such as the development of compressive strength, residual compressive strength, splitting tensile strength, porosity measured by mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP), and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The experimental results demonstrated that the FNS-ACC significantly reduced its compressive strength when exposed to elevated temperatures up to 1000 degrees Celsius. The experimental data from MIP also confirms that the development of gel pores leads to increased porosity in the concrete matrix. The visual observation of microstructure by SEM further confirms this phenomenon. However, the four-point bending test only exposed the slab to a maximum temperature of approximately 600 degrees Celsius. The study demonstrated that the FNS-AAC slabs had performance comparable with the traditional concrete slabs, which were only 6% lower in load capacity. Therefore, utilizing FNS-AAC in structural applications could be considered a promising alternative to traditional concrete. The manuscript presents an intriguing research topic that could potentially be accepted. Therefore, we need to significantly improve the manuscript in its current format before considering it for indexing**.** Observation reveals numerous typos, inaccuracies, and a lack of discussion, necessitating a comparison of the current research with previous findings.

For improving the quality of the manuscript some comments and corrections given as follows,

1. The authors ought to strengthen the state of the art of this study by adding more recent references from the last five years to support the current experimental study.
2. The abstract and conclusions should provide specific qualitative engineering property data to illustrate the mechanical properties, porosity, and performance behavior of both FNS-ACC and OPC concrete under elevated temperature.
3. Throughout the manuscript could be observed some inconsistency, there are:

a) The ferronickel slag-based alkali-activated concrete is abbreviated as FNS-AAC; however, in some parts of the manuscript, it is also called ground ferronickel slag concrete (GFNS concrete).
b) The OPC-based ready-mix concrete, which is referred to as 'OPC-concrete,' is also called conventional concrete (CC).

4. In Section 2.3, it was mentioned that "For each concrete type, nine specimens were produced: three cubes (150 mm side) and six cylinders (150 mm diameter × 300 mm height), with three cylinders designated for compressive tests and three for splitting tensile tests." However, the authors investigated the compressive strength tests on 7, 14, 28, and 90 days, and also examined the residual compressive strength at exposure temperatures of 400°C, 600°C, 800°C, and 1000°C. The authors should provide more specific information about the number and shape of specimens used in this experimental work, such as a cube for thermal exposure.
5. Figure 2 demonstrated the four-bending test setup. The authors ought to illustraste the instrument that was attached to the slab, such as transducers that were utilized for investigating the deflection in the midspan.
6. In the Table 3 and the first point of conclusion, the author ought to mention more specific the tensile parameter that is 'Splitting Tensile Strength'.
7. Figure 5 demonstrated the strength evolution and residual compressive strength of FNS-AAC. Some comments are given as follows:
a) In Figure 5(a), the compressive strength evolution of OPC concrete should also be included in the graph.
In Figure 5(b), the residual compressive strength ought to be demonstrated as the percentage of the 28-day compressive strength of the unfired specimens.
b) The dashed line in both figures is not important, since the authors didn't give clear information about the equation used and only displayed it for explaining the trend.
8. The authors should conduct investigation of MIP and SEM for determining the porosity evolution of OPC concrete mixture and compare the results with the porosity evolution of FNS-AAC at elevated temperatures for
9. In the first paragraph of page 10 (subsection 3.2), it was mentioned that there are two figures for explaining the temperature recording. However, there is only one figure demonstrated on Page 11. The depthwise profile of the maximum recorded temperatures for each slab hasn't been demonstrated.
10. In Subsection 3.5, the authors should conduct a deeper analysis, such as a cross-sectional analysis, to determine the nominal moment. This will allow them to assess the performance of both FNS-AAC and OPC concrete slabs after exposure to high temperatures, and then compare these results to those of control specimens.
11. Numbering with a lowercase letter in the figure caption should be consistent with putting it in the beginning of the words.

**Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?**
No

**Is the study design appropriate and does the work have academic merit?**
Yes

**Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?**

Yes

**If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?**
Not applicable

**Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?**
Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?**
Yes

***Competing Interests:*** No competing interests were disclosed.

***Reviewer Expertise:*** Eco-friendly Construction Materials

**I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.**